In The Matter Of:

DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING

AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY April 25, 2012

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, LCR (603) 622-0068 shortrptr@comcast.net

Original File 042512DG11196PMsession.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	Page 3
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	1 INDEX (cont'd)
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	2
	EXHIBITS PAGE
April 25, 2012 - 1:25 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY	4 4 Prefiled testimony of Mr. Ciulla 69
DD DG 11 100	5 5 Prefiled testimony of Mr.Leblanc 69
RE:DG 11-196 UNITIL CORPORATION AND NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.	6 6 Prefiled testimony Mr. Sher 69
Show Cause Proceeding	7 7 Prefiled testimony of Mr. 69 Meissner
PRESENT: Chairman Amy L. Ignatius, Presiding Commissioner Michael D. Harrington Commissioner Robert R. Scott	8 9 Prefiled testimony of Mr. 148 9 Emerton
Sandy Deno - Clerk	10 16 UES response to Staff 1-9 125 Data Request
*	11
APPEARANCES:	12
Reptg. Unitil and Northern Utilities, Inc.	13
Gary M. Epler, Esq. Reptg. United Steelworkers of America	14
Local 12012: Shawn J. Sullivan, Esq.	15
Reptg. PUC Staff:	16
Lynn Fabrizio, Esq. Randall Knepper, Dir.Safety & Security Div.	17
	18
	20
COURT REPORTER: SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR NO. 44	21
	22
	23
{DG 11-196} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] $\{04-25-12\}$	24
Page 2	[WITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 4
1 2 INDEX	1 AFTERNOON PROCEEDINGS
2 INDEX 3 4 WITNESS: RANDALL KNEPPER	2 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We're back
5 6 EXAMINATION PAGE	3 for the afternoon in DG 11-196. And Ms.
7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Epler 6	4 Fabrizio, you had completed your questioning
Interrogatories: 8 By Cmsr. Harrington 37	5 of Mr. Knepper?
By Cmsr. Scott 55 9 Redirect Examination By Ms. Fabrizio 62	6 MS. FABRIZIO: Yes.
10 * * * * * * * *	
	7 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Epler,
11 WITNESS PANEL. THOMAS I METSSNED ID	8 do you have questions?
11 WITNESS PANEL: THOMAS J. MEISSNER, JR. CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC	do you have questions?MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA	 do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110	 do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the
12 CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65	do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135	 do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories:	do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146	do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 16	do you have questions? MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 16 17 ************************************	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 16 17 ***********************************	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the Commission and the Staff's interest. And so
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 16 17 ************ 18 CLOSING STATEMENTS: 19 By Mr. Sullivan 149 20 By Ms. Fabrizio 150	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the Commission and the Staff's interest. And so we're prepared to present witnesses that can
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 17 ********** 18 CLOSING STATEMENTS: 19 By Mr. Sullivan 149 20 By Ms. Fabrizio 150 21 By Mr. Epler 152	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the Commission and the Staff's interest. And so we're prepared to present witnesses that can walk through that settlement agreement and
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 14 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 16 17 ************ 18 CLOSING STATEMENTS: 19 By Mr. Sullivan 149 20 By Ms. Fabrizio 150 21 By Mr. Epler 152	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the Commission and the Staff's interest. And so we're prepared to present witnesses that can walk through that settlement agreement and explain why we believe it's in the public
CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC MELCHOR CIULLA 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Epler 65 By Mr. Sullivan 110 By Ms. Fabrizio 113 Interrogatories: 15 By Cmsr. Harrington 135 By Chairman Ignatius 146 17 ********** 18 CLOSING STATEMENTS: 19 By Mr. Sullivan 149 20 By Ms. Fabrizio 150 21 By Mr. Epler 152	MR. EPLER: Yes, I do, Chairman Ignatius. Let me also state, and I apologize if I'm restating something I said in the morning session, but just for clarification purposes, the Company is here on the basis that there's a settlement for the Commission's consideration. And we are here prepared to support what we believe is in the Company's interest, obviously, and we also believe it's in the public interest and consistent with the Commission and the Staff's interest. And so we're prepared to present witnesses that can walk through that settlement agreement and

[WI]	NESS: KNEPPER] Page 5	[WI	TNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 7	7
1	Company's not prepared to litigate the	1		the change in the corrections that you made to	
2	underlying issues. That's a different issue.	2		the testimony, would you agree that that	
3	And so I'm not going to attempt to do that,	3		footnote should be deleted?	
4	because we believe we're offering the	4		(Witness reviews document.)	
5	settlement for consideration here. And	5	A.	Yeah, I think that's fair.	
6	certainly, if the Commission deems that the	6		CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me,	
7	settlement is inadequate in any respect and	7		Footnote 5?	
8	determines it cannot be approved or needs to	8	ВЪ	Y MR. EPLER:	
9	be revised to be approved, we would want an	9	Q.	Now, you provided revised testimony at Line 15	
10	opportunity to come back and then litigate	10		of that page. And you revised the sentence	
11	those underlying issues, because, as I said,	11		that begins, "Again, Staff emphasized" and	
12	we came prepared to support the Settlement	12		you added the you changed that sentence so	
13	Agreement.	13		that the full sentence would read, "Again,	
14	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Epler,	14		Staff emphasized that the 60-plus-minute	
15	do you mean by that, that your witnesses will	15		explanations were not being provided with the	
16	not be able to address anything beyond the	16		monthly reports"; is that correct?	
17	four corners of the Settlement Agreement?	17		(Witness reviews document.)	
18	MR. EPLER: Oh, no. Absolutely	18	A.	Yes, "with the monthly reports."	
19	not. They can explain anything that is of	19	Q.	Is there a requirement in the rule that the	
20	concern to the Commission on this. Just in	20		60-minute explanations be provided with the	
21	terms of litigating this and what I would	21		monthly reports?	
22	expect an outcome, certainly the Commission	22	A.	The rule, the 504.07?	
23	can accept or reject the Settlement Agreement.	23	_	Yes.	
24	If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement,	24	A.	No, because the rule doesn't even reference	
[WI]	NESS: KNEPPER] Page 6	[WI	TNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 8	3
			TNES		3
[WI7	we would not, based on this record today, then	[WI7		"monthly reports."	3
1	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of	1	TNES	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the	3
1 2	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the	1 2		"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with	3
1 2 3	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject	1 2 3	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct?	3
1 2 3 4	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the	1 2 3 4	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct?	3
1 2 3 4 5	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct.	3
1 2 3 4 5	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes.	33
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes.	33
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided."	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added?	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added.	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. Could you please turn to your testimony at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly reports?	3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. Could you please turn to your testimony at Page 11, and referencing the corrections that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly reports? Well, there was the monthly reports	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. Could you please turn to your testimony at Page 11, and referencing the corrections that you made to the testimony this morning	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly reports? Well, there was the monthly reports there was one month where they I'm having a	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. Could you please turn to your testimony at Page 11, and referencing the corrections that you made to the testimony this morning first of all, at the end of Line 2 there's a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly reports? Well, there was the monthly reports there was one month where they I'm having a tough time talking did put an explanation	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we would not, based on this record today, then go on to determine the ultimate issues of fines or penalties, assuming it rejects the Settlement Agreement. If it were to reject the Settlement Agreement, we would have an opportunity to come back and then litigate those issues. But certainly, if there's any matter, any question either on the Settlement Agreement or statements that were made that the Commission has questions of the Company's witnesses, we'll be prepared to address that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. Go ahead. MR. EPLER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. EPLER: Q. Mr. Knepper, good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. Could you please turn to your testimony at Page 11, and referencing the corrections that you made to the testimony this morning	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	"monthly reports." So the Company's filing of its of the 60-minute explanations were consistent with the requirements of the rule; is that correct? That's correct. And then you added language saying that the filings were being made to Staff via the electronic filing system. And that filing by the electronic filing system, that's permitted by the rule; is that correct? I believe so, yes. And then you added the clause at the end of that sentence, "But not all statements seemed to comport with the monthly data provided." Is that what you added? That's what I added. Is it correct that until recently, you were not aware of the filing of the monthly reports? Well, there was the monthly reports there was one month where they I'm having a	

[WI]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 9	1		S: KNEPPER] Page 11
_					
1		compare the monthlies to the quarterlies to	1		Yes.
2		get the explanations that come with it. And	2	Q.	Was this issue ever raised with the Company
3		so it seems simpler to just put it with the	3		during your review of the integration plans or
4		monthlies. So, when I compare it against	4		the transition plans?
5		whether it's quarterly or monthly, when I	5	A.	
6		compare it to the data that would be the	6		the hearing that we had when the acquisition
7		same data in question there was some that	7		occurred. I was under the interpretation
8		we found did not seem to reflect the data that	8		[sic] that the Company would include
9	_	the explanation was with.	9		information regarding the service techs in the
10	Q.	But is it correct that until recently, you	10		integration plan. At the time, we weren't
11		were not aware that the quarterly reports were	11		sure what the integration plan was going to
12		being filed?	12		be. There didn't seem one. It was more of an
13	A.	They were not coming directly to the Safety	13		all-inclusive list of all the various things
14	_	Division, no. That's an internal issue here.	14		that the Company was going through, and
15	Q.		15	_	probably most of it was related to systems.
16		being filed; is that correct?	16	Q.	Well, once the transition plans the
17		Not at that time, no.	17		transition reports were filed, did you ever
18	Q.		18		raise any issue with the Company as to missing
19		whether the statements comported with the	19		information that you expected to see?
20		monthly data provided, that was not an issue	20	A.	No. Would you like me to talk about the
21		that was ever raised with the Company; is that	21	0	transition reports?
22		correct?	22	Q.	No, I just wanted I just asked you a
23	A.	I don't believe so. I think we raised it	23		question as to whether or not you raised it,
24		initially, that we wanted to have	24		raised any concerns regarding the transition
[WI]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 10	[WI]	TNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 12
	NES			TNES	
1	TNES	explanations, when we met with the Company.	1	TNES	reports to the Company. And my understanding
1 2	NES	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you			reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct?
1 2 3	NES	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that	1 2	A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct.
1 2 3 4		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it.	1 2 3		reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement
1 2 3 4 5		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether	1 2 3 4	A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10.
1 2 3 4		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data	1 2 3 4	A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it.
1 2 3 4 5 6		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads, "Staff has no record that a formal integration	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn to your testimony at Page 33, and if you were
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads, "Staff has no record that a formal integration plan was ever developed or subsequently shared	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn to your testimony at Page 33, and if you were just to ignore the first column that says
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads, "Staff has no record that a formal integration plan was ever developed or subsequently shared with Staff that specifically stated dates of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn to your testimony at Page 33, and if you were just to ignore the first column that says "Category Label" otherwise, what's in that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads, "Staff has no record that a formal integration plan was ever developed or subsequently shared with Staff that specifically stated dates of hires of service technicians"; is that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn to your testimony at Page 33, and if you were just to ignore the first column that says "Category Label" otherwise, what's in that table on Page 33 are the current standards; is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. A.	explanations, when we met with the Company. It would have been easier to get it when you have all the data, to have an explanation that goes with it. But in terms of raising the issue of whether or not the quarterly statement the data provided in the quarterly statements that were required by PUC 504.07C, whether they comported with the monthly data, that was never raised with the Company; is that correct? I guess when we asked to get the data, no one was saying, "Well, we're providing it in the quarterly reports." Okay. Can you please turn to Page 18 of your testimony. I have I'm there. Sorry. Okay. You added an insert on the sentence that begins at Line 6, so that it now reads, "Staff has no record that a formal integration plan was ever developed or subsequently shared with Staff that specifically stated dates of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	reports to the Company. And my understanding is that you said "No"; is that correct? That's correct. Okay. Can you turn to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 10. Yeah, I have it. Okay. And can you please turn to Page 3 of that. I have it. Okay. And just so we make sure we're on the same page on this, literally, I'm looking at the table at the top of that page. Do you have that in front of you? The one that's labeled "Emergency Response Standards"? Yes. Now, if we were to compare this to the Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place and I think if you turn to your just for convenience, if you turn to your testimony at Page 33, and if you were just to ignore the first column that says "Category Label" otherwise, what's in that

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	IUI.		TIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 13	[WI7	TNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 15
1		(Witness reviews document.)	1	0	And do you know if there was an effective date
2	Q.	I'm basically just looking for a reference, a	2	Q.	in the as to when the response standards
3	₹.	convenient reference so that we can look at	3		were to apply under DG under the Settlement
4		what the current standards are compared to the	4		Agreement in DG 08-048?
5		standards in the Settlement Agreement.	5	A.	****
6	A.	Yeah, I'm just double-checking.	6		Would you accept, subject to check, that it's
7	Q.	Sure.	7		silent on that?
8	•	(Witness reviews document.)	8	((Ms. Fabrizio hands document to witness.)
9	A.	Yup, they look correct.	9		(Witness reviews document.)
10	Q.	Okay. So, just comparing those, if you were	10	A.	I don't think it specifically states it.
11		to start well, we can start at the top.	11		And moving to Paragraph 2.4 under the proposed
12		Normal hours, there's an increase of five	12		Settlement Agreement I mean, the Settlement
13		percentage points	13		Agreement that we're proposing the Commission
14	A.	That's correct.	14		approve there's a specific measure that
15	Q.	for response time to 30 minutes?	15		gives detail as to when the time of
16	A.	Yeah. The new one, Section 2.2, says	16		response how the time of response is to be
17		87 percent.	17		measured; is that correct?
18	Q.	And then there's a new category, "All Hours,"	18	A.	Yeah, that's correct.
19		30 minutes at 80 percent, and that's in	19	Q.	And it indicates the total time, beginning
20		addition. That does not appear in the current	20		from when the call is received by Northern and
21		standards?	21		a work order is created during that call; is
22	A.	Yeah, it does not have a correlating category	22		that correct?
23		or classification on Page 33 of my testimony.	23	A.	That's what it says.
24	Q.	So that's a new standard; is that correct?	24	Q.	And there's no comparable provision under the
[WI	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 14	[WI]	TNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 16
[WI7		S: KNEPPER] Page 14 Yes.	[WI7	ΓNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 16 current Emergency Response Standards, in terms
				ΓNES	
1	A.	Yes.	1	ΓNES	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms
1 2	A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry.	1 2	TNES	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.)
1 2 3	A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not	1 2 3		current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.)
1 2 3 4	A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct?	1 2 3 4		current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't
1 2 3 4 5	A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary?	1 2 3 4 5		current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are.
1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not	1 2 3 4 5 6		current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher. Now, section referring again to Exhibit 10,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same reporting and detail and format that it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher. Now, section referring again to Exhibit 10, Section 2.3, that's the Effective Date?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same reporting and detail and format that it currently provides, in terms of its emergency
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher. Now, section referring again to Exhibit 10, Section 2.3, that's the Effective Date? Effective Date, yeah, 2.3.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same reporting and detail and format that it currently provides, in terms of its emergency response; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher. Now, section referring again to Exhibit 10, Section 2.3, that's the Effective Date? Effective Date, yeah, 2.3. And it provides that it will be effective upon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same reporting and detail and format that it currently provides, in terms of its emergency response; is that correct? Yeah, that's correct.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And then Weekends and Holidays I'm sorry. Then there are two categories that do not appear in the standards, and that's the "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; am I correct? Can you say that one more time, Gary? Yes. There are two categories that do not appear in the new proposed Emergency Response Standards: "After Hours," 30 minutes, and "Weekends and Holidays," 30 minutes; is that correct? That's correct. And for all the remaining categories, the percent to achieve is higher in each row in the new standards compared to the current standards; is that correct? That's yeah, they're slightly higher. Now, section referring again to Exhibit 10, Section 2.3, that's the Effective Date? Effective Date, yeah, 2.3.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. Q.	current Emergency Response Standards, in terms of defining when a call begins? (Witness reviews document.) Well, I think they have the "call received time" would have been what I would have used to say that. The old standards don't aren't written to the degree that these are. At the time I believe at the time, Unitil didn't even have a system in place. So, this Section 2.4 provides more certainty with regard to when the how you measure the response time? I think it eliminates any possible misinterpretation that a utility or Staff person might have. Now turning to Paragraph 2.5, Reporting. Under this section, the Company agrees that it's going to continue to provide the same reporting and detail and format that it currently provides, in terms of its emergency response; is that correct? Yeah, that's correct.

AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY - April 25, 2012

		AFTERNOON SESSION DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	N OI UT	NLY ILI'I	- April 25, 2012 FIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 17			SS: KNEPPER] Page 19
1		see these reports, would be able to see how	1	A.	2.5.1. "a detailed explanation including
2		the Company is responding, in terms of the	2		any actions taken to prevent reoccurrence of
3		criteria that are set forward in the Emergency	3		any individual response exceeding 60
4		Response Standards that were agreed to in DG	4		minutes" Yeah, I think that's what the
5		08-048; is that correct?	5		Staff is looking for. It doesn't do us any
6	A.	Yeah. I think the monthly reporting will	6		good if someone says there's a lot of traffic
7		allow us to continue the trending that we went	7		or some other breakdown along the way. We're
8		through earlier in my discussion. So it will	8		looking to see, you know, is there anything
9		allow that to continue.	9		that we can do to try to eliminate these
10	Q.	Okay. In this section under 2.5.1, the	10		60-minute calls.
11	•	Company will satisfy the requirements of PUC	11	Q.	
12		504.07(c) as part of its regular reporting.	12	ζ.	provide a detailed explanation of any failure
13		And that's clarified here as well; is that	13		to meet any particular Emergency Response
14		correct?	14		Standards in any evaluation during any
15	A.	Yeah. Staff is concerned we're just	15		evaluation period and include a remediation
16		looking for a single explanation. If you	16		plan to prevent reoccurrence; is that correct?
17		exceed 60 minutes you know, I guess under	17	A.	•
18		the rules you would have to do it twice. But	18	Q.	•
19		we think that's just kind of it doesn't	19		Section 2.6 is titled "Monthly Evaluation."
20		help. So when the data is coming, we think	20		And that indicates that the Company's
21		that this 2.5.1 is good enough to be able to	21		emergency response performance will be
22		do that.	22		evaluated against these standards, based on a
23	Q.	And this provision also provides that it will	23		rolling 12-month period; is that correct?
24		be it will the Company is committing to	24	A.	Doesn't say the word "rolling," but the 12
[\\/]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 18	[\//[TNIES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 20
Lvvii	INLO		[VVI	IIVEC	
1		go beyond the requirement of Section	1	_	preceding consecutive months, yes.
2		504.07(c), in that it will also include any	2	Q.	1 0
3		actions taken to prevent recurrence for any	3		month, then, in terms of evaluation. We would
4		individual response exceeding 60 minutes. And	4		look back on the previous 12 months of data;
5		that requirement is not part of the current	5		is that correct?
6		rules; is that correct?	6	A.	That's correct.
7	A.	No. That's correct. The rules apply to all	7	Q.	
8		the gas companies. This Settlement Agreement	8		And is it correct that, currently under the
9		just applies to Unitil. The rules apply to	9		standards agreed to, there's no express
10	0	all the gas companies in the state.	10	A	penalty provision?
11	Q.	Right. But there's no requirement in the	11	A.	*
12		current rules to include a report of actions taken to prevent the occurrence; is that	12		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: By that you
13		correct?	13 14		mean the Settlement Agreement growing out of the prior case?
14 15	A.	It wouldn't be, because 2.5.2 references this	15		MR. EPLER: Yes. Thank you,
16	11.	Emergency Response Standard in 2.2.	16		Chairman. Yes, I was referring to the
17	Q.	I guess my question is the Company is	17		standards currently in place under as a
18	٧.	agreeing in this provision, 2.5.1, to go	18		result of the Settlement Agreement in DG
19		beyond what is required in the rules, in that	19		08-048.
20		it will it's agreeing to include actions	20		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
21		taken to prevent reoccurrence in its	21	R	Y MR. EPLER:
		talled to proven to to occurrence in its		•	DI DDIN

24 Q. 2.5.1?

22

reporting. Would you agree to that?

23 A. In 2.5.2? Is that what you're referring to?

22 Q. And just for clarity, so that we understand,

this provides that the penalty is an automatic

penalty of \$8,000 per month for failing to

23

[WI7	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 21			S: KNEPPER] Page 23
		•			
1		meet any of the Emergency Response Standards	1		of Evaluation and Penalties again, just to
2		in the preceding consecutive 12-month period.	2		clarify, because I guess there are probably
3		So, first, by "automatic," that means	3		several effective dates in this agreement.
4		that there's no requirement of any proceeding,	4		So, just to walk through each one.
5		investigation, complaint. It's merely you do	5		The first effective date is in Section
6		the evaluation based on the reporting. If the	6		2.3. And that what that means or would
7		Company fails to meet any of that criteria,	7		you agree that that means that once this
8		the penalty's automatically assessed. Would	8		Settlement Agreement is approved by the
9		you agree with that?	9		Commission, these are the standards that apply
10	A.	Yes. I mean, we'd check to make sure the data	10		from that date forward?
11		reported was correct and there wasn't any	11	A.	That's correct.
12		problems with it or that kind of thing.	12	Q.	•
13	Q.	And then there are limitations on the	13	A.	But the evaluations don't immediately kick in.
14		assessment of the penalty, that basically	14	Q.	Right. I was just going to get to that. So
15		provide that the maximum penalty in any month	15		that's provided in Paragraph 2.3.
16		is \$8,000, no matter how many different	16		And then if you go to Paragraph 2.8, it
17		categories there may have been of failure to	17		talks about the effective date of the
18		attain; is that correct?	18		evaluations and the penalties. So the first
19	A.	Yeah, I think there's eight now. So, I guess,	19		effective date in Paragraph 2.8 is 90 days
20		potentially, the Company could miss all eight	20		after the date of approval of the Settlement
21		and be subject to a \$8,000 penalty,	21		Agreement by the Commission. And that's for
22		potentially.	22		the when you start the evaluation of the
23	Q.	And then there's a cumulative penalty, that in	23		12-consecutive-month period
24		any calendar year, no more than \$96,000 in	24	A.	Looking backwards.
[WI]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 22	[WI]	TNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 24
[WI7	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 22 penalty could be assessed.	[WI7		S: KNEPPER] Page 24 for each standard.
	NES			Q.	
1		penalty could be assessed.	1	Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards.
1 2	A.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct.	1 2	Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards.
1 2 3	A.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would	1 2 3	Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question.
1 2 3 4	A.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories	1 2 3 4	Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with
1 2 3 4 5	A.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar	1 2 3 4 5	Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5	A.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain the immediate attention of the Company?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the first quarter of this year, in 2012, will be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain the immediate attention of the Company? Since we're now doing it over a 12-month	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the first quarter of this year, in 2012, will be included in that evaluation for the All Hours
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain the immediate attention of the Company? Since we're now doing it over a 12-month period, we don't want to wait. You know, we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the first quarter of this year, in 2012, will be included in that evaluation for the All Hours criteria. Say that one more time.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain the immediate attention of the Company? Since we're now doing it over a 12-month period, we don't want to wait. You know, we don't want more months to go by if they start	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the first quarter of this year, in 2012, will be included in that evaluation for the All Hours criteria. Say that one more time.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	penalty could be assessed. That's correct. So, essentially, that cumulative penalty would be met if the Company failed to respond to any failed to achieve any of the categories in all months during that particular calendar year. In a calendar year, yes. So that's not necessarily the period in between the evaluation periods. And would you agree that having the penalty provide having the risk of the penalty being assessed immediately as opposed to waiting at the end of the calendar year for the assessment, and as opposed to waiting for the result of the investigation, is something that Staff thinks is important to have to gain the immediate attention of the Company? Since we're now doing it over a 12-month period, we don't want to wait. You know, we don't want more months to go by if they start giving a substandard type of behavior.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	for each standard. For seven out of the eight standards. Okay. And you're anticipating my question. So it's seven out of the eight standards, with the exception of the All Hours standard; is that correct? Yes. The eighth is the All Hours standard. And that one looks like it would be January, the definitive date, whether or not the effective date of this agreement and evaluation kind of coincide to the paragraph above. So, that one we didn't want to go any further than that. Okay. So that so, having the effective date for the evaluation of the All Hours period means, effectively, that the Company's response that has already occurred with the first quarter of this year, in 2012, will be included in that evaluation for the All Hours criteria. Say that one more time. Okay. Having the effective date of the

		AFTERNOON SESSIO DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	IO N TU	NLY ILIT	- April 25, 2012 IES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI7	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 25	[WI	TNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 27
1		2012 that's already passed will be part of	1		Staff?
2		that evaluation.	2	Α.	Yes, that's what that says. "The plan will be
3	A.	Yeah. That would be the data that we already	3		subject to Staff review and approval."
4		have for January, February and March of this	4	Q.	And Section 3.2, the Company agrees to
5		year, 2012, would have already occurred and be	5	Ψ.	designate a vice-president for responsibility
6		included in that.	6		for compliance with these new Emergency
7	Q.	Okay. And to the extent that the Company has	7		Response Standards and to and who would be
8	ζ.	not met the All Hours response, 30 minutes at	8		reviewing all submittals regarding the
9		80 percent, in the first quarter, that would	9		Emergency Response Standards prior to filing
10		mean that for the remaining three quarters it	10		with the Commission; is that correct?
11		has to achieve better than 80-percent response	11	A.	Yes.
12		in order to meet, on the 12-month basis, the	12		Now
13		80 percent.	13	_	
14	A.	Yeah. You're not evaluated on a quarterly	14		And from the Staff's point of view, this was
15		basis, so you have to wait until we have 12	15	Ψ.	an important provision that clarify senior
16		months of data.	16		management responsibility within the Company
17	Q.	Okay. And then Section 2.9 clarifies the	17		for these standards. Would you agree with
18		ability of the Staff to request that the	18		that?
19		Commission open an investigation to determine	19	A.	I believe that's what I've already stated
20		whether additional actions should be taken if,	20		earlier today.
21		once the Staff has had an opportunity to	21	Q.	Then Section 3.3 provides for quarterly
22		review the Company's performance under the new	22		meetings. That's something that I guess,
23		standards, if it's not satisfied for any	23		unfortunately, has not occurred in the past or
24		reason with its performance, it could, of	24		does not occur now. But that just clarifies
DA/17	-NIEO	O. MIEDDEDI	F) A //-	TNEO	2. (ALERDER)
[VVI	INES	S: KNEPPER] Page 26	[VVI	INES	S: KNEPPER] Page 28
1		course, come to the Commission and say an	1		that those will occur. Would you agree?
2		investigation should be opened; there's	2	A.	Yeah, I would say in the past the meetings
3		problems with whatever they happen to be. And	3		have been sporadic. They're not planned out.
4		it also clarifies that the penalties that	4	Q.	So this is a benefit here in the settlement to
5		if that were to occur, that the penalties that	5		clarify this.
6		are provided for in Section 2.8 are not the	6	A.	Yeah, I think anytime you establish regular
7		total penalties that could potentially apply	7		communications, that will be beneficial when
8		if the Commission were told about an	8		it comes to these things. You know, we're
9		investigation.	9		looking at data you can get information
10	A.	I think the Commission has authority to impose	10		behind the data that we just won't ever see or
11	_	penalties beyond that.	11	0	know.
12	Q.	Then turning to Section 3, this section	12	Q.	Okay. And then this section also provides
13		provides that the Company agrees to develop	13		that if that in a five-year period that
14		and file with the Commission a work plan by	14		we're going to continue to review the terms
15		which it will meet the Emergency Response	15		and conditions of this stipulation and
16		Standards.	16		determine whether changes are appropriate, and
17		Has the Company and Staff had some	17		that if we can't agree on that, whether or not
18		initial discussions with regard to that work	18		there should be changes, the Staff could
19	٨	plan?	19		petition the Commission to immediately
20	A.	Not to a real detailed level. We have no	20		reinstate the response standards that are
21		milestones and things like that established or	21		currently in effect. So there is an outside

anything.

23 Q. But this section does provide that the plan

will be subject to review and approval by

22

24

limitation to this, to these standards, at

reviewing and determining whether they work,

least in terms of getting together and

22

23

[WIT	NESS	S: KNEPPER] Page 29	1		S: KNEPPER] Page 31
1		whether they're accomplishing the goals	1	_	into.
2		consistent with what the Commission wants to	2		Okay.
3		see achieved and what the Safety Division	3	A.	•
4		wants to be achieved.	4		looking let's go back to that
5		Yeah, I believe this isn't meant to say that	5		January 2004 or 2010. I'm sorry. It does
6		we have a perpetual agreement that will go on	6		not say of the four calls that were gotten to
7		forever.	7		within 30 minutes, it doesn't say one was 12
8		Now, if we can just briefly, just for	8		minutes and one was 29 and one was 15 or some
9		reference and this is not going to get into	9		other number. It just says four were gotten
10		a lot of detail but if you can turn to this	10		to in less than 30.
11		sheet, which is reproduced in large scale in	11	Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		front of the Bench, which I believe is	12		standard. You achieve it or don't achieve it.
13		Exhibit 12?	13		A miss by a minor amount is as good as a miss
14		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Twelve. The	14		by a large amount; is that correct?
15		three line drafts that's 12.	15	A.	•
16		MR. EPLER:	16		looking at the response times and trying to
17	_	And then this sheet, which is exhibit I'm	17		average them out or anything like that, or
18		sorry?	18		looking at something like that.
19		CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thirteen, I	19	Q.	All right. And you're not looking at any
20		believe.	20		specific performance to see, well, if the
21		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, this is	21		Company didn't meet the 30 minutes, how much
22		13.	22		did it miss any particular standard by. Did
23		MR. EPLER: Exhibit 13.	23		it miss it by 30 seconds? Did it miss it by a
24		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And then the	24		minute? Did it miss it by 20 minutes?
[\//]	MESS	2: KNEDDEDI Dogo 20	[\A/I=	TNEC	C: KNEDDEDI Dogo 22
[WIT		S: KNEPPER] Page 30	[WI7		S: KNEPPER] Page 32
[WIT		orange blocks below	[WI7		Yeah, except that we know that the outer one
	BY	orange blocks below MR. EPLER:			Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes.
1	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12	1	A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60.
1 2	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and	1 2		Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to
1 2 3	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here.	1 2 3	A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than
1 2 3 4	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages	1 2 3 4	A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes
1 2 3 4 5	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis.	1 2 3 4	A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you
1 2 3 4 5	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction.	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY Q. A.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes. Okay. But neither of these show by how much	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're trying to say.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes. Okay. But neither of these show by how much any call is achieved or missed, in terms of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're trying to say. On any given date
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes. Okay. But neither of these show by how much any call is achieved or missed, in terms of reference to the particular time standard.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're trying to say. On any given date If you got there for instance, if you got
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes. Okay. But neither of these show by how much any call is achieved or missed, in terms of reference to the particular time standard. It does, if you're asking all this does is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're trying to say. On any given date If you got there for instance, if you got there within 45 minutes and the house that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	orange blocks below MR. EPLER: Okay. So if you could refer to Exhibits 12 and 13, just to be able to clarify what is and what's not on here. This Exhibit 12 shows the percentages achieved by the Company on a monthly basis. It's a graphical depiction. I think it depicts those that were achieved and those that weren't achieved. Okay. But it provides the performance relative to percentage. That's correct. And then Exhibit 12 provides the actual number of calls. I think it's Exhibit 13 that does that. I'm sorry. Exhibit 13 provides the actual number of calls broken down by each category. Yes. Okay. But neither of these show by how much any call is achieved or missed, in terms of reference to the particular time standard.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yeah, except that we know that the outer one that they'll miss is no more than 15 minutes. So you start exceeding 60. So you could come to a conclusion as to whether or not there was a miss by more than 15 minutes Yeah, going to the next category. So you could have zeros for the 45-minute category and numbers into the 60-minute category. Now, under the current standards currently in place, if the Company was to respond today to an odor call and it arrived at that call location within in 45 minutes, is that an unsafe response? I don't think I can answer that question based upon the information you've given me. Well, I asked you I would need more information is what I'm saying. I need more details upon what you're trying to say. On any given date If you got there for instance, if you got

רו/איז	INES	S: KNEPPER] Page 33	_	SS: KNEPPER] Page 35
[VVII	INLO	·	[VVIIIVE	•
1		found that the contributing factor was that we	1	wasn't. But I don't know that until we get
2		couldn't get their people in time, then I	2	there.
3		would say that may be related. It may not be	3	MS. FABRIZIO: Excuse me. Can I
4		related.	4	interrupt and ask? Perhaps this will help
5	Q.	Well, let's take a situation where we don't	5	clarify. Are there emergency response time
6		have an explosion. We have a situation where	6	standards developed to respond to a federal
7		there is a call and a response and an arrival	7	requirement of "safe response," or are they
8		of 45 minutes. No explosion. The situation	8	developed to adhere to a federal minimum
9		checks out. Either there was a leak or there	9	requirement of "prompt response"?
10		wasn't a leak. Either way, doesn't matter.	10	WITNESS KNEPPER: I think
11		Is that an unsafe response?	11	federal codes, you know, state the word
12	A.	I don't think I can answer that question. I	12	"prompt response," and that's part of an
13		know you're trying to frame me into that. I	13	overall safety regulation. There's many other
14	_	don't think I can do that.	14	parts of that safety regulation, but
15	Q.	I'm just asking you a question.	15	MS. FABRIZIO: And do the
16	A.	I'm trying to give you my answer.	16	emergency response time standards go to the
17	Q.	At any particular time, for any particular	17	safeness of the Company's response or to the
18		response, assuming there's no catastrophic	18	timeliness?
19		event, can you tell, based on these Emergency	19	WITNESS KNEPPER: What we're
20		Response Standards that are currently in	20	talking about here in this docket is strictly
21		place, whether a particular response was safe	21	time. The safeness could determine a bunch of
22		or not safe?	22	other factors that are beyond this. And so
23	A.	I don't think that's I don't think you	23	when you're evaluating the overall response
24		measure safety in the way that you're trying	24	level, you're talking about a whole host of
[\//]	INES	S: KNEDDERI Page 34	IWITNES	SS: KNEPPERI Page 36
[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 34	[WITNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 36
[WI7		to ask the question.	[WITNES	other variables that aren't worth talking
		to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the	1 2	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly
1		to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine	1 2 3	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's
1 2 3 4	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether	1 2 3 4	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how
1 2 3	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we	1 2 3	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time
1 2 3 4 5	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have	1 2 3 4 5 6	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q.	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q.	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place. I'd have to see if it's a contributing factor.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place. I'd have to see if it's a contributing factor. A contributing factor to what?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Questions from the Bench? Mr. Harrington,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place. I'd have to see if it's a contributing factor. A contributing factor to what? To the events that occurred.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Questions from the Bench? Mr. Harrington, questions?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place. I'd have to see if it's a contributing factor. A contributing factor to what? To the events that occurred. And if no event occurred?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Questions from the Bench? Mr. Harrington, questions? CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, I have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	to ask the question. I'm asking just basically based on the standards that are in place, can you determine whether I don't think you can sit there and say if we get there within 30 minutes this would have occurred, if we got there within 35 minutes this would have occurred. I don't think you can measure safety that way. I'm not suggesting anything occurred. I'm just saying the question I don't think you'll ever know is my point. I think you're trying to define something that I can't define. I'm not asking you to define anything. I'm simply asking whether or not you can determine whether a response on a particular date was safe or not safe based on the standards that are currently in place. I'd have to see if it's a contributing factor. A contributing factor to what? To the events that occurred.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	other variables that aren't worth talking about in this docket. This docket is clearly a time docket. It's not beyond that. It's not how qualified somebody is. It's not how familiar they are. It's just strictly a time docket. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if I could just take a moment, I believe I may be done. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine. (Pause in proceeding.) MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Knepper. Thank you, Chairman. I'm done with my cross-examination of this witness. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr. Sullivan, questions? MR. SULLIVAN: The Union has no questions for Mr. Knepper today. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Questions from the Bench? Mr. Harrington, questions?

[WITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 37 [WITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 39 INTERROGATORIES BY CMSR. HARRINGTON: period of time listed there anywhere. At least I haven't been able to find one. Is Q. Mr. Knepper, can you briefly explain how these 2 3 standards that are proposed in the Settlement 3 this Percentage to Achieve, is that based on a Agreement are comparable or not comparable, I yearly -- that's the yearly 12-month rolling 4 4 average that you were talking about? I don't guess, to the ones imposed on other gas 5 5 utilities that are regulated by this see that listed here. 6 6 Commission? A. No, it's listed in words below it. 7 7 O. Okay. Words below it. A. These standards are different. These ones 8 8 that are proposed are different. We have A. That's going to be listed in 2.6 for the 9 9 nothing for any other utility that talks about evaluation. When it says "evaluated against 10 10 the All Hours category. So, some of the the Emergency Response Standards," I believe 11 11 it's referring to those -- that table in 2.2 standards and classifications are different. 12 12 with the title of "Emergency Response 13 Looks like the percentages that are 13 achieved are different. But the overall -- I Standards," using the preceding 12 consecutive 14 14 guess there are some commonalities that are 15 15 months. similar, where we look at 30-, 45- and Q. And this is what I'm trying to get at. It 16 16 60-minute buckets. We look at weekends, says "Monthly Evaluation." I'm trying to 17 17 holidays -- weekends and holidays and after figure out what determines success or failure. 18 18 If in any one month they fail to achieve the 19 business hours and normal business hours. 19 O. And why would we -- it would seem that we have Percent to Achieve standards in the chart on 20 20 21 a response standard, whether the person 21 Page 3, then that's considered a failure, like responding was responding to a potential gas all those little boxes you showed us before --22 22 leak in the service territory on the seacoast 23 23 A. No. or service territory in Nashua or in 24 Q. -- that would have a minus one there. [WITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 38 [WITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 40 Manchester. Why would we have different A. No. We're going to take the boxes, okay, the 1 data set for 12 months, and then look at them 2 standards for time of response? 2 A. I think they're unique to the gas company. 3 over a 12-month period --3 For instance: This Commission regulates New O. And average them? 4 Hampshire Gas, and so their territory is a A. -- and it's going to take how many calls came 5 single town. I would expect even stricter in during that 12-month period. So you're not 6 6 requirements than these be imposed on them looking at an individual month anymore. 7 7 because they don't have a far distance to Q. So that's what I'm trying to find out. So if 8 travel; you know, from one end of the system we go back to the chart, then what we're 9 9 to the other is 4 miles. You should be able referring to is -- let's take the first one --10 10 to get to all calls within 30 minutes, under Normal Hours response time, 30 minutes, 11 11 any condition. So I don't think that you can 87 percent to achieve. And that's over any 12 12 necessarily -- I think you have to kind of given 12-month period evaluated on a monthly 13 13 tailor them to the customers they serve, the basis? 14 14 15 miles of pipe that they have, the pressures in 15 A. Yes, within that -- yes. The 12-month the systems, the amount of leaks that they period's going to keep moving. But you're not 16 16 have. Those kind of things. looking at a quarterly basis, you're not 17 17 So, one size does not fit all is what you're looking at a monthly basis. You're looking 18 Q. 18 at -- so it's defining that period of time -saying in this case then. 19 19 20 Just so I'm clear on the Settlement Q. It's always over a year, but it's a 20 Agreement, on Page 3 of 6 there's a chart. 21 different -- the dates included in the year 21 And it says "Emergency Response Standards," 22 move. 22 23 and then it lists various categories: Response 23 A. Correct. Time and Percent to Achieve. There's no Q. Okay. That helps a little bit. 24

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UI.	TILITIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 41	[WI]	/ITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 43
1	A.	So that no one date is going to have a big	1	that than what's happened in the past. Okay.
2		effect over a 12-month period.	2	
3	Q.	And that would seem to be was that a change	3	
4		from the last Settlement Agreement, where you	4	
5		seem to be showing individual months?	5	
6	A.	To me, that's a significant change.	6	
7	Q.	So it is a change then.	7	
8		Well, that was part of the Company's and the	8	response time was the biggest problem on off
9		Staff	9	hours, and some of the other off-hour response
10	Q.	Let me make this clear, then. Before, you did	10	times, nights, weekends and so forth. Then we
11		it month by month on that last one, and now	11	had a lot of discussion on there was a
12		you're using a rolling 12-month average; is	12	whole mess of graphs and data points and
13		that correct?	13	figures and so forth as to where exactly what
14	A.	That's correct.	14	occurred, in what months and so forth. I
15	Q.	Okay. That's what I was trying to get at. So	15	
16		that part has been changed.	16	any analysis as to why that occurred. In
17		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Epler.	17	·
18		MR. EPLER: Yes. This is a	18	
19		point of contention. We would not agree that	19	<u>e</u>
20		that's correct. We do not believe that there	20	11
21		is that we agreed to a monthly evaluation	21	
22		standard under the current standards that are	22	1 7
23		in place. At the time there's nothing in	23	•
24		the agreement in DG 08-048 that indicates it's	24	for example: I haven't heard any breakdown on
[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 42	[WI]	/ITNESS: KNEPPER] Page 44
[WIT	NES		[WI7	
	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 42 a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is		travel time in the summer versus travel time
1	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only	1	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area.
1 2	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is	1 2	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and
1 2 3	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this	1 2 3	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really
1 2 3 4	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a	1 2 3 4	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I
1 2 3 4 5	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for	1 2 3 4 5	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or
1 2 3 4 5 6	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement	1 2 3 4 5 6	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea that we're trying to go forward here, would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all months, whether it be winter or snow [sic].
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea that we're trying to go forward here, would you agree that the proposed Settlement	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all months, whether it be winter or snow [sic]. We looked to see if there was ones where there
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea that we're trying to go forward here, would you agree that the proposed Settlement Agreement is a rolling 12-month average?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all months, whether it be winter or snow [sic]. We looked to see if there was ones where there were high months or low months, number of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea that we're trying to go forward here, would you agree that the proposed Settlement Agreement is a rolling 12-month average? MR. EPLER: Yes, we can agree.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all months, whether it be winter or snow [sic]. We looked to see if there was ones where there were high months or low months, number of calls. We did not see that. We were trying
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NES	a monthly evaluation standard. There's only an indication that there's a reporting that is to occur monthly. At the time that this Settlement Agreement was entered into, a similar standard that was in place was for EnergyNorth. They had similar monthly reporting standards, and it was to be evaluated on an annual basis. The Settlement Agreement here is silent on that and CMSR. HARRINGTON: When you say "the Settlement Agreement here," you're talking about the proposed one or the previous one? MR. EPLER: The previous one is silent on that. And it's the Company's position that we never agreed to a monthly evaluation standard. CMSR. HARRINGTON: With the idea that we're trying to go forward here, would you agree that the proposed Settlement Agreement is a rolling 12-month average?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	travel time in the summer versus travel time in, let's say April. This is a tourist area. Certainly, weekends and nights and weekends, traffic could be, you know, a really huge factor in making people go around. I mean, it could have a major influence on that. Wintertime, bad road conditions due to snow or ice or whatever, people tend to drive slower and so forth. It's less daylight, so people generally drive slower in the dark. Was there any analysis of that to determine if there was a seasonal effect that could be addressed some other way? A. I think to get to the root causes probably Unitil would be able to best answer that question. But if you look at it doesn't seem to be a seasonal thing. They're missing it all months, whether it be winter or snow [sic]. We looked to see if there was ones where there were high months or low months, number of calls. We did not see that. We were trying to determine whether it was a particular, I

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	I U I	ILII	IES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 45	[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 47
1		problem, and that person needs to be, you	1		find anything in the testimony or in the
2		know or is it a particular location? Is it	2		Settlement Agreement that says something was
3		a single is it one spot that they can't get	3		done to evaluate what was causing the
4		to within their service territory?	4		problems, and so and then there was
5		We weren't, I believe I don't want to	5		solutions to those and then new standards were
6		speak out of hand for the Company. But we	6		imposed based upon something other than the
7		don't feel it's any one issue that you can	7		Company didn't couldn't meet the old
8	Q.	But did you look at those type of things is	8		standards. So what's the what was the
9		what I'm asking.	9		justification for the new standards, other
10	A.	Yeah. We only looked at the data that was	10		than that they weren't met in the past?
11		provided to us. So, some of the data provided	11	A.	Well, I don't think it was looked upon in that
12		to us is the location of the leak, the time,	12		way, I guess. We looked at them to see
13		how long until dispatch, what the received	13		there was a lot of underlying as Mr. Epler
14		time is, the acceptance time by the person.	14		would say "a lot of underlying issues" as
15		It was all time things. But when you get	15		to what's the best method to achieve certain
16		beyond the time issues, it's very difficult	16		things. And the Company would go, if you want
17		for Staff to have an understanding of that.	17		to look at it this way, this could potentially
18	Q.	And part of your testimony I mean, maybe I	18		be what it cost to achieve that. If you look
19		was misinterpreting but it almost sounded	19		at it this way, this could potentially be the
20		like you went out and you went through all of	20		cost to achieve that. And so while we didn't
21		these various charts here, and especially in	21		do specific numbers on that, they kind of gave
22		Exhibit 13, and you went over the fact that,	22		us outside parameters or outside numbers on
23		if we had imposed the new standards on at	23		that. So I'm not so sure I can answer your
24		least the recent performance by the Company,	24		question the way you asked it.
[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 46	[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 48
1		that, for the most part, they would have	1	Q.	Okay. Well, let me try it a different way
2		passed those new standards. They would have	2		maybe.
3		achieved the standards. And I'm sure you	3		We had a set of standards that
4		weren't implying it, but it almost came across	4		apparently, at least in the cases of the
5		that that was how the new standards were	5		weekends and after hours, there was a large
6		developed. And	6		percentage of noncompliance with. They may or
7	A.	All I can say is I looked at	7		may not have I can't tell from what we've
8	Q.	I guess was that after the standards were	8		been given so far done an analysis to
9		developed you went back and did that analysis?	9		determine why those standards weren't complied
10	A.	Yes. This settlement was relatively recent.	10		with after hours. There's been some, I guess,
11		And we were just crunching these numbers just	11		you know, statements made that, Well, it took
12		even more recent to see where they were. We	12		too long to get there. Maybe there was a
13		knew that there were increases. But when you	13		traffic problem, maybe there wasn't. It
14		look at percentages, you have to kind of go	1		doesn't seem to be seasonal, but we didn't
			14		
15		back and look at what does that really mean in	15		really do a strict analysis of that. So the
		back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our	15 16		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't
15 16 17		back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many	15 16 17		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on
15 16 17 18		back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would	15 16 17 18		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that,
15 16 17		back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we	15 16 17 18 19		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have
15 16 17 18 19 20		back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we did.	15 16 17 18 19 20		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have been too strict, without really finding the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we did. So you did that once the standards were	15 16 17 18 19 20 21		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have been too strict, without really finding the cause for why the standards weren't complied
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we did. So you did that once the standards were developed then. So the developing of the new	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have been too strict, without really finding the cause for why the standards weren't complied with, as best I can tell. So, new standards
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we did. So you did that once the standards were developed then. So the developing of the new standards and this is the part I'm having a	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have been too strict, without really finding the cause for why the standards weren't complied with, as best I can tell. So, new standards were developed. So there must be a rationale
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	back and look at what does that really mean in terms of calls, kind of like we did with our Exhibit 13, and try to determine how many calls over a year or how many misses would actually have changed. And that's what we did. So you did that once the standards were developed then. So the developing of the new	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		really do a strict analysis of that. So the conclusion I've heard is that they didn't comply with the standards, especially on weekends and after hours. So, based on that, it was decided that the standards must have been too strict, without really finding the cause for why the standards weren't complied with, as best I can tell. So, new standards

[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 49		ESS: KNEPPER] Page 51
	0			
1		something was too strict. They were they	1	instances where they exceeded the 60
2		weren't necessary. They were there was	2	minutes you can see there's not too many of
3		something that allowed the Settlement	3	them what we're looking to see is this one
4		Agreement to come out and say now we can have	4	was delayed because the dispatcher got up and
5		different standards.	5	took a break, so it never even got dispatched;
6	A.	Yeah, I think the underlying issues, a lot of	6	so that puts the person who was responding way
7		the things that determine how response times	7	behind. And so our remediation plan would be
8		are done are dependent upon processes that	8	we've addressed that with that employee. He's
9		Unitil has in place. And those are processes	9	been talked to and understands that that's not
10		that they have with certain resources. How	10	allowable. You know, it could be his first
11		many resources are doing the response? Where	11	day or second day here or whatever. So those
12		are those resources? How far away are they?	12	are the kind of things, depending upon what
13		Those are all the that kind of root-cause	13	the cause was, we're asking the Company tell
14		analysis that you're trying to do would	14	us how you're going to address it, because we
15	0	probably be best answered by the Company.	15	really don't want 60-minute response.
16	Q.	Okay. That's fair enough.	16 Q	
17		Let's get to some specifics of the	17	understand my question. I'm not saying that
18		Settlement Agreement then. On Page 3 in Section 2.5.1, as part of the monthly	18	there won't be cases that maybe, you know, as happened earlier this week, someone hit a
19		*	19	telephone pole on Route 1 and they closed
20		reporting, the Company shall well, let me back up before I say that.	20 21	Route 1 for three or four hours, so that could
21 22		In looking at some of the testimony by	22	have delayed it. But my question is with
23		various people, and some of the comments	23	regards to the wording where it says
24		especially made by yourself and Mr. Epler, it	24	"including any actions taken to prevent
24		especially made by yourself and wir. Epici, it	24	merading any actions taken to prevent
[WI7	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 50	[WITNE	ESS: KNEPPER] Page 52
	ΓNES			
1	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was	1	recurrence." Now, in the case of the
1 2	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point	1 2	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no
1 2 3	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different	1 2 3	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required
1 2 3 4	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that	1 2 3 4	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being
1 2 3 4 5	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and	1 2 3 4 5	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may
1 2 3 4 5 6	ΓNES	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today.	1 2 3 4 5	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes? Am I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action that they're going to take to prevent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes? Am I interpreting that wrong? Or is it simply for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action that they're going to take to prevent recurrence. I mean, they may say that section
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes? Am I interpreting that wrong? Or is it simply for them to make a decision on whether they feel	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 20	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action that they're going to take to prevent recurrence. I mean, they may say that section of town was flooded. Every road that we went
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes? Am I interpreting that wrong? Or is it simply for them to make a decision on whether they feel like doing that when their response time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 20 21	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action that they're going to take to prevent recurrence. I mean, they may say that section of town was flooded. Every road that we went to go down was impassable. It just wasn't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	seems like the past Settlement Agreement was at least somewhat ambiguous, and to the point where different groups had different interpretations of what it said. And that seemed to have caused some of the concerns and issues that we're trying to address today. Would that be correct? That's some people's position. Okay. And so looking at Page 3, Section 2.5.1, it says that as part of the monthly reporting, "The Company shall provide a detailed explanation, including any actions taken to prevent recurrence, for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes." So that would imply that, at I guess the Company's discretion, that they may or may not take actions to prevent recurrence for individual responses exceeding 60 minutes? Am I interpreting that wrong? Or is it simply for them to make a decision on whether they feel like doing that when their response time exceeds 60 minutes?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 A 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recurrence." Now, in the case of the telephone pole, they would evaluate and say no actions to take [sic] recurrence were required because we can't anticipate Route 1 being closed. But this would imply they may or may not have to do that. There's no it doesn't say, "including actions to take" "prevent recurrence." The word "any" is in there, which assumes which I'm reading to say that they may not take any actions to prevent recurrence. And so that is the option of whether to perform that evaluation and then to include that in the monthly report, is that the option of the Company? Well, I think I'm required to do an explanation. And you're correct. They may not take any they may not have any action that they're going to take to prevent recurrence. I mean, they may say that section of town was flooded. Every road that we went to go down was impassable. It just wasn't possible. So, you know, we tried this

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UT	ILIT	TIEŜ, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 53	[WI	TNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 55
1		And maybe that's an explanation. But it	1		standards, or is this an attempt to say let's
2		doesn't that's really not an action to	2		forget about the past completely and let's
3		take.	3		only look forward to the future and here's a
4	Q.	But it doesn't say they have to okay. So	4		new set of standards; what do we have to do to
5		you're saying a detailed explanation, and only	5		meet those standards?
6		if they had specific actions as a result of	6	A.	1 & 1
7		that explanation. I'm just trying to make	7		to address that.
8		sure	8	Q.	
9		Right.	9		CMSR. HARRINGTON: That's all
10	Q.	we're clear on what we're saying here then.	10		I've got.
11		Okay.	11		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner
12		Going to the next, top of the next page,	12		Scott.
13		Page 4, on the Monthly Evaluation, it says	13		TERROGATORIES BY MR. SCOTT:
14		each month the Company's response performance	14	_	Good afternoon.
15		shall be evaluated against Emergency Response	15	A.	Good afternoon.
16		Standards using the preceding 12-month	16	Q.	I'm glad your voice is better for you.
17		consecutive months of reported emergency	17		I'm drinking water like it's crazy.
18		response times. Now, who's doing this? Is	18	Q.	This may be somewhat a repeat question, but
19		this being done by the Commission or is this	19		I'm going to take a different tact from
20		being done by the Company? It's not who's	20		Commissioner Harrington's question.
21		doing "the Company's response performance	21		You've already discussed how perhaps
22		shall be evaluated"?	22		these standards in the proposed Settlement
23	A.	I guess it doesn't say who, does it. So far,	23		Agreement compared to other utilities, gas
24		the Staff, Safety Division's been doing that.	24		utilities in New Hampshire. Would you be able
[WI	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 54	[WI	TNES	SS: KNEPPER] Page 56
1	Q.	I'm just trying to make that clear then. This	1		to and I understood that the geography,
2	₹.	is part of the monthly reporting. The Company	2		pipeline distances, a lot of factors kind
3		provides this detailed explanation. And then	3		of it's not a one-size-fits-all. But with
4		each month, I guess, the Company's response	4		that, and your knowledge of all the utilities
5		performance, which is from that monthly	5		that we regulate in New Hampshire for gas, how
6		report, will be evaluated by the Staff.	6		would you compare the times in this proposed
7	A.	Right.	7		Settlement Agreement with what the other
8	Q.	Okay. That's what I was trying to	8		standards are for the other utilities?
9	À.	We're looking to ensure the compliance of the	9	A.	
10		standards that we've agreed upon.	10		if you look at the 30-minute response times,
11	Q.	Okay. And the on page I guess I'm on	11		which I like to look at the most, because I
12		Page 4 now, on Section 3, where it talks about	12		find if you can meet 30 minutes, you should
13		a work plan which will meet the Emergency	13		get to the 45s, and hopefully we don't have
14		Response Standards; this work plan will be	14		too many 60s, if that's what you're focused
15		subject to Staff review and approval. I'm	15		on. The new there's new ones that are
16		trying to determine and maybe this is a	16		proposed on another docket that's before you
17		better question for the Company again. And	17		now. And so those standards on the weekends
18		tell me if it is.	18		and after hours, 30 minutes, are, in my
19		This gets us back to we have the	19		opinion, tighter because we don't have that
20		standards, and now we're implementing a work	20		standard here. It's not in here. This is the
21		plan to meet the standards. But we haven't	21		All Hours, which is we're now mixing in the
22		really determined why we didn't meet the last	22		normal hours, so we can't differentiate those
23		set of standards. So, is this sort of a	23		two. It makes it harder to compare. But if I
24		corrective action plan from the last	24		were just to look at the normal business hours

[WI]	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 57			S: KNEPPER] Page 59	9
1		for 60 minutes, both standards, this	1		management's responsibility to address those	
2		standard's a little bit stricter. But that's	2		things if they find that there's an issue.	
3		really not what we're finding a lot of	3		And so if there's an issue, it tends to be an	
4		compliance issues.	4		anomaly. It doesn't seem to be a pattern.	
5	Q.	In talking about the standards I'll hold my	5		The overall one that has consistently	
6		quotes up here is there for wont of a	6		cropped up is they have some difficulty	
7		better word, what's magic about 30 minutes and	7		hitting the drive times, the "windshield	
8		45 and 60? Where do those come from, those	8		times," as they would say, getting to these	
9		standards?	9		towns on off hours. During normal hours, as	
10	A.	I think they were born with this Commission	10		you can look at the graph, they're meeting all	
11		over a historical period of time. They've had	11		of them. Over 39 months, there's only been	
12		dockets going back 10 or 12 years which kind	12		two occasions where they didn't. So there has	
13		of you know, we just didn't take them out	13		to be the way they handle off hours that's	
14		of thin air. So they've evolved over time.	14		different than the way they handle, you know,	
15		Those are the ones that are in the rules that	15		the normal hours, during the regular working	
16		we have for 504.07 and those kind of	16		hours.	
17		classifications. So I think it's you know,	17	Q.	And you've mentioned, obviously, that it's	
18		we didn't go off on a tangent and say 12.2	18		hard to equate safety with what you're talking	
19		minutes and 27.5 or anything like that. We	19		about as response times. So I think I	
20		used things that were previously developed and	20		understand that.	
21	_	tried to see if they were applicable.	21		If somebody were to call with a gas odor,	
22	Q.	And my next couple questions, perhaps I should	22		there's correct me if I'm wrong, and again,	
23		ask the next panel, but I'll ask you with your	23		I can ask the panel there's nothing saying	
24		knowledge of the utilities. Actually defined	24		that either that person couldn't also call the	
[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 58	[WIT	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 60)
[WIT	NES		[WIT	NES)
	NES	S: KNEPPER] Page 58 in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the		NES	local fire department, or the dispatcher could)
1	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the	1	NES)
1 2	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the	1 2	NES	local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong)
1 2 3	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I	1 2 3	NES	local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call	D
1 2 3 4	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used	1 2 3 4	NESS	local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the)
1 2 3 4 5	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand	1 2 3 4 5		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing.)
1 2 3 4 5	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was	1 2 3 4 5 6		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from	D
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's	D
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NES	in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire	0
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before	D
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those	D
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get it, that's being recorded. When you get	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get there, that's being recorded. When it's being	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when people I mean their public awareness	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get there, that's being recorded. When it's being handed off to another person, that's being	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when people I mean their public awareness campaign is, "If you smell an odor, give us a	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get it, that's being recorded. When you get there, that's being recorded. When it's being handed off to another person, that's being recorded. So we're we've asked for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when people I mean their public awareness campaign is, "If you smell an odor, give us a call." That's what we want to do. We want to	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get it, that's being recorded. When you get there, that's being recorded. When it's being handed off to another person, that's being recorded. So we're we've asked for the data in between. But we're really just trying	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when people I mean their public awareness campaign is, "If you smell an odor, give us a call." That's what we want to do. We want to go out and eliminate that as a possible	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		in the proposed Settlement Agreement, the response time being from when you received the call to somebody showing up on the scene, if I remember correctly, does it track you used the word "missed calls," which I understand you mean missed the goal or but are missed calls tracked, meaning I tried to call to complain and nobody picked up or the phone was busy, that type of thing? Yeah. Every call that Unitil gets, or every notification, they track every single one. And sometimes and maybe Unitil can answer it better. But there are certain things that they date-stamp parts or intermediate steps along the way, and they use that recorder system to do that. So when I'm sending you something, that's being recorded. When you get it, that's being recorded. When you get there, that's being recorded. When it's being handed off to another person, that's being recorded. So we're we've asked for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		local fire department, or the dispatcher could do that same thing. Do you have a real strong odor of gas? I don't know what to do. Call the fire department so they can ventilate the house, that type of thing. Well, lots of times they will call the fire department, and the fire department is the one that may notify Unitil. So we're looking from the time Unitil gets notified, whether it's from the original source person or the fire department. So that's what I'm saying, if there's time things that can evolve before Unitil even knows about it. So some of those calls aren't directly made to Unitil. And some of those may not have anything to do with natural gas. They may just smell the landfill down the street or an oil delivery or something. They smell an odor. And when people I mean their public awareness campaign is, "If you smell an odor, give us a call." That's what we want to do. We want to	

D 4 (17					TIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING	
[VVI	INES	S: KNEPPER] Page 61	[VVII	NES	SS: KNEPPER] Pa	age 63
1	Q.	Yeah, I think. If I can paraphrase, again, so	1		and shall include the same format and detail	il
2		you're confirming it's very hard to equate	2		as provided by the Company in its report si	ince
3		safety and time, obviously.	3		January 2010.	
4	A.	Oh, I think you're missing the point of	4		Will the monthly reporting coming from	1
5		safety. There's all kinds of other	5		the Company continue to include 30-minut	
6		ramifications to determine if it's a safe	6		performance on After Hours and Weekends	
7		situation. What actions are you taking? How	7		Holidays?	
8		qualified is the individual? What other	8	A.	•	
9		things are being done? Are you venting	9		even though we may not evaluate it that wa	av.
10		properly? Are you not venting properly? Are	10		to help get to some of the things that Mr.	٠,
11		you using the equipment? There's a whole	11		Harrington said, you know, the root causes	
12		bunch of other things besides just the	12		You have to be able to take data and look a	
13		response. The response time is just one	13		it multiple ways and then look at a whole	••
14		component. But it is one that you can	14		bunch of others things to determine if there	e's
15		quantify versus the other ones, which are	15		room for areas of improvement.	, ,
16		going to be very more a lot more difficult	16	Q.	•	on
17		to establish.	17	۷٠	Page 3 of the Agreement eliminate the After	
18		So what we've tried to do is to well,	18		Hours and Weekends and Holidays standards	
19		one thing that is concrete let's make that	19		30 minutes, that detail is it your	3 101
20		so that's not you know, what's "prompt"?	20		understanding that that detail will continue	
21		We got there in a prompt well, what does	21		to be provided?	
22		that mean? What's "late"? So we've tried to		A.	•	
23		define that for the companies. And I think	23	11.	(Witness reviews document.)	
24		there's a whole lot of benefits for that.	_	Δ	I'm looking for the paragraph that says it.	
24		there's a whole lot of behefits for that.	24	11.	Thi looking for the paragraph that says it.	
[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPERI Page 62	[WIT	NES	SS: KNEPPER) Pa	age 64
	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 62				age 64
1	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these	1	Q.	Sorry.	age 64
1 2	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going	1 2	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does.	age 64
1 2 3	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they	1 2 3	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I	age 64
1 2 3 4	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know	1 2 3 4	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions.	
1 2 3	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very	1 2 3	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M	
1 2 3 4 5	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time.	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEpler.	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEpler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross.	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all.	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEpler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it and the sound of the sou	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEpler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it is you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it is you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and	Ir.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it is you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ΓNES	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it a you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it you know, it isn't something we routinely do it is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott.	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ВУ	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does.	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it is you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited.	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ВУ	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, MEPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it you know, it isn't something we routinely do Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ВУ	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? Ms. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it a you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer.	Ir. as do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ВУ	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de	Ir. as do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ВУ	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But will you continue to collect monthly data from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de that. I just hate going around again.	Ir. as do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY Q.	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But will you continue to collect monthly data from the Company?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it a you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de that. I just hate going around again. MR. EPLER: Sure. Fine.	Ir. as lo.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY Q.	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But will you continue to collect monthly data from the Company? Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it a you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de that. I just hate going around again. MR. EPLER: Sure. Fine. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then y	Ir. as do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY Q.	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But will you continue to collect monthly data from the Company? Yes. And Section 2.5 of the Agreement states that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de that. I just hate going around again. MR. EPLER: Sure. Fine. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then y excused, Mr. Knepper. Why don't we take	Ir. as lo. ou're a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY Q.	That fire department can now depend on these people. If they don't know if they're going to respond in two hours or four hours, they have a different response than if they know that they're expected to be here in a very quick time. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have no questions. Ms. Fabrizio, any redirect? MS. FABRIZIO: I just have one, maybe a multi-part question in follow-up. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, Commissioner Harrington asked about time periods for evaluation, and you've established that the monthly data will not be assessed as to the Company's compliance. But will you continue to collect monthly data from the Company? Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	Sorry. 2.5, yes, that's what that does. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. I have no more questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, M Epler. MR. EPLER: I have some recross. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is it a you know, it isn't something we routinely d Is it something that couldn't have been anticipated and MR. EPLER: It goes to a response that a new issue was raised in a question by Commission Scott. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'll allo it if it's limited. MR. EPLER: I can address it through my witness, if you prefer. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Let's de that. I just hate going around again. MR. EPLER: Sure. Fine. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then y	Ir. as lo. ou're a

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN			,
[WI]	ΓNES	S: KNEPPER] Page 65	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 67
1		scheduling.	1	O.	And Mr. Leblanc, could you please refer to
2		(Brief recess taken at 2:51 p.m. and	2		your prefiled direct testimony which has been
3		hearing resumed at 3:08 p.m.)	3		marked as Exhibit No. 5.
4		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So, Mr.	4	Δ	(Mr. Leblanc) Yes.
		Epler, are you ready to present your	5		And do you have any changes or corrections to
5		witnesses?		Ų.	that testimony?
6			6	٨	· ·
7		I'm glad to see you've gotten	7	A.	, ,
8		settled.	8	Q.	
9		MR. EPLER: Yes, Chairman	9	A.	, ,
10		Ignatius, we're ready to proceed. Can the	10		the statement says, "From 2009 through Q1
11		witnesses be sworn, please?	11		2011," that should be "2009 through Q3 2011."
12		(WHEREUPON, THOMAS P. MEISSNER, JR.	12	_	•
13		CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC and MELCHOR CIULLA	13	A.	
14		were duly sworn and cautioned by the	14		CJL-2, the last column where it says "2011
15		Court Reporter.)	15		year-to-date," to be more clear, that should
16		THOMAS P. MEISSNER, JR., SWORN	16		say, "2011 Q1 through Q3."
17		CHRISTOPHER LEBLANC, SWORN	17	Q.	•
18		MELCHOR CIULLA, SWORN	18	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) No.
19		DIRECT EXAMINATION	19	Q.	Okay. And with those changes, if you were to
20	ВУ	MR. EPLER:	20		be asked the same questions as appear in your
21	Q.	Mr. Meissner, starting with you, could you	21		direct testimony, would your answers be the
22		please identify yourself and identify your	22		same?
23		position with the Company.	23	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) Yes, they would.
24	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) My name is Thomas P.	24	Q.	And Mr. Ciulla, could you refer to your
		•		_	·
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 66	[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 68
	ΓNES			TNES	
1	ΓNES	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and	1		testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4.
1 2	ΓNES	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation,	1 2	A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes.
1 2 3	ΓNES	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern	1 2 3		testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to
1 2 3 4		Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities.	1 2 3 4	A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that?
1 2 3 4 5	TNES	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not.
1 2 3 4 5	A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp.	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. A. Q. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. A. Q. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Leblanc) Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Leblanc) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony? (By Mr. Meissner) I do not.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Leblanc) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony? (By Mr. Meissner) I do not. And if you were asked the same questions today	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Leblanc) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony? (By Mr. Meissner) I do not. And if you were asked the same questions today as appear in the prefiled direct, would your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if there's no objections, I'm going to proceed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony? (By Mr. Meissner) I do not. And if you were asked the same questions today as appear in the prefiled direct, would your answers be the same?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if there's no objections, I'm going to proceed with the direct examination.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Meissner, Jr. I'm a senior vice-president and chief operating officer of Unitil Corporation, and I'm a senior vice-president of Northern Utilities. (By Mr. Leblanc) Christopher J. Leblanc, I'm director of operations at Unitil Service Corp. (By Mr. Ciulla) Melchor Ciulla, Jr., I'm manager of gas distribution operations in Portsmouth. Starting with you, Mr. Meissner, did you prepare testimony in this or prefiled direct testimony for submittal in this proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) I did, yes. And your prefiled testimony has been marked as Exhibit No. 7. Can you turn to that, please? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Do you have any changes or corrections to that testimony? (By Mr. Meissner) I do not. And if you were asked the same questions today as appear in the prefiled direct, would your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. A. A. A.	testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 4. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. And do you have any changes or corrections to that? (Mr. Ciulla) No, I do not. And if you were asked the same questions that appear in that prefiled direct testimony, would your answers be the same? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes, they would. There's an additional piece of testimony that's been marked as Exhibit No. 6, and that's the prefiled direct testimony of Philip Sher last name is S-H-E-R a consultant that was hired by the Company. Does the panel adopt this testimony as the testimony of the witness? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Thank you. MR. EPLER: Chairman Ignatius, if there's no objections, I'm going to proceed

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	N UT	ILIT	TES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 69	[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 71
1		We'll mark all of those for identification,	1	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct.
2		consistent with the numbering that was	2		And that data response provided response
3		distributed earlier this morning.	3		statistics for 2007?
4		(EXHIBIT 4 marked for identification.)	4	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I believe so, yes. I
5		(Exhibit 5 marked for identification.)	5		believe it may not have been calendar year
6		(Exhibit 6 marked for identification.)	6		data. It may have been a period of 2007 to a
7		(Exhibit 7 marked for identification.)	7		period of 2008.
8	ВУ	MR. EPLER:	8	Q.	Okay. And was there any prefiled testimony
9	Q.	I believe each member of the panel was present	9		from the Staff on the issue of Emergency
10		before the break in the testimony when there	10		Response Standards provided in that docket?
11		were a couple of questions from the	11	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) There was prefiled testimony
12		Commission, that I believe the thrust was what	12		from Staff that dealt with concerns over
13		were the problems in attaining the emergency	13		emergency response to a southern area of
14		response times under the old standards; what	14		Northern's territory, but I don't believe
15		problems did the Company face. And I'd like	15		there was anything related specifically to the
16		to see if we can get to a full and concise	16		Emergency Response Standards.
17		explanation of that for the Commission. And	17	Q.	So in other words, there was no in their
18		to do that, I'd like to start kind of at the	18		prefiled testimony, there was no proposal to
19		beginning, just to get some context and give	19		institute a specific the specific Emergency
20		some context to what the Company faced.	20		Response Standards that eventually came out of
21		First of all, as far as the members of	21		the Settlement Agreement.
22		the panel are aware, was there ever any	22	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) There was not.
23		investigation or a finding that Northern	23	Q.	And there was no indication in that prefiled
24		Utilities was prior to this proceeding,	24		testimony that there was any problem with the
	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 70	[WI	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 72
[WI7	ΓNES	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its	1		Company's response to emergency calls.
[WI7	ΓNES	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're	1 2	A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any.
[WI7 1 2 3		that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware?	1 2 3	A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry.
[WIT 1 2 3 4	A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge.	1 2 3 4	A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony
[WI7 1 2 3 4 5		that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern
[WI7 1 2 3 4 5 6	A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent	1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency
[WI7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson,
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem.
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement?
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes.
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement?
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes.
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire proceeding?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission has never held an investigation or made a
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) There was one data request	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission has never held an investigation or made a determination as to what are appropriate
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) There was one data request that provided emergency response data from the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission has never held an investigation or made a determination as to what are appropriate emergency response times generically?
[WIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. A.	that Northern Utilities was deficient in its response to emergency calls, as far as you're aware? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And as far as you know, that's the time period both prior to Unitil ownership and subsequent to Unitil ownership. (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. The Emergency Response Standards that are currently in place, those were agreed upon in the context of a settlement agreement; is that correct? (By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. As far as you understand, in terms of the record in DG 08-048, is it correct that there was only one data request on the issue of Emergency Response Standards in that entire proceeding? (By Mr. Meissner) There was one data request that provided emergency response data from the prior owner. That's correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Company's response to emergency calls. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any. Sorry. (By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any testimony expressing concerns other than the concern with the successor company providing emergency response to this southern area of Atkinson, Plaistow and Salem. And so, is it accurate that the issue of these of the particular Emergency Response Standards was raised for the first time in this settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. And did this issue come up towards the very end of the settlement? (By Mr. Meissner) It did, yes. Now, as far as you understand, the Commission has never held an investigation or made a determination as to what are appropriate emergency response times generically? (By Mr. Meissner) Not that I'm aware, no.

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UT.	ILII	IES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 73	[WI7	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 75
1		responded within 30 minutes, 45 minutes, 60	1		of that?
2		minutes, 75 minutes and greater than 75	2	A.	Yes, we generally became aware of it a month
3		minutes.	3		or so after filing the settlement.
4	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct.	4	Q.	And you indicated that Unitil had been
5	Q.	And as far as you're aware, in Docket DG	5		receiving transition service from the prior
6		08-048, was there any determination by the	6		owner. Did Unitil take steps to change that,
7		Commission that the specific Emergency	7		with respect to emergency response?
8		Response Standards that were provided for were	8	A.	Yes. A decision was made early on to try to
9		necessary in order to achieve certain	9		get off of transition services as quickly as
10		standards of safety?	10		possible and take over responsibility for
11	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I don't recall any specific	11		emergency response using our own people and
12		finding other than in relation to the southern	12		operations as fast as we could.
13		area I talked about, which was Salem, Atkinson	13	Q.	Now, again referring back to questions that
14		and Plaistow.	14		were asked by the Commission, could you
15	Q.	When the Emergency Response Standards were	15		explain and this is for any witness on the
16		presented to the Company, what was the	16		panel can you explain how Northern
17		Company's understanding at that time of what	17		Utilities currently responds to emergency
18		it would take to achieve compliance with the	18		protocols, kind of what happens let's first
19		standards as presented?	19		take normal hours. If you could define what
20	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I guess I would characterize	20		"normal hours" are and explain how the Company
21		it as generally better management. At the	21		responds to emergency calls.
22		time, there was no expectation that there was	22	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Sure. I'll probably start
23		going to be major changes to the operations or	23		with the explanation, because I'll probably
24		staffing at Northern. I think it was the	24		provide the simplest explanation, and then
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 74	[WI]	rnes:	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 76
1		belief among the parties that the standards	1		I'll defer to either Mr. Leblanc or Mr. Ciulla
2		would be met through increased management	2		for more detail.
3		focus.	3		But in terms of our ability or inability
4	Q.	And at the time, since Unitil was the	4		to meet certain standards during regular
5		acquiring company, Unitil had no particular	5		hours, I think it's important to understand
6		experience with Northern Utilities and did not	6		how we provide emergency response service
7		have the detailed understanding of what	7		during different time periods.
8		Northern's history was in terms of meeting any	8		So, during regular hours, as you would
9		particular response time.	9		imagine, we have a work force of employees who
10	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct.	10		are dispersed throughout our territory. In
11	Q.	Now, shortly after Unitil acquired Northern	11		assigning those employees, local management
12		Utilities, did it gain information that led it	12		makes sure that we have employees dispersed at
13		to understand that Northern, in fact, was not	13		different locations within our territory at
14		attaining the standards that had been agreed	14		all times, so that if we get an emergency call
15		to and was actually missing several categories	15		during those hours, there's always a responder
16	٨	of standards fairly significantly?	16		somewhere close to where the call most likely
17	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Yes. We received	17		came in; and in that way, we're able to meet a
18		information from the predecessor company, from	18		30-minute response objective a high percentage of the time
19		whom we were receiving transition services,	19	\circ	of the time.
20		expressing concerns that they would not be	20	Q.	And if I can interject here. The reason
21		able to achieve the emergency response times in the Settlement Agreement.	21		they're dispersed is because they are involved in particular normal activities, operations
22	Q.	And that was the first time that Unitil	22 23		and maintenance activities through the service
24	Ų.	became that Unitil management became aware	24		territory?
24		became that omith management became aware	4		controly:

	AFTERNOON SESSION DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	ONLY - April 25, 2012 JTILITIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING	
[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 77	WITNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA]	Page 79
1 A. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. These are service technicians who are primary responders. They have job responsibilities, everyday jobs they're doing. But in assigning that work to them, local management assures that they're located strategically throughout the territory to be able to respond quickly if we get an emergency call. Outside of regular hours and this has been the case both historically and during certain time periods now there are no employees working. So, at 2 a.m., for example, there are no employees working. And during those hours we rely on on-call procedures. So we have employees on call. If we have an emergency call come in, that's immediately dispatched to somebody that's on call. And that's where, in terms of the root-cause analysis of the difficulties of achieving a 30-minute standard, there is time lost when you're relying on on-call procedures. That person may be asleep in bed. They may have to get up, get dressed. They have to get to their vehicle. And they may	limited by the number of employees terms of the actions that have been to meet the standards, we really made a expand the coverage hours of our we employees. We now have employees during the week until 11 at night, who not the case when we acquired North we have employees working on Sature we've attempted to meet the standard expanding shift coverage, but we're limited by the number of employees order to expand shift coverage to all the day and night, it would require a significant expansion of the number employees. Q. Now, is it simply a matter of keeping on-call arrangement and adding employees. Nould that enable you to attain the seeponse time on the nights and wee an on-call employee for each area the immediately issue the call to, to have possibility of making the call. So it'	aken to attempts to orking as working aich was aern. And arday. So ds by still a So, in hours of of g the alloyees? 30-minute kends? ot allow us to have at we can e any
	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 78	WITNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA]	Page 80
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not be located in as close proximity to the call itself when it comes in. So, from our standpoint, the real crux of the 30-minute response objectives is the difference between having employees working and dispersed out within the territory versus relying on on-call employees to provide that response. And over the last three years, what we basically determined is we cannot meet a 30-minute response objective 80 percent of the time with on-call employees. We've tried. We've made as many adjustments as we can. We simply cannot get there that high a percentage of the time with on-call employees.	situation where we can go through a employees in an effort to make the complex time. 4 Q. So in other words, if you it's the need of the on-call arrangement itself that comes in and you go to the specific of who are assigned on call, and then the employees, as you indicated, you know to they're assigned on call. Let make the back. It's going to be too long a quere last correct that there are specific on-call assignments after hours and weekends? A. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes.	all. ature a call employees nose ow, have e step stion.
15 Q. 16 17 18 19	Okay. If I could interject here. And why is it that the Company does not or it relies on the on-call employee either after hours or on weekends and holidays? Is it something related to the Company's size and number of	 Q. And what does that mean? Is that by territory, by number of employees? explain that? A. (By Mr. Meissner) Do you want to e on-call? 	Can you

20

21

22

23

personnel it can support? What's the decision

as to why in normal hours you have staffing

and other than normal hours you have this

on-call procedure? Can you explain?

24 A. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, ultimately, we're

20 A. (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. How the on-call works is

it's broken up into a north and a south

territory. And the on-call is a one and four

rotation. And that means that a service tech

would be on call one week, and then the next

21

22

23

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UTIL	ITIEŜ, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 81		ESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 83
1		time he would be on call would be three weeks	1	after-hours segment to see what we could do
2		later he would be on call again. So it's a	2	with that and try to make an assessment to see
3		one and four rotation. So we have a tech	3	if it's just a little bit of adjustment, we
4		covering the south area and a tech covering	4	might be able to make that and be able to move
5		the north area on call.	5	forward.
6	Q.	And has the Company considered going to break	6	So what we did is we initiated a 1-to-9
7		down the service territory further from just	7	shift, a north and a south category. And
8		the north/south to possibly a three-zone?	8	after reviewing some of the data, we looked at
9	A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Yes, we did. One of the things	9	that for a while and then determined that we
10		contractually we're obligated to have is the	10	still needed a third tech on 1 to 9, because
11		employees on one and four rotation. With 11	11	we were missing a certain percentage of calls
12		service techs, we cannot get to a one and four	12	in an area, and that was due to windshield
13		rotation to be an on-call effectively. We can	13	time.
14		only get to a one and three rotation. So we would have nine techs on call instead of eight	14 15	One of the things that we do is I look at the data on a weekly basis. And when I look
15 16		techs on call.	16	at that data, I look to see the 31- to
17	Q.	But ultimately, has the Company determined	17	45-minute category in each, Normal Hours,
18	Q.	that, even with breaking the service territory	18	After Hours and Weekends and Holidays. And
19		into additional areas, going from, say	19	then I have a report that tells me who
20		north/south to a three-zone, the on-call	20	responded to that emergency and where they
21		arrangement itself limits the ability of the	21	were before that emergency. So, one of the
22		Company to have some certainty that it can	22	things that I tried to do and I do look at
23		respond to an emergency response within 30	23	the effectiveness of the tech, to make sure
24		minutes?	24	he's being productive and there's not an
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 82	[WITNE	ESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 84
		S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 82 (Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking	[WITNE	ESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 84 employee issue with hiding, or depending where
		(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we		
1		(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with	1	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a
1 2	A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process.	1 2	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get
1 2 3	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that?	1 2 3	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information,
1 2 3 4	A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls	1 2 3 4	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Q	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted 2. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted 2. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13 14 A	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 16	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company paid attention to this issue since the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13 14 A 15 16 17	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small, and the calls were so sporadic.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company paid attention to this issue since the acquisition? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. One of the things that I was really concerned about when I became the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13 14 A 15 16 17 18	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small, and the calls were so sporadic. Sixty-something percent of the calls are on Saturday. So, after we ran the 3-to-11 shift for a period of time and reviewed the data, we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company paid attention to this issue since the acquisition? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. One of the things that I was really concerned about when I became the manager up in Portsmouth was that I couldn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13 14 A 15 16 17 18 19	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small, and the calls were so sporadic. Sixty-something percent of the calls are on Saturday. So, after we ran the 3-to-11 shift for a period of time and reviewed the data, we determined that we needed a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company paid attention to this issue since the acquisition? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. One of the things that I was really concerned about when I became the manager up in Portsmouth was that I couldn't understand how they were making a criteria for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 C 13 14 A 15 16 17 18 19 20	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted 2. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small, and the calls were so sporadic. Sixty-something percent of the calls are on Saturday. So, after we ran the 3-to-11 shift for a period of time and reviewed the data, we determined that we needed a Tuesday-to-Saturday shift to try to pick up
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and looking at the calls being on the on-call segment, we cannot make the 30-minute response time with the on-call process. And why is that? (Mr. Ciulla) that is because where the calls come in and where the techs live that are on call, if a tech is living up in the Rochester area and a call comes in the Portsmouth area or the Newington area, by the time he gets up and starts to travel, it's you've lost that time. You will not make the 30-minute response time. Now, has the and in your opinion I assume, Mr. Ciulla, that you are somewhat intimately involved in this has the Company paid attention to this issue since the acquisition? (Mr. Ciulla) Yes. One of the things that I was really concerned about when I became the manager up in Portsmouth was that I couldn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Q 13 14 A 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	employee issue with hiding, or depending where he is, where his job was before, it should only have taken him 10 minutes to get to a location when it's taken him 45 minutes to get to a location. So, with that information, what we've done is we've evaluated the shifts, and then we went to three 1 to 9. And we determined that the calls that were being missed, we went to a 3 to 11. And we determined that after 9:00 we were missing a group of calls. That depleted Q. When you say "3 to 11," you mean 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. A. (By Mr, Ciulla) 3 p.m. to 11 p.m., yes. And we were still looking at the weekends and holidays, but the data set was so small, and the calls were so sporadic. Sixty-something percent of the calls are on Saturday. So, after we ran the 3-to-11 shift for a period of time and reviewed the data, we determined that we needed a

AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY - April 25, 2012

		AFTERNOON SESSIO DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	N ON I UT	NLY ILIT	- April 25, 2012 TES, INC, SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 85			S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 87
1		we could allocate to shifts because it was	1		measures for that customer to take. During
2		starting to impact the normal hours in	2		that call at the completion, the customer
3		response time, because during the day we need	3		call center rep initiates a work order in our
4		four to five techs to be able to respond to	4		customer information system. That work order
5		emergencies.	5		gets electronically sent over to our MDS
6	Q.	Now, you reference the number of calls. Is	6		system, our mobile dispatch system, down in
7	Q.	one of the issues that the Company is facing	7		our gas control center, who assigns that work
8		in terms of meeting the response times, the	8		order to either an on-call technician or a
9		number of calls that it receives on weekends	9		technician that's in the field if it's during
10		or after hours?	10		normal business hours. So the and they
11	A.	(Mr. Ciulla) Yes. One of the things that I	11		also initiate a page. So they send the work
12	11.	look at is I look it on a weekly basis. Last	12		order, and they page the technician. And the
13		week, we had one call. It was 36 minutes. I	13		technician has to provide dispatch with a
14		was at 0 percent for weekends and holidays,	14		positive response that, (A), they received the
15		and I was at 100 percent for 45 minutes. And	15		call; and (B), that they are responding to the
16		in trying to evaluate where the calls are	16		call. Now, in our MDS system, all of the
17		coming in and the time frame, and to try to	17	Q.	What's the MDS system?
18		capture that, the weekend and holiday, to meet	18	A.	Mobile dispatching system. It's our work
19		that 30 minutes, the data indicates that you	19	11.	order system for the field. Every step of the
20		need round-the-clock coverage and have techs	20		emergency response gets time-stamped. So,
21		to be able to respond to those calls, because	21		when the work order gets sent to MDS, we
22		they're so sporadic.	22		time-stamp that. When the dispatcher in gas
23	Q.	And given that they're so sporadic, are you	23		control dispatches that ticket to a service
24	₹.	suggesting that, effectively, because of the	24		technician, that gets time-stamped. When the
					3
[WI	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 86	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 88
1		number of calls that are coming in, the	1		field technician accepts that work order
2		percentage of compliance that effectively that	2		so, he's responded that he received the page,
3		the Company is being presented with is higher	3		he received the work order, and he is
4		than the percentage that's indicated in the	4		responding to the emergency that gets
5		Emergency Response Standards?	5		time-stamped. It also time-stamps when he's
6	A.	(Mr. Ciulla) I believe so. I think it is. I	6		en route. So if he's on another job, he has
7	11.	think we're responding very quickly, and I	7		to pick that job up or break that job down.
8		think we're responding in a safe manner.	8		We track the amount of time it takes him to
9	Q.	Can you explain exactly what occurs when a	9		accept a work order to when he's en route.
10	Q.	call is received by the Company that's an odor	10		And then when he arrives at the job, we
11		complaint? What are the steps that the	11		time-stamp when he arrives at the job. And
12		Company takes?	12		then the final time stamp is the resolution or
13	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) The call the customer	13		the completion of that work order. So the
14	. 1.	complaint calls in for an odor complaint. For	14		process starts with the call center, flows
15		the time period of 5:00 a.m. to 11 p.m., that	15		through our gas control center to the
16		goes into our call center. A call center rep	16		dispatcher. And every step of that process
17		picks the call up, and they have a script that	17		gets time-stamped in our MDS system.
18		they follow with the customer to ascertain the	18	Q.	But as you indicated, in terms of from the
19		severity of the situation. So they ask the	19	∢.	Company's perspective, the emergency response
20		customer questions: Do you smell gas? What's	20		starts with the interaction between the call
21		the location of the gas? And based on the	21		center, the person who's receiving the call,
22		customer's response, they initiate emergency	22		and the customer, or perhaps the first
23		procedures right there. They may suggest an	23		responder who's calling in the call.
43		avecuation. They may suggest other safety	43		(Mr. Loblana) Absolutely. The first stan in

evacuation. They may suggest other safety

24

24 A. (Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely. The first step in

		AFTERNOON SESSION DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	N ONLY	- April 25, 2012 TIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 89	[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 91
1		protecting public safety with emergency	1	have with them a complete repository of all
2		response is our practices at the call center,	2	our gas mains in the streets. Prior to that
3		is the information we give to the customer, is	3	acquisition, the techs did not have any
4		the clarifying questions, is the safety	4	mapping systems with them. They didn't know
5		instructions we give to the customer. A lot	5	what type if there was gas in the street or
6		of depending on the call coming in, we	6	what type of gas there was, from a pressure
7		could actually evacuate a premise prior to a	7	class. And it's very important when you go to
8		work order already being dispatched through	8	classify leaks, especially for materials. It
9		the clarification questions and the script	9	helps you assess the situation and an
10		that our call center reps do follow. And they	10	emergency response quicker. An example for
11		all are trained in handling emergency response	11	that would be a winter leak response. If a
12		calls.	12	tech is responding in the wintertime and he
13	Q.	Now, in terms of the responder himself or	13	responds to a street and looks up on the map
14		herself who is responding to the call, can you	14	that we have a cast iron gas main there, well,
15		briefly review the improvements that the	15	that should initiate some additional safety
16		Company has made to the responder and to the	16	measures that he can take and assess the
17		responder's capability to respond to a call?	17	situation quicker. So one aspect with that is
18	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) Yeah, could you repeat the	18	the mapping system.
19		question again?	19	The other technology improvement that we
20	Q.	Yes. Could you briefly summarize improvements	20	have is our compliance management system, our
21		that the Company has made to the responder's	21	CMS system. So it's a complete repository for
22		ability to respond to a call, particularly	22	all of our assets. And it's also our leak
23		once they arrive at the scene, in terms of	23	management system, and it's also all of our
24		equipment, training and so on?	24	maintenance and inspection programs. So,
[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 90	[WITNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 92
1	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) When we look at emergency	1	every record that we keep back at the
2		response, we look at a prompt and effective	2	distribution/operating center on service
3		response. So we look at a tech's ability to	3	lines, materials, inspections, gas leaks, our
4		respond to a call. But just as important as	4	technicians have that in the van with them and
5		responding to calls is the effectiveness of	5	have immediate access to that. So, if a first
6		that response; it is what does that tech do	6	responder responds to a leak, he can actually
7		when he gets there to protect public safety.	7	look at the history of that street, whether
8		And what we've looked at and we believe we	8	there was any leaks there in the past. He can
9		made some significant improvements with the	9	see if there's any active leaks there that are
10		effectiveness of the emergency response, and	10	on the books. He can see what maintenance has
11		that starts with our significant changes to	11	occurred on that street recently. These are
12		our response procedures: How we respond to	12	all improvements. So these are all additions
13		leaks, how we classify gas leaks, how we	13	to first response that didn't exist prior to
14		repair gas leaks; also, some of the equipment	14	the acquisition.
15		that we provide and some of the technology	15	Another significant enhancement we made
16		that we provide to our technicians that didn't	16	as well, too, we just completed a roll-out of
17		exist prior to the acquisition.	17	laser methane detectors for all of our first
18		For example: Our GIS system, our gas	18	responders. So, basically, it's a mobile
19		mapping system, every one of our first	19	mounted piece of leak survey equipment that

responders and techs have in their service vans, their response vehicles, a laptop

computer. In that has all of our mapping

systems for emergency response. So it has

pipe size, pipe material, pressures. So they

20

21

22

23

24

allows a first responder to mobilely, in his

van, survey large leak areas very quickly.

readings down to three parts per million. So

it allows a first responder to do a quick and

It's very sensitive. It can detect gas

20

21

22

23

AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY - April 25, 2012

		AFTERNOON SESSION DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	N ON I UTI	LITIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI7	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 93		NESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 95
1		fast assessment over large areas when we have	1	percentage that we thought was equivalent to
2		odor complaints in there. And again, that	2	the old 30-minute response standards looking
3		equipment wasn't issued to the first	3	across All Hours. And the way we did that is
4		responders prior to the acquisition. That's	4	we took our response data in each of the last
5		another improvement we had.	5	three years so we took our 2009 data, our
6		So, those are a few examples of some of	6	2010 data and our 2011 data we took the
7		the improvements we made that we think	7	responses in each time period and multiplied
8		significantly improve the effectiveness of our	8	it by the old standards. So if we had, for
9		response to emergencies.	9	example, 200 responses on weekends and
10	Q.	Okay. Turning to the Settlement Agreement	10	holidays, we multiplied that by 76 percent,
11	Q.	itself and the Proposed Revised Emergency	11	the old standard, and came up with a number of
12		Response Standards that are on Page 3, a	12	responses that we would have to achieve to
13		question has been raised, and it's been raised	13	meet the standard. Likewise, we took the
14		in different forms, but basically as to	14	number of calls in the After Hours period
15		whether or not these performance standards are	15	multiplied by the 80-percent benchmark and
16		equivalent to or equal to the performance	16	came up with that number of responses. So we
17		standards currently in place, whether or not	17	did that for each of the time periods and
18		they're a dilution of those performance	18	calculated the number of responses that we
19		standards, or whether or not these were	19	would have to achieve to attain the number of
20		devised solely to enable a set of performance	20	30-minute responses under the old standard;
21		standards that the Company could meet versus a	21	and then from that, we determined that across
22		set of standards that the Company can't meet.	22	All Hours that equates to the 80 percent. So
23		Could you address that issue. First of	23	the 80-percent benchmark across All Hours will
24		all, does the Company in terms of	24	require us to respond as often as the old
24		an, does the Company in terms of	21	require us to respond as often as the old
[WI7	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 94	[WIT	NESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 96
1		comparison, does the Company believe that	1	standards on a 30-minute response objective
2		these are a strict set of standards?	2	across All Hours. The difference is we now
3	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I believe these	3	have some latitude about which hours those
4		standards are as strict or stricter as the	4	responses are occurring. And the goal was to
5		ones they're replacing. By way of explanation	5	have the ability to retain on-call employees
6		and to talk about how they were tailored to	6	during those time periods when we're not
7		achieve certain objectives, if you look at	7	receiving very many calls and respond a higher
8		this table of Emergency Response Standards,	8	percentage of the time during the time periods
9		the only change the only one that's	9	when we get most of our calls.
10		different than the former standards was where	10	So, I believe Mr. Knepper this morning
11		we substituted an All Hours standard, where	11	went through the number of calls we get on an
12		there used to be a Weekends and Holidays and	12	annual basis, and it was somewhere a little
13		an After Hours standard. With the exception	13	bit over a thousand calls per year. And the
14		of that All Hours standard, all the other	14	breakdown on those is somewhere around
15		benchmarks are more stringent than the old	15	60 percent or a little higher during regular
16		standards. All of them. The Normal Hours, 30	16	hours, 20 percent or so during the After Hours
17		minutes, 45 minutes and the 60 minutes, all	17	period, and 20 minutes or less during the
18		those standards are more stringent.	18	Weekends or Holidays. So we're going to be
19		In terms of the 30-minute All Hours	19	essentially expanding shift coverage during
1			1	

In terms of the 30-minute All Hours standard, one thing I didn't hear brought up, that I think is important, is where did the 80 percent come from? I think that's an important thing to talk about. We essentially tried to calculate the

essentially expanding shift coverage during the time periods when we receive most calls and trying to respond a higher percentage of the time, and we'll be relying on on-call procedures during the periods of time when we don't experience as many calls.

20

21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UT	ILIT	IEŜ, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 97			S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 99
1	0.	Now, does the do these new standards mean	1		our response times, and they've improved in
2	ζ.	that on either the After Hours or the Weekends	2		every single standard, regardless of how you
3		and Holidays, that the Company will simply	3		look at it.
4		relax and aim to achieve the 45-minute	4	Q.	Now, in terms of the responses that the
5		response time?	5		Company is missing in the 30-minute time
6	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) It does not mean that. No.	6		frame, what's happening there? Are you is
7		In fact, one of the reasons for tightening all	7		the Company missing that 30-minute time
8		the standards was to provide some assurance	8		response by a lot, by a significant number of
9		that we could not do that. The standards, as	9		minutes, or is it very close?
10		they're designed in this settlement, are still	10	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Well, what we've been
11		very stringent, and they're still going to be	11		finding is that we're missing by essentially
12		very difficult to meet. And essentially,	12		mere minutes most of the time. The responder
13		we're going to have to make every single	13		is trying to get there in 30 minutes. We
14		30-minute response we can across all time	14		recently looked at the 2012 first quarter
15		periods just to meet that 80-percent response	15		data, and on weekends and holidays, I think
16		standard. So that 80-percent response	16		the longest response we had was 38 minutes, if
17		standard, from our perception, is very	17		I'm recalling it correctly. There were a
18		stringent, and it will be very difficult to	18		number of responses where they were there in
19		meet.	19		31 minutes, a number where they were there in
20	Q.	So, even though there's not a specific	20		32 minutes. So, one way to look at our
21		30-minute response standard broken down into	21		attainment of that performance standard is a
22		the subcategories of Weekends and Holidays and	22		percentage. If we responded to to
23		After Hours, it's still the intent and the	23		exaggerate a little bit just to illustrate a
24		planning of the Company to try to meet those	24		point, if we responded to 10 calls and we get
[WI7	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 98	[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 100
1		calls in those time frames within 30 minutes.	1		there on 5 calls in 30 minutes and the other 5
2	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Yes. We cannot relax our	2		calls we get there in 31 minutes, our
3		response during any of those time periods or	3		percentage is 50 percent. So, 50 percent may
4	_	we will not meet the 80-percent standard.	4		have the appearance of being poor performance
5	Q.	And since the Company is since the	5		under the response objectives, but in fact,
6		Settlement Agreement provides that the Company	6		our longest response was 31 minutes. And what
7		is going to continue the reporting that breaks	7		we're finding is we're just missing the
8		down the responses by 30 minutes into the	8		response time objectives during those periods
9		three categories of Normal Hours, After Hours	9		where we're relying on on-call procedures, and
10		and Weekends and Holidays, the response the	10		we're attributing that to the increased travel
11		actual response will be quite evident, in terms of whether or not we are either	11		time and the time lost getting somebody, you know, on the scene from their home.
12		relaxing, maintaining status quo or performing	12	\circ	·
13 14		better in those categories.	13 14	Q.	Now, just going back to an issue that you previously may have addressed, in term if
15	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. We're going	15		the response standards were not changed, and
16	Λ.	to continue to provide the information that we	16		the current standards that are in effect today
17		do now in every time period. So, our response	17		were to remain in effect, could the Company
18		performance in all time periods will be	18		is the Company confident that it could meet
19		evident.	19		those response standards with an on-call
20	_	And relative to the time period since the	20		arrangement?
20	()	1 1110 101001 10 to the thine period billed the			-
	Q.	<u>-</u>	21	Α	(By Mr. Meissner) We've concluded that we
21	Q.	Company has since Unitil acquired Northern	21 22	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) We've concluded that we cannot meet the percentages in the 30-minute
21 22	Q.	Company has since Unitil acquired Northern Utilities, do you believe that the Company's	22	A.	cannot meet the percentages in the 30-minute
21		Company has since Unitil acquired Northern		A. Q.	

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UTILI	TIES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 101		SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 103
1		remain in place, has the Company determined	1	the center section where we look at North
2		that it would have to go to a full-time	2	Hampton and you look at Seabrook and Exeter,
3		staffing model?	3	and then you go to the north, where you go to
4	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. We would	4	Greenland, Portsmouth, up to Rochester, one of
5		have to have a minimum of four to five service	5	the problems is the system to get from
6		technicians in the field dispersed throughout	6	Plaistow up to the Hampton area, it takes more
7		the territory at all times, 24 hours a day, 7	7	than a half-hour just driving. There's no
8		days a week, to meet those percentages.	8	easy way to get there. If you get on 95 or
9	Q.	And has the Company come up with an estimate	9	you travel Route 1, there's lights. There's
10		of the cost of doing that?	10	no easy way to get there. So the Company has
11	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) We have. We had estimated	11	made a decision to have somebody down in that
12		in our testimony that that would require 9 to	12	area during when we have people on property,
13		11 service technicians, at a cost of between	13	and then the other techs are dispersed
14	_	\$1.1 and 1.5 million.	14	throughout the system.
15	Q.	And in terms of the impact on safety of the	15	The on-call, what we're finding is, even
16		system, does the Company believe that	16	the second shift, we're finding the calls that
17		investing that much money in this	17	are missed, we're finding that in the center
18		time-response issue is beneficial?	18	section, the bulk of the calls are Exeter,
19	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) No. In fact, I think we	19	Hampton, Seabrook and Portsmouth. And when
20		believe that having more stringent measures	20	you look at that area and the calls that are
21		during those hours when we're receiving most responses will better achieve the objective of	21	missed and you do the evaluation, we actually need another tech on second shift to be able
22		safety, and relying on on-call employees	22 23	to grab those calls that we're missing on the
24		during those hours when we receive fewer	24	second shift. In the north section, the bulk
		daring those hours when we receive it wer		second shift. In the north section, the bunk
[WI]	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 102	[WITNE	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 104
	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 102		, , , , , ,
1	ΓNES	calls, and still getting there in the time	1	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth,
1 2		calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety.	1 2	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth.
1 2 3	rnes Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as	1 2 3	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and
1 2 3 4		calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14?	1 2 3 4	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because
1 2 3 4 5		calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's	1 2 3 4 5	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out.
1 2 3 4	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14?	1 2 3 4	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement,
1 2 3 4 5	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER:	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response?	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem,	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have a lot of work in that area, and that tech	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in terms of providing an indication of actions
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have a lot of work in that area, and that tech that's in that area is basically there for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in terms of providing an indication of actions taken to prevent recurrence?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. BY Q.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have a lot of work in that area, and that tech that's in that area is basically there for the response time.	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 A	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in terms of providing an indication of actions taken to prevent recurrence? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, that is our intent. In
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. BY Q. A.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have a lot of work in that area, and that tech that's in that area is basically there for the response time. And in terms of access in terms of roads?	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 A 22	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in terms of providing an indication of actions taken to prevent recurrence? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, that is our intent. In fact, the context of that provision, just to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. BY Q. A.	calls, and still getting there in the time frame we are, does not reduce safety. Referring to the map that's been marked as I believe, Exhibit 14? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's right. MR. EPLER: it appears to me as a layperson that there are some particular physical characteristics of the Company's service territory, in terms of it being very long and narrow. Does that present any challenges to the Company in terms of emergency response? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes, it does. One of the things that we found that we need to do is we have to put a service tech in the Salem, Atkinson, Plaistow area all the time. And part of the problem with that is we don't have a lot of work in that area, and that tech that's in that area is basically there for the response time.	1 2 3 4 5 6 Q 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 A	of the calls are in Rochester, Somersworth, Derry [sic] and so forth. So that's kind of where we are, and that's the problem that we're having because of the way the system is spread out. If you could turn to the Settlement Agreement, Page 3, Paragraph 2.5.1. There was a question, I believe from Commissioner Harrington, looking at the clause in that paragraph that says, "Including any actions taken to prevent recurrence." My understanding of Commissioner Harrington's question was whether or not this left it up to the Company's discretion as to whether or not they would report on any actions to prevent recurrence. Is it the Company's intent here that it would address any areas within its control, in terms of providing an indication of actions taken to prevent recurrence? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, that is our intent. In

[WIT	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 105	[WI7	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 107
1		minutes. But in some cases, we were	1	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Anything
2		implementing actions to take to prevent	2	further?
3		reoccurrence, but we weren't communicating	3	MR. EPLER: Yes, just one last
4		that as part of our explanation. And I	4	question.
5		believe Staff had requested that if we're	5	BY MR. EPLER:
6		taking action to prevent reoccurrence, we	6	Q. Mr. Meissner or Mr. Leblanc, anything
7		include that in our explanation when we send	7	additional you wish to say?
8		it to them.	8	A. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, a couple things I'd
9	Q.	So, in other words, if there was a response	9	like to add. I think there was two things
10	₹.	time that exceeded 60 minutes because of a car	10	that were important to us as a Company in
11		accident, so there was a particular unusual	11	terms of this proceeding. One is we were very
12		traffic situation, the Company couldn't	12	concerned about any perception that may exist
13		necessarily have a remediation plan to address	13	about the Company's safety performance or the
14		that. But if there was a situation where, as	14	Company's compliance with the Commission order
15		Mr. Knepper discussed, a customer service rep	15	regarding our emergency response performance.
16		was just not paying attention to the call,	16	So, from our perspective, I think safety is
17		appropriate attention, that would be something	17	something that's ingrained in the Company
18		that clearly we would have a remediation plan	18	culture and the Company ethos. I think we've
19		for; is that correct?	19	been implementing enhancements to safety
20	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct. Every call	20	programs ever since we acquired Northern in
21		is reviewed. And if there were actions that	21	December of 2008, and we're going to continue
22		could have been taken to prevent a time of	22	to implement new programs and continue to try
23		response in excess of 60 minutes, actions will	23	to improve under each one of these standards.
24		be taken, and we will now be including those	24	But safety is something of great pride to the
[WIT	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 106	[WIT	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 108
1		actions as part of our explanation.	1	Company and of great pride to the employees,
2	Q.	In terms of the ability of the Company to meet	2	and it's reflected in all management of the
3		the new proposed standards, if you recall, I	3	
4				Company, at every level of the organization.
5		had asked a couple questions to Mr. Knepper	4	So I think it's very important to us to
		regarding the effective date of the evaluation	4 5	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there
6		regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include		So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil,
6 7		regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already	5	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not.
		regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that?	5 6	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important,
7 8 9	_	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes.	5 6 7 8 9	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we
7 8 9 10	A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter	5 6 7 8 9	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're
7 8 9 10 11	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, please.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would still be very difficult for the Company to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, please. MR. EPLER: And can I approach	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would still be very difficult for the Company to achieve, so that there wouldn't be any
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, please. MR. EPLER: And can I approach the Bench?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would still be very difficult for the Company to achieve, so that there wouldn't be any perception that we're going to relax our
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, please. MR. EPLER: And can I approach the Bench? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Sure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would still be very difficult for the Company to achieve, so that there wouldn't be any perception that we're going to relax our Emergency Response Standards in any way,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	regarding the effective date of the evaluation for the All Hours and that that will include the first quarter of 2012 that's already passed. Do you recall that? Yes. And has the Company met in that first quarter all of these performance measures? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not, no. And so will that present a challenge to the Company, in terms of meeting it on a 12-month basis, given that the first quarter has already passed? (By Mr. Meissner) It will, yes. MR. EPLER: Can I take a moment please? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, please. MR. EPLER: And can I approach the Bench?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think it's very important to us to certainly not leave any perception that there should be a concern with safety at Unitil, because there's not. Additionally, I think it was important, in terms of the settlement, you know, that we didn't want to leave any perception that we're relaxing the standards, because we don't feel we are relaxing the standards. I think what we're doing is tailoring the standards a little bit to Northern's unique operations and characteristics, including its work force, its shift coverage and its on-call procedures. But it was our objective to have a set of standards that was still very stringent, was not a relaxing of the standards, and would still be very difficult for the Company to achieve, so that there wouldn't be any perception that we're going to relax our

	DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	I UT.	ILII	LES, INC. SHOW CAUSE REAKING
[WI]	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 109	[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 111
1	case.	1		specificity into the agreement here is because
2	So I'm certainly hopeful, going forward,	2		we do recognize that, as part of that, we'll
3	that you're going to see improvement in	3		be discussing that with the Union, and there
4	emergency response at Northern. And from that	4		may be elements of that which will require
5	perspective, we don't separate the	5		negotiation with the Union. So that's the
6	effectiveness from the promptness of the	6		reason it's not in greater detail here.
7	response. We think those two things go hand	7	Q.	Other than a potential change in shifts, is
8	in hand. We focused a lot of our reference on	8		there a potential for a change in staffing?
9	the effectiveness of our response, which is	9	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) There is a potential, yes.
10	what Chris talked about. The federal	10	Q.	And as far as any change to the on-call
11	regulation requires a prompt and effective	11	ζ.	status, is there a potential for that, or is
12	response for every call received of a leak	12		that something that won't be considered?
13	detected in or near a building. And from our	13	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Is that are you asking if
14	standpoint, that response begins immediately	14		we may change the on-call employee
15	with the phone call from the customer.	15		assignments?
16	MR. EPLER: I said I only have	16	Q.	Is that a potential?
17	one question, but I just realized I may have	17	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I don't believe we've
18	two more.	18	11.	reached any conclusions about the positions
19	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.	19		we're going to take on any of the internal
20	BY MR. EPLER:	20		procedures at Northern. I think our objective
21	Q. Has the Company been recognized recently for	21		would be to evaluate any arrangements that
22	its emergency response by any organization?	22		would have the effect of improving emergency
23	A. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes. Actually, about a year	23		response and would only be pursuing
24	and a half ago we won an award, an industry	24		enhancements that would have that effect.
	and a nan ago we won an award, an industry			omancements that would have that effect.
[WI]	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 110	[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 112
[WI7		[WI7		
	award for implementation of a program within			I heard you say earlier that if you were
1	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a	1		I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were
1 2	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence	1 2		I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the
1 2 3	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast	1 2 3		I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service
1 2 3 4 5	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right?
1 2 3 4	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have
1 2 3 4 5	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work plan that will be developed in three weeks.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. A. Q.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been discussion about breaking that down into four areas.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work plan that will be developed in three weeks. Can someone tell me what the primary elements	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. A. Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been discussion about breaking that down into four areas. And I heard you say 9 to 11 service techs at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work plan that will be developed in three weeks. Can someone tell me what the primary elements of that work plan are going to be?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. A. Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been discussion about breaking that down into four areas. And I heard you say 9 to 11 service techs at one point. I'm just wondering, was it 9 to 11
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work plan that will be developed in three weeks. Can someone tell me what the primary elements of that work plan are going to be? A. (By Mr. Meissner) We are going to be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. A. Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been discussion about breaking that down into four areas. And I heard you say 9 to 11 service techs at one point. I'm just wondering, was it 9 to 11 more service techs, or you feel you could do
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	award for implementation of a program within our emergency response procedures. And as a result of that, we actually won the Excellence in Safety and Health Award from the Northeast gas Association, which was its first ever, the first time that award had actually ever been awarded by NGA. Q. I'm sorry. I know I had a second question, and I can't think of what it was. So CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If it comes back to you, we'll try to get it in. MR. EPLER: Appreciate that. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Sullivan, do you have questions? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SULLIVAN: Q. The Settlement Agreement talks about a work plan that will be developed in three weeks. Can someone tell me what the primary elements of that work plan are going to be?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. A. Q. A.	I heard you say earlier that if you were helped what I got from it was if you were held to a 30-minute response time across the board, you would need some 9-to-11 service techs. Did I say that right? (By Mr. Meissner) We currently have service techs working until 9:00. We currently have three; correct? (By Mr. Ciulla) Three to 11, yes. In terms of meeting the response that was in place before this revision we're talking about, did you talk about your need to increase staffing by a certain amount to meet the standards that are currently in place? (By Mr. Meissner) In the After Hours period? Right. (By Mr. Meissner) I think there has been discussion about breaking that down into four areas. And I heard you say 9 to 11 service techs at one point. I'm just wondering, was it 9 to 11

[WIT	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 113			S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 115
	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) At this point, I don't think	1		was the evaluation period for that data, in
2		we've made that determination. Our objective	2		terms of it being a monthly evaluation versus
3		is really going to now be to tailor our	3		an annual evaluation. However, we do agree
4		operations to these two specific response	4		that we have been unable to meet two of the
5		objectives and percentages and come up with a	5		nine benchmarks under the Emergency Response
6	_	plan that we think can do that.	6	_	Standards when measured annually.
7	Q.	And going forward, who will have primary	7	Q.	Thank you. And earlier today we looked at the
8		responsibility for implementing the changes to	8		Company's Memorandum we've referenced in that
9		meet the standards that we're talking about	9		paragraph, dated June 20th, 2011. Page 5 of
10		today?	10		that memorandum, Mr. Knepper had us look at
11	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Well, Mr. Ciulla is the line	11		that chart. And would you agree that the
12		manager responsible for Portsmouth operations,	12		Company's current performance in the 30-minute
13		so he will have the most direct	13		Weekend and Holidays slot ranges from
14		responsibility. But, of course, Mr. Leblanc	14		45 percent to 54 percent in the past 2-1/4
15		is responsible for gas operations. And I'll	15		years, I guess?
16		be involved as well.	16	A.	, ,
17		MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you very	17		sounds approximately correct, yes.
18		much.	18	Q.	Okay. This is the Company's memorandum.
19		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.	19	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I just don't have it in
20		Ms. Fabrizio, questions?	20		front of me.
21		MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you.	21	Q.	Oh, I'm sorry. Here, I'll
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION	22		(Ms. Fabrizio hands document to witness.)
23	ВЪ	MS. FABRIZIO:	23	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Thank you. Yes, I agree.
24	Q.	Mr. Meissner, do you have a copy of the	24	Q.	Thank you. Now, under the new proposed
1		, Jan		_	• •
F\ A / I T	NEC		DA/17		O DANIEL MEICONEDII EDI ANCICIUILI AL
[WIT	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114	[WI]		S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 116
[WIT	NES		[WI7		S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 116 standards, do you believe that the Company's
	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3.			standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve?
1	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3.	1		standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve?
1 2		S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3.	1 2	TNES	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve?
1 2 3	A.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of	1 2 3	TNES	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to
1 2 3 4	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me.	1 2 3 4	TNES	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards.
1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that	1 2 3 4 5	TNES	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all
1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time,	1 2 3 4 5	TNES	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and B of Staff's Memorandum that we saw today as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that we will have some improvement in that time
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and B of Staff's Memorandum that we saw today as Exhibits 11 and 12, I believe.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that we will have some improvement in that time period.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and B of Staff's Memorandum that we saw today as Exhibits 11 and 12, I believe. Do you agree with those statements?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that we will have some improvement in that time period. And is it fair to say that the Company, by
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and B of Staff's Memorandum that we saw today as Exhibits 11 and 12, I believe. Do you agree with those statements? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes and no. We don't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that we will have some improvement in that time period. And is it fair to say that the Company, by signing this agreement, is committing to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA Page 114 Stipulation of Facts in front of you? We've premarked that as Exhibit 3. (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, I have that in front of me. And could you turn to the second page of that agreement. And just in the interest of time, I'll paraphrase the statements. Point 6 of the stip states that the data shows that the Company failed to meet standards in 58 of the 234 benchmarks during the 26-month period from January 2009 to February 2011. In Paragraph 7, the Company filed a response acknowledging that it has been unable to meet Emergency Response Standards in each of the nine benchmarks, and the Company does not dispute data provided in Attachments A and B of Staff's Memorandum that we saw today as Exhibits 11 and 12, I believe. Do you agree with those statements? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes and no. We don't dispute the data that's underlying these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	standards, do you believe that the Company's response times will improve? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, they will have to improve to meet the new standards. And does that include response times in all time periods, including Weekends and Holidays and After Hours? (By Mr. Meissner) We do anticipate some improvement in all time periods, yes. And along the same lines, do you believe that the new All Hours standard for 30 minutes will increase the number of weekend and holiday calls being met within 30 minutes? (By Mr. Meissner) We have not finalized the staffing plan that we were just discussing in 3.1. But with the things we've been discussing, then, yes, our expectation is that we will have some improvement in that time period. And is it fair to say that the Company, by signing this agreement, is committing to improving those times? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes.

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN			IP.S. HNU. SHUW CAUSE HEARING
۲WI	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 117			S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 119
[[
1		Mr. Knepper's conclusion made earlier today,	1		might be difficulties in meeting the standards
2		when you examined Exhibit 13, which is the	2		until September?
3		I call it the chart would you agree with	3	A.	
4		his conclusion, that the Company's performance	4		the timing of when we first saw the standards
5		today exceeds the standards set in the new	5	_	until the settlement was filed.
6		proposed set of standards in the Settlement	6	Q.	•
7		Agreement?	7		difficult to meet the All Hours standard
8	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) I'm not sure I totally	8		because the first quarter of this year will be
9		understood which chart. From our perspective,	9		included, the first time that standard is
10		our performance to date would exceed the	10	٨	measured. Why do you come to that conclusion?
11		benchmarks in all response performance	11	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Well, two things. It will
12		measures, with the exception of the All Hours. Our performance is not meeting the All Hours	12		be difficult to meet the All Hours standard,
13		benchmark at the current time.	13		period. It's a very difficult standard that
14	\circ	Okay. Thank you.	14		we have not met yet. And the only distinction I think we're trying to make with the first
15	Q.	You referred earlier to not having	15		quarter is we already have essentially one
16 17		information about Northern's emergency	16 17		quarter of the year already in the rearview
		response capabilities at the time of	18		mirror that we can no longer have any
18 19		acquisition. Now, this was Unitil's	19		influence on. So we're essentially going to
20		acquisition of Northern; is that correct?	20		have to overcome the first quarter's
21	A.	That's correct.	21		performance, which I believe our response
22	Q.	And did the Company do any due diligence with	22		percentage was 78 percent. So it was less
23	Q.	respect to knowing those capabilities	23		than the 80 percent in the first quarter. So
24		regarding emergency response times before it	24		we will now have to achieve performance above
					1
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 118	[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 120
	TNES			ΓNES	
1		signed the settlement?	1	ΓNES	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in
1 2	A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes.	1 2	ΓNES	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of
1 2 3		signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't	1 2 3		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period.
1 2 3 4	A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the	1 2 3 4		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's
1 2 3 4 5	A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement	1 2 3 4 5		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how
1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed.	1 2 3 4 5		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke. I meant within a month of entering the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a rule-making?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke. I meant within a month of entering the settlement and going to hearing, not from the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a rule-making?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke. I meant within a month of entering the settlement and going to hearing, not from the date of the Commission order.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a rule-making? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke. I meant within a month of entering the settlement and going to hearing, not from the date of the Commission order. Okay. You signed the settlement in, must have been August?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a rule-making? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And did the Company prepare any studies or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	signed the settlement? We did, yes. And you testified earlier that you weren't aware of the Company's inability to meet the standards until a month after the settlement was signed. (By Mr. Meissner) Well, to my knowledge, the standards were not in place for the predecessor company. They were entered into our settlement agreement during the acquisition. Northern was not held to these same standards. I think your earlier testimony, that the settlement wasn't approved until the Commission issued an order in October, but you learned in September, I believe (By Mr. Meissner) If I said that, I misspoke. I meant within a month of entering the settlement and going to hearing, not from the date of the Commission order. Okay. You signed the settlement in, must have been August?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	80 percent for the remaining three quarters in order to achieve the 80 percent at the end of the 12-month period. If we were to extrapolate that first quarter's data across the year, do you have any idea how many calls you would have to exceed the benchmark, I think, in order to meet the 80 percent? (By Mr. Meissner) It's not that many. In fact, I think we looked at it, and I think we missed our benchmark by four calls in the first quarter. And these are typically the margins we're talking about in all these percentages. We're typically talking about a matter of a few calls either way to meet these percentages. Were the standards that are presented in today's Settlement Agreement the result of a rule-making? (By Mr. Meissner) Not to my knowledge. And did the Company prepare any studies or analyses of specific objectives to get to the

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UI	шт	LES, INC. SHOW CAUSE REAKING
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 121			S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 123
1		other jurisdictions.	1		fairly readily.
2	Q.	No cost-benefit analyses or comparative	2	В	MS. FABRIZIO:
3		analyses?	3		Do you feel qualified to make that response?
4	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Not to develop the specific	4		(Mr. Leblanc) No, I am not an attorney.
5		percentages. We did do the cost analyses to	5	Q.	•
6		evaluate the staffing impacts to attain the	6	_	(Mr. Leblanc) Yes.
7		current standards as they exist today.	7	Q.	
8	Q.	Given the emphasis in your testimony that you	8	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) No.
9		placed on such studies and procedures as	9	Q.	Did you read his testimony?
10		having not supported the existing standards,	10	_	(Mr. Leblanc) Yes, I did.
11		how can the Commission be assured of the	11	Q.	
12		Company's commitment to meet these new	12		is not about Staff's review of the
13		standards without those underlying studies?	13		effectiveness of the Company's emergency
14	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Well, in terms of our	14		response programs?
15		commitment, I don't think that there's ever	15	A.	
16		been a lack of commitment to meet the	16		reflected that it didn't do an evaluation on
17		standards since our acquisition of Northern.	17		the effectiveness.
18		We've been trying to meet the standards for	18	Q.	
19		three years now, and in fact have	19	₹.	proceeding has been on the promptness of
20		significantly expanded our staffing coverage	20		emergency response times?
21		in an effort to do that. So we are committed	21	A.	
22		to meeting the standards. I don't think	22		on the promptness of response times, yes.
23		there's a question about that. From our	23	Q.	Thank you. Can you tell me how long it would
24		standpoint, it was understanding the way the	24		take for an 1800-square-foot home to fill with
					1
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 122	[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 124
	TNES			ΓNES	
1	TNES	standards are being evaluated so that we can	1	ΓNES	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit
1 2	TNES	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them	1 2	ΓNES	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a
1 2 3	TNES	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes	1 2 3		gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house?
1 2 3 4		standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards.	1 2 3 4	TNES	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all
1 2 3 4 5	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and	1 2 3 4 5		gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size,
1 2 3 4 5		standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the	1 2 3 4 5 6		gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that.
1 2 3 4 5	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no.	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a legal conclusion, and the witness is not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Could you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a legal conclusion, and the witness is not qualified as a legal expert. As to the scope	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Could you make an offer of proof of what the relevance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a legal conclusion, and the witness is not qualified as a legal expert. As to the scope of this docket, the Company would take a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Could you make an offer of proof of what the relevance of that question is?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a legal conclusion, and the witness is not qualified as a legal expert. As to the scope	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Could you make an offer of proof of what the relevance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	standards are being evaluated so that we can tailor changes to our operations to meet them and have some comfort that with those changes we'll have the ability the meet the standards. And the lack of underlying cost benefit and comparative analysis won't lessen the worthiness of these standards. (By Mr. Meissner) It will not, no. Thank you. Mr. Leblanc, you testified earlier at some length about the effectiveness of the Company's safety programs. Does this docket involve a review of the effectiveness of those programs? (Mr. Leblanc) No, it does not. Thank you. Can you tell me how long MR. EPLER: Wait. I'm going to object to that question. That calls for a legal conclusion, and the witness is not qualified as a legal expert. As to the scope of this docket, the Company would take a different view than Staff as to what the scope	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	gas to 7 percent, which is the explosive limit when there's a gas leak in the vicinity of a house? (By Mr. Leblanc) No, I cannot. That would all depend on a lot of pressure, pipe size, proximity, soil conditions. So, no, I could not do that. Could you guesstimate what (By Mr. Leblanc) Absolutely not. It would all, again, depend on the size of the break, the pressure the operating pressure of the gas main, the proximity of the break or the leak to the house, the soil conditions, whether it has wall-to-wall paving, venting capabilities, structures in there. I couldn't. Thank you. And if the leak were right directly in front of the house, would it CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Could you make an offer of proof of what the relevance of that question is? MS. FABRIZIO: We're trying to

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UT	ILII	TES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 125	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 127
1		understanding is that it takes minutes for a	1		posting that went up immediately after the
2		house of that size	2		acquisition for the technicians that we agreed
3		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is there	3		to that we agreed to hire was based on an
4		anything the Company's said that suggests to	4		existing job description that was prior to the
5		you that they're not committed to just trying	5		acquisition. And again, any changes to job
6		to reach a 30-minute standard?	6		descriptions are subject to collective
7		MS. FABRIZIO: Well, I can move	7		bargaining.
8		to the next witness on that point. Thank you.	8	Q.	And there have been no negotiations since that
9		I would like to present Mr.	9		time?
10		Ciulla with a data response that he prepared	10	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) We have had a contract
11		in response to Staff. I'd like to ask that	11		negotiation, and we did not negotiate
12		this be marked for identification as	12		individual job description. However, in the
13		Exhibit 16. (Exhibit 16 marked for identification)	13		contract, we did negotiate performance reviews
14	DΣ	(Exhibit 16 marked for identification.) Y MS. FABRIZIO:	14 15		of emergency response times. So there is a provision in the collective bargaining
15	_	Mr. Ciulla, did you prepare this data	16		agreement where we actually look at the
16 17	Q.	response?	17		response time of each of our emergency
18	Δ	(By Mr. Ciulla) Yes.	18		response techs. We do a root-cause analysis
19	Q.	And could you turn to page well, it would	19		if they fail to meet Emergency Response
20	Q.	be Page 1 of 2 of Attachment 1. So, Staff	20		Standards. And if it's determined whether
21		1-9, Attachment 1.	21		it's a performance issue, that they're not
22	A.	Yes.	22		responding in a timely fashion because of a
23	Q.	Could you read the third bullet under the	23		performance issue they didn't respond or
24		Qualifications, please.	24		that didn't leave their house quickly we
[WI	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 126	[WI	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 128
				ΓNES	
1	TNES	"Responds to service calls when on standby as	1		have contract provisions to address that.
1 2		"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes	1 2	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other
1	A.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call."	1		have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one
1 2 3		"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2,	1 2 3	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't
1 2 3 4	A.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call."	1 2 3 4	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one
1 2 3 4 5	A.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under	1 2 3 4 5	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our
1 2 3 4 5	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure. (Mr. Leblanc) The effective date on Page 2,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals, policies, written materials?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure. (Mr. Leblanc) The effective date on Page 2, the position description is dated 11/21/08.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals, policies, written materials? (By Mr. Ciulla) When we talk about response,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure. (Mr. Leblanc) The effective date on Page 2, the position description is dated 11/21/08. that was prior to the acquisition. This is an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals, policies, written materials? (By Mr. Ciulla) When we talk about response, "emergency response," and when I talk to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure. (Mr. Leblanc) The effective date on Page 2, the position description is dated 11/21/08. that was prior to the acquisition. This is an existing Northern job description that was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals, policies, written materials? (By Mr. Ciulla) When we talk about response, "emergency response," and when I talk to service techs about emergency response, one of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	"Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. Could you turn to Attachment 2, Page 1 of 1, and read the third bullet under Qualifications. (By Mr. Ciulla) "Responds to service calls when on standby as soon as possible, not to exceed 45 minutes from receiving call." Thank you. And given that this is the standard that is presented in the job postings, how do you reconcile that with the Company's stated commitment to improving 30-minute response times? (Mr. Leblanc) I might be in an even better position to answer that question than Mel. Sure. (Mr. Leblanc) The effective date on Page 2, the position description is dated 11/21/08. that was prior to the acquisition. This is an	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	have contract provisions to address that. Thank you. And one other (By Mr. Meissner) I'd just offer one clarification, too, just because it hasn't come up today. But the closing date on our acquisition of Northern at the time was December 1st of 2008. So, this job description was actually dated just before the closing. And after the closing, as part of the settlement, we had agreed to post those positions within one week of the closing. So we immediately used this job description to post it immediately following the closing. Thank you. And this is, after all, simply a job posting. Is the 30-minute response time standard or goal expressed anywhere in Company manuals, policies, written materials? (By Mr. Ciulla) When we talk about response, "emergency response," and when I talk to

	INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
TCALL ALL A	NEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 131
1 If they're on the job, you drop your tools. 1 can	not speed. They cannot run red lights.
	ey cannot run stop signs. We instruct them
	t you are to respond in a safe and
	ective manner. But you are to obey all
	sting traffic laws, because we're bound to
	se. So, again, it's a quick as you can,
	you're not to speed, you're not to run red
	its, you're not to run stop signs. You're
	re to respond in an effective manner and in
	ife manner.
	ank you. And on that note, I think Mr.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lla testified earlier that the on-call
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tem is difficult because of where service
•	ns live. How many service techs do you
	e who are first responders?
	Mr. Ciulla) There's 11 in the department.
	rently, there's 10 service techs on
	perty.
that message home. You get there as quick as 19 Q. And	d do all of them live within Unitil's
you can, get there as safely as you can, and serv	vice territory?
you assess the situation. So when you get 21 A. No,	they do not.
there, you have all these things going through 22 Q. How	w many do not?
	Mr. Ciulla) Five, six. Five. Five do
going to get the people if you got to go to 24 not.	
[WITNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 130 [WITNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA]	NEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 132
the house, if you have to get outside all 1 Q. And	d the residences of those five or six are
	ected in Attachment 10 to Mr. Knepper's
	imony that Staff pulled together, based on
	ormation
1	
	Mr. Ciulla) I don't have that
· ·	Fabrizio hands document to witness.)
	Mr. Ciulla) These are where?
	es that generally reflect your
	lerstanding of
	Mr. Ciulla) Generally. But I don't
discussions on, Okay, Well, what if I'm on a und	lerstand what this one is
	(Court Reporter interjects.)
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I	
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You 13	MR. EPLER: Is this on the
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord?
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what 12 13 14 15	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer 12 13 14 15 16 Atta	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony.
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. Mey Mr. Leblanc) One addition. The other thing we emphasize with our techs, too, is,	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the ness, is that on the record?
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. Mey Mr. Leblanc) One addition. The other thing we emphasize with our techs, too, is,	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the ness, is that on the record? MS. FABRIZIO: I'm sorry. Did
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. Mey Mr. Leblanc) One addition. The other thing we emphasize with our techs, too, is, unlike police and fire, who can respond in a	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the ness, is that on the record? MS. FABRIZIO: I'm sorry. Did a hear?
job? I got my tools out. And more than one I have said, and my supervisors have said, You leave your tools there if it's going to take you too long to pick them up. You drop what you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. Have a coll you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back; whether it be you or somebody else, someone will be back. Have a coll you're doing and you tell the customer somebody will be back. Have a coll you're doing and fire, who can respond in a you thing we emphasize with our techs, too, is, unlike police and fire, who can respond in a fashion by running red lights or speeding, all of our service techs, when they respond to	MR. EPLER: Is this on the ord? MS. FABRIZIO: This is achment 10 to Randy Knepper's testimony. MR. EPLER: I know. But the loquy you were just having with the ness, is that on the record? MS. FABRIZIO: I'm sorry. Did a hear?

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN			
[WI7	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 133	[WI7	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 135
1 2	Q.	Mr. Knepper's testimony generally reflect your	1 2		exactly with questions that Commissioner Harrington was asking earlier with respect to
3		understanding of where service techs are located?	3 4		digging down to root cause of the Company's inability to meet the existing standards for
5	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) We believe we looked at	5		certain time periods.
6		that, and there was three errors in that, just	6		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'm going to
7		to clarify. I believe two locations of	7		sustain the objection. Move on, please.
8		service techs in Dover did not reflect where they actually live, and one of the ones down	8		MS. FABRIZIO: Okay. That was my last question.
9 10		in the southern area does not correspond to a	9 10		CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Okay.
11		company employee.	11		Questions from the Bench?
12	Q.	But generally speaking, you said five or six	12		CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yeah. Just
13		service techs live outside the service	13		try and make this quick here.
14		territory and	14	INT	TERROGATORIES BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
15		MR. EPLER: I'm going to object	15	Q.	Just a real quick question. I had asked this
16		at this point. I'm not sure where this	16		before of Staff. In your analysis of the data
17		questioning is going. We have a Settlement	17		on your response times, was there any
18		Agreement that's signed by the Staff that says	18		meaningful difference between July and August
19		that the settling parties agree to cooperate and advocate that the Settlement Agreement be	19 20		as compared to other months, given the increased traffic during that time, or in the
20 21		approved by the Commission in its entirety	21		wintertime due to snow and road conditions
22		without modification. We entered into this	22		with snow or ice?
23		Settlement Agreement in good faith. We	23	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Looking at the data and how
24		believe, as I indicated earlier, that this is	24		the data was coming in, no. It's where the
[WI7	ΓNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 134	[WI7	TNES:	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 136
1		in the best interest of the public. It's	1		calls and the sporadic calls on weekends and
2		consistent with our understanding of what the	2	_	holidays that
3		Commission is seeking to achieve and what the	3	Q.	And then looking at the map and which you
4		Safety Division has indicated in the past it's	4		don't even have to pull it out, everyone knows
5 6		seeking to achieve. I think that we're getting into	5 6		the service territory. And it's fairly big from north to south, based on driving times.
7		extraneous matters that go to some of the	7		So we're looking at trying to make a goal of
8		underlying issues in the case that if we	8		having somebody got called on off hours, which
9		were to litigate the case.	9		would be what we're referring to as weekends
10		We have a Settlement Agreement	10		or nights now and let me see if I've got
11					
10		here. I think the focus should be on that	11		this correct. Somebody calls the gas company.
12		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to	12		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith
13		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the	12 13		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave
13 14		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry	12 13 14		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How
13 14 15		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction.	12 13 14 15		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of
13 14 15 16		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms.	12 13 14 15 16		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever
13 14 15 16 17		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio.	12 13 14 15 16 17		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang
13 14 15 16 17 18		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you.	12 13 14 15 16 17		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person
13 14 15 16 17		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio.	12 13 14 15 16 17		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person who's on call, who I assume has a cell phone
13 14 15 16 17 18		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you. Staff believes it has an obligation to the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you. Staff believes it has an obligation to the Commission to flush out all the issues that	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person who's on call, who I assume has a cell phone or pager?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you. Staff believes it has an obligation to the Commission to flush out all the issues that are not necessarily to be put on hold for litigation, but the issues that Staff took into consideration with signing on to this	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person who's on call, who I assume has a cell phone or pager? (By Mr. Ciulla) Pager, cell phone. Pager. Or both. Okay. So if they call on the cell phone, the person picks up the cell
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		and, again, the commitment of the Staff to advocate that the agreement be approved by the Commission. And I don't see how this inquiry at this point is moving us in that direction. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you. Staff believes it has an obligation to the Commission to flush out all the issues that are not necessarily to be put on hold for litigation, but the issues that Staff took	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		They take a report. I smell gas at 1234 Smith Street in whatever town. Okay. They gave them some advice as to immediate actions: How strong is the smell? You should get out of the house, whatever. So that takes whatever amount of time, 30 seconds or something. Hang up the phone. Then they contact the person who's on call, who I assume has a cell phone or pager? (By Mr. Ciulla) Pager, cell phone. Pager. Or both. Okay. So if they call on

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	I UT	ILIT	TES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 137	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 139
1		shower or something like that. I assume	1	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) It is.
2		there's no prohibition against something like	2	Q.	But you feel as though it's a goal that's
3		that.	3		possibly do-able, or only do-able if you
4	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) There's a protocol. First	4		average it in with the times for the work
5		thing they do is page. And they don't wait	5		hours where you have people actually
6		for them to call back. Then they call the	6		dispatched in the field?
7		cell phone. And if they don't get them on the	7	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Yeah, when you look at the All
8		cell phone, then they call their home. So,	8		Hours, being able to have the on-call and what
9		while the page is going through, they're	9		we need for the on-call. If you wanted to
10	Q.	And what are the requirements when you say	10		make that 30 minutes, you'd have to abandon
11		someone's "on call," does that mean they're	11		on-call on weekends and holidays to be able to
12		sitting in their vehicle waiting for that	12		make those calls. To be able to average the
13		phone call, so they can just turn they key?	13		low volume of calls into All Hours gives us a
14		What are they allowed to do during that and	14		better opportunity to make a percentage of the
15		still be classified as "on call"?	15	0	calls through that call area.
16	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) If they're home and they have	16	Q.	Would it seem correct, then, to some extent
17		to go to the store for bread, they're in their	17		then, a number people stated a couple of times
18		vehicle. If they have to go to the store to get gas, they're in their vehicle. That's the	18		that you really don't see any way and as we just discussed, I would probably tend to agree
19 20		responsibility of being on call.	19 20		with you to make the 30-minute requirement
21	Q.	When you say "their vehicle," is that the	21		using on-call staff for off hours and
22	Q. A.		22		weekends. So is there a value to sort of
23	Q.	So if they go anywhere, they should be in the	23		merging that with the working-hours numbers to
24	₹.	Company vehicle	24		sort of disguise or hide the fact what you're
		1 2			
[WI]	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 138	[WI]	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 140
[WI7			[WI7	TNES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 140 doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better
	A.	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 138 (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their		TNES	
1	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct.	1	TNES	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better
1 2	A.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that?	1 2	TNES	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time
1 2 3	A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory?	1 2 3		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time
1 2 3 4	A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory.	1 2 3 4		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a
1 2 3 4 5	A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be	1 2 3 4 5		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't
1 2 3 4 5	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff.	1 2 3 4 5 6		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out to their car, that could easily take close to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after hours, 30 minutes. So that will the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out to their car, that could easily take close to 10 minutes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after hours, 30 minutes. So that will the reporting will prevent us from disguising that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out to their car, that could easily take close to 10 minutes. (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after hours, 30 minutes. So that will the reporting will prevent us from disguising that information. Yes, in terms of responding, it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out to their car, that could easily take close to 10 minutes. (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. So, given only 20 minutes to drive anyplace,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after hours, 30 minutes. So that will the reporting will prevent us from disguising that information. Yes, in terms of responding, it will be averaged in so you have the All Hours
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. and stay within so many miles of their house or something like that? (By Mr. Ciulla) Their on-call territory. And that's a defined territory? (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes. Okay. And I assume they have to obviously be fit for duty and all that stuff. (By Mr. Ciulla) Correct. It just strikes me that, in a normal circumstance, you could easily lose 5 to 10 minutes just getting that person into their vehicle for nothing out of the usual. But by the time the person at the dispatch center gets the call that gets relayed to this person and they get out of bed, get their clothes on and whatever, and then get their keys and make sure they have everything they need, get out to their car, that could easily take close to 10 minutes. (By Mr. Ciulla) Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A.	doing in the off hours, wouldn't it be better to measure that independently and get a real-time (By Mr. Ciulla) We actually are. When I get a weekly report, I look at the Normal Hours, After Hours and Weekends and Holidays for those categories. Those categories aren't going away. I'm looking at a report that tells me where the tech was before he responded to that call, so I can look at distance. Okay. And MR. EPLER: Commissioner, I'd also just point out, because we will be continuing the current reporting format, the Staff and Commission, and, again, any member of the public, will be able to see the breakdown on weekends and holidays and after hours, 30 minutes. So that will the reporting will prevent us from disguising that information. Yes, in terms of responding, it

		DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UT:	ILIT	IES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING	
[WITI	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 141	[WI7	TNESS	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 143	
1 2		our response times are, the same as you currently do see that. So if you feel that	1 2		the same format as you do now. Then 2.5.1 goes in and talks about for any individual	
3		there is a problem with that, you can	3		response exceeding 60 minutes, the Company	
4		certainly call us in to address that. We are	4		shall provide detailed explanation, including	
5		not attempting to obfuscate that in any way.	5		any actions taken to prevent recurrence.	
	Q.	I guess my point is, looking at Exhibit 14 and	6		And then in 2.5.2 it says, "Northern	
7	Q.	the map, regardless of where you put a person	7		shall provide" which I assume this is	
8		on call, if you could pick your spot to have	8		synonomous with the Company "a detailed	
9		that person say this is where you're going to	9		explanation of any failure to meet any	
10		reside for that night, if you called them at	10		Emergency Response Standard, including a	
11		3:00 in the morning, I think they'd be	11		remediation plan to prevent recurrence, with	
12		hard-pressed for a certain majority of the	12		supporting documentation and a proposal for	
13		service area within 30 minutes, just given the	13		implementation."	
14		fact you got to get out and do the things we	14		What is your definition of "a detailed	
15		discussed and then get in the car and drive	15		explanation of any failure to meet any	
16		there, which could be 25 minutes away by car.	16		emergency response standard"?	
17		So all right.	17	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) What our understanding of	
18		Getting back to the Settlement Agreement	18		this provision is, is essentially a situation	
19		itself, on Section 2.5.1 and 2.5.2, I'm trying	19		where we would be subject to penalties. So if	
20		to make sure well, let me preface by one	20		the evaluation of our performance relative to	
21		other statement.	21		the standards falls beneath one of these	
22		In the testimony by Philip Sher, which I	22		benchmarks, then we failed to meet that	
23		guess you're adopting, which is a consultant,	23		standard. And there would be an expectation	
24		which is Exhibit 6, there's a few places in	24		that we would have a remediation plan	
	NESS	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 142		TNESS	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 144	
1		there on Page 8 that talks about the previous	1		developed to get us back above the minimum	
2		settlement agreement saying, no, while it	2		benchmark; understanding, also, that if we	
3		targets, it does not define time periods, does	3		don't do that, we'll be subject to repetitive	
4		not define annual and then on Page 9, it	4		penalties in each subsequent month until we do	
5		says, "By requiring monthly filings, does it	5	0	get back above the benchmark.	
6		imply the targets are monthly? Not at all.	6	Q.	Okay. Just so I understand this myself,	
7		The time frame is undefined." So there's been, at least on the part of	7		you'll do monthly reports, as you do now. And	
8		the Company, I'm assuming, some confusion or	8		if in any month that you're reporting on there's an individual response that exceeds 60	
9 10		some fact that the previous Settlement	9 10		minutes, you'll include actions taken to	
11		Agreement wasn't as precise as it could have	11		prevent recurrence. And then in that same	
12		been. Would you say that's correct?	12		monthly report, if on your 12-month look-back	
	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) Yes, that's correct. And I	13		you find that one of the Emergency Response	
14	• ••	think the area where there was the most	14		Standards has not been achieved over that	
15		disagreement or the most confusion was over	15		12-month period, then you'll provide a	
16		the time period over which results would be	16		remediation plan to prevent recurrence with	
17		evaluated.	17		supporting documentation.	
	Q.	I really don't want to go into that. I just	18	A.	(By Mr. Meissner) That's correct.	
19	-	want to make sure my goal here is that the	19		•	
1		new Settlement Agreement is more precise and	20	-	were saying.	
20		- ^			• •	
20 21		less ambiguous.	21		And then one other thing with regard to	
		less ambiguous. So, looking at 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 well,	22		And then one other thing with regard to the 60 minutes and the emergency even the	
21						
21 22		So, looking at 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 well,	22		the 60 minutes and the emergency even the	

[WIT				
	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 145	[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 147
1		anything to take these somewhat individual	1	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Any
2		cases and look at them collectively and see if	2	redirect, Mr. Epler?
3		there's some reason let's say you have five	3	MR. EPLER: No, thank you.
4		or six 60-minute excedures [sic] in the course	4	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
5		of a year. Will you be looking at any of	5	Then you're excused. Thank you
6		those and saying, Okay, we know this one	6	very much.
7		happened because, you know, Fred was sleeping	7	Mr. Sullivan, do you intend to
8		and it took him a while to wake him up, and	8	put Mr. Emerton on the stand?
9		this one happened because somebody else was in	9	MR. SULLIVAN: I do not.
10		the grocery store or something, or whatever	10	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Are you
11		reason. Will there be any attempt to look at	11	asking that his prefiled testimony be
12		those collectively from a common cause as to	12	introduced as an exhibit?
13		why they occurred meaning, maybe there's	13	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, I am.
14		some management directive or whatever that's	14	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And is there
15		not clearly being implemented or lack of	15	any objection from the parties to having that
16		support by management or something on that	16	made an exhibit without the opportunity to
17		idea?	17	cross-examine Mr. Emerton?
18	A.	(Mr. Leblanc) We actually do that now. We do	18	MR. EPLER: No, we will not
19	11.	it for any failed 60-minute response. We do a	19	object to that.
20		root-cause analysis on what caused that, and	20	MS. FABRIZIO: Staff has no
21		we look for trends. Is it a performance issue	21	objection.
22		with the dispatch center? Is it a performance	22	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
23		issue with a particular dispatcher? So that's	23	Why don't we in the interest of time, we
24		currently going on right now.	24	will not have you go through the
				, e.u. ge ge
-				
[WIT	NES	S PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 146	[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 148
1	NES Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final	1	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9,
1 2		Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with	1 2	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved.
1 2 3		Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is	1 2 3	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.)
1 2 3 4		Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to	1 2 3 4	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was
1 2 3 4 5		Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it	1 2 3 4 5	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth?	1 2 3 4 5 6	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition	1 2 3 4 5 6	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question. TERROGATORIES BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question. TERROGATORIES BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Meissner, has the vice-president	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone. The Company will waive any objection. That document does not need to be confidential.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question. TERROGATORIES BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Meissner, has the vice-president responsible for implementation been designated	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone. The Company will waive any objection. That document does not need to be confidential. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. IN' Q.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question. TERROGATORIES BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Meissner, has the vice-president responsible for implementation been designated yet that's called for in the agreement?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone. The Company will waive any objection. That document does not need to be confidential. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Thank you. Well, it hasn't even been an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	Okay. And are you then I guess my final question would be: Are you comfortable with that Settlement Agreement, that it is specifically enough so that you'll be able to comply with it without getting into what it means here and so forth? (By Mr. Meissner) Yes, we feel the definition provided in this settlement is clear to us, that we understand what we're trying to achieve. Now, achieving it will still be challenging. But we understand what we're trying to achieve. CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right. Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commission. CMSR. SCOTT: No question. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: One question. TERROGATORIES BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Meissner, has the vice-president responsible for implementation been designated yet that's called for in the agreement?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	qualifications. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9, as had previously been reserved. (Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: There was one other detail we wondered about in the materials. We have a document that's been held as confidential, but we're not entirely sure why it should be considered confidential. And we don't have a motion for confidentiality that I'm aware of. It's a document that I think was developed by Mr. Sher, Emergency Response Plans, and was attached in response to a data request is my guess. MR. EPLER: May I approach the Bench and just take a look? (Pause in proceedings) MR. EPLER: We'll waive any objection. I'll get back to my microphone. The Company will waive any objection. That document does not need to be confidential. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.

	DG 11-196 UNITIL CORP. AND NORTHERN	UIILI	HES, INC. SHOW CAUSE HEARING
[WITNE	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 149	[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 151
1	MR. EPLER: Yes, that's part of	1	the Commission today is intended to permit the
2	the discovery. So I don't think it's part of	2	Company time to make management changes that
3	the record, in any event. But	3	we hope will improve its response times
4	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.	4	overall, including response times during after
5	Thank you.	5	hours, weekends and holidays. Although the
6	Any other procedural matters,	6	30-minute standard for those time periods have
7	other than talking about whether we want to	7	been eliminated, the agreement was made with
8	have oral closings, written closings? Our	8	the mutual understanding that a degradation in
9	preference would be to do it this afternoon	9	response times would not occur.
10	orally, if that's acceptable to people.	10	Staff will continue to assess
11	And prior to that, as you get	11	the monthly data reported by the Company as a
12	your thoughts together, any objection to	12	tool to monitor trends and response
13	striking the identification and making all the	13	performance. As noted, the Agreement permits
14	documents full exhibits?	14	Staff and the Company to revisit the proposed
15	MR. EPLER: No objection.	15	new standards no later than five years from
16	MS. FABRIZIO: No objection.	16	their approval. If Staff notes declines in
17	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.	17	performance in any time period, it will raise
18	Seeing there's no objection, we will do that.	18	its concern at the quarterly meetings as a
19	And so, Mr. Sullivan, let's	19	condition of Paragraph 3.3 of the agreement.
20	begin with you. Any closing statements?	20	If the concern persists, Staff
21	CLOSING STATEMENTS	21	has the option of revisiting the agreement at
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. The Union	22	any time. Based on the Company's commitments
23	thanks everyone for allowing us to participate	23	that you've heard here today to improve
24	in this. At this time, we take no position on	24	response performance and to avoid degradation
[WITNE	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 150	[WITNES	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 152
1	the Settlement Agreement proposal, and we	1	of response times, Staff believes that the
2	leave that to the discretion of the Commission	2	Settlement Agreement can help to ensure that
3	as to how they handle it. Thank you very	3	the public will not be harmed by the proposed
4	much.	4	new standards and evaluation mechanisms
5	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.	5	included in this Settlement Agreement.
6	Thank you. Staff? Closing?	6	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
7	MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you.	7	Mr. Epler.
8	At issue in this proceeding are safety	8	MR. EPLER: Yes. Thank you. I
9	standards that pertain to the utility's	9	think, based on the hearing today, that the
10	ability to get a first responder on the scene	10	Commission can gain some sense that this has
11	for a gas leak or odor in a prompt manner.	11	been somewhat of a contentious issue.
12	The Safety Division has been collecting	12	First of all, I would like to
13	response time data from Northern since the	13	recognize the efforts of all parties involved
14	Company's acquisition by Unitil in	14	for their attention to this issue. People did
15	December 2008. The Company provided that data	15	not walk away. There was certainly times
16	monthly, based on response time standards	16	there was frustration, but we stuck to it and
17	agreed to in the Settlement Agreement at the	17	had some difficult discussions and
18	time of acquisition.	18	conversations. But I think we've come up with
19	Thirty-nine months of data	19	a Settlement Agreement that is in the public
20	compiled by Staff show that Northern has been	20	interest. It's something the Company is
21	unable to consistently get a first responder	21	committed to, committed to achieving, and is
22	to the scene within 30 minutes during after	22	consistent with the goals and aims of the
23	hours and weekends and holidays.	23	Commission in terms of protecting public
24	The Settlement Agreement before	24	safety and ensuring that there's appropriate
1			

[WITNE	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 153		TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 155
1	management of the gas company and its	1	We have tried to be as specific
2	operations.	2	as we can, given the experience under the
3	We are absolutely committed to	3	previous standards, to have specific
4	ensuring safety. I think you heard that from	4	provisions in place. We understand what the
5	our chief operating officer today. We stand	5	commitments are and understand what the
6	by that commitment. And it is, as was	6	reporting will be and will fulfill all those
7	indicated, part of the corporate culture of	7	commitments.
8	the Company.	8	We strongly believe, as I said
9	Perhaps it was our mistake to	9	at the beginning, that this Settlement
10	have signed on to an initial set of standards	10	Agreement is in the public interest, and we
11	that we were not more specifically aware of	11	ask that you consider it and consider
12	the implications of, in terms of the impact it	12	approving it. Thank you.
13	would have on the company and the operations	13	CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
14	and whether or not the company would be able	14	All right then. Thank you everyone for your
15	to attain it. It certainly was not our intent	15	efforts here and your willingness to stay to
16	to either mislead or to misunderstand those	16	finish this up today. We will take this under
17	standards, and our intent all along has been	17	advisement and issue an order as promptly as
18	to ensure that we have a safe operation. We	18	we're able.
19	hope to continue that. We believe that there	19	(Whereupon, the AFTERNOON SESSION of the
20	are many off-ramps in this Settlement	20	hearing was adjourned at 4:55 p.m.)
21	Agreement that allow constant review and	21	
22	evaluation. There's the monthly reporting	22	
23	that we're continuing. So you have that	23	
24	detail. There is a commitment to meet	24	
1			
[WITNE	SS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 154	[WI	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 156
		[WI ⁻	TNESS PANEL: MEISSNER LEBLANC CIULLA] Page 156 CERTIFICATE
1	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't		
1 2	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in	1	CERTIFICATE
1 2 3	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a	1 2	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand
1 2 3 4	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally	1 2 3	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
1 2 3	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we	1 2 3 4	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the
1 2 3 4 5	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the	1 2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my
1 2 3 4 5	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we	1 2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are stringent standards. We do not believe that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are stringent standards. We do not believe that they're a degradation compared to what's in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are stringent standards. We do not believe that they're a degradation compared to what's in place. They will continue to be a challenge	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are stringent standards. We do not believe that they're a degradation compared to what's in place. They will continue to be a challenge for the Company to meet. But the Company is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	quarterly with the Staff, so that we don't have situations that unfortunately we had in the past period where we weren't meeting on a regular basis. And I am committed personally to attend those meetings and to ensure that we are meeting all our obligations under the Settlement Agreement. There is also the ongoing review that at any time if we're not meeting the standards, Staff can certainly bring that to your attention. And then there's the five-year provision that there is an opportunity to look back and see what the performance has been and whether or not the standards needs to be changed. So there are many, many opportunities to look and to see what is the Company doing; are we meeting your expectations, the public's expectations. These standards, as we've testified to, are stringent standards. We do not believe that they're a degradation compared to what's in place. They will continue to be a challenge	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter

-	106:14;120:3;140:23;	2008 (4)	37:16	114:10
\$	144:12,15	71:7;107:21;128:7;	30-minute (28)	
·	13 (9)	150:15	43:7;56:10;63:5;	6
\$1.1 (1)	29:22,23;30:4,16,17;	2009 (4)	76:18;77:20;78:4,10;	
101:14	45:22;46:17;50:24;	67:10,11;95:5;114:11	79:18;82:3,12,23;94:19;	6 (6)
\$8,000 (3)	117:2	2010 (3)	95:2,20;96:1;97:14,21;	10:19;38:21;68:11;
20:24;21:16,21	14 (2)	31:5;63:3;95:6	99:5,7;100:22;112:3;	69:6;114:8;141:24
\$96,000 (1)	102:4;141:6	2011 (7)	115:12;124:23;125:6;	60 (20)
21:24	15 (4)	67:11,11,14,16;95:6;	126:14;128:16;139:20;	17:17;18:4;19:3;32:3;
	7:9;31:8;32:2,6	114:12;115:9	151:6	50:14,18,22;51:1;57:1,8;
[16 (2)	2012 (5)	31 (3)	73:1;84:23;94:17;96:15;
L	125:13,14	24:18;25:1,5;99:14;	99:19;100:2,6	104:24;105:10,23;
[sic] (6)	18 (1)	106:7	31- (1)	143:3;144:9,22
11:8;44:19;52:3;	10:15	2013 (1)	83:16	60-minute (8)
104:2;129:15;145:4	1800-square-foot (1)	24:24	32 (1)	7:20;8:3;19:10;32:9;
104.2,127.13,143.4	123:24	20th (1)	99:20	37:17;51:15;145:4,19
0	1-9 (1)	115:9	33 (3)	60-plus-minute (1)
<u> </u>	125:21	2-1/4 (1)	12:20,23;13:23	7:14
0 (1)	1st (1)	115:14	35 (1)	60s (1)
	128:7	22 (1)	34:7	56:14
85:14	1-to-9 (1)	67:9	36 (1)	0011
08-048 (6)	83:6	234 (1)	85:13	7
15:4;17:5;20:19;	03.0	114:10	38 (1)	,
41:24;70:15;73:6	2	24 (1)	99:16	7 (6)
-1	2	101:7	39 (1)	66:16;67:9;69:7;
1	2 (5)	25 (1)	59:11	101:7;114:13;124:1
	6:22;77:12;125:20;	141:16	3-to-11 (1)	
1 (11)	126:4,18	26 (1)	84:19	75 (2) 73:2,2
51:20,21;52:4;83:10;	2.2 (3)	67:9	04.19	,
84:7;103:9;125:20,20,			4	76 (1) 95:10
21;126:5,5	13:16;18:16;39:12	26-month (1)	4	
1.5 (1)	2.3 (4)	114:11	1 (6)	78 (1)
101:14	14:20,21;23:6,15	27.5 (1)	4 (6)	119:22
10 (11)	2.4 (2)	57:19	19:18;38:10;53:13;	8
12:5;14:19;57:12;	15:11;16:10	29 (1)	54:12;68:1;69:4	8
84:3;99:24;131:17;	2.5 (4)	31:8	4:55 (1)	0.40
132:2,16;133:1;138:11,	16:16;62:23;64:2;	2	155:20	8 (1)
20	142:23	3	45 (11)	142:1
100 (1)	2.5.1 (11)	2 (15)	32:13,23;33:8;57:8;	80 (10)
85:15	17:10,21;18:18,24;	3 (15)	73:1;84:4;85:15;94:17;	13:19;25:9,13;78:10;
11 (15)	19:1;49:19;50:10;104:7;	12:7;26:12;38:21;	115:14;126:2,9	94:22;95:22;119:23;
6:20;79:6;81:11;84:9,	141:19;142:22;143:1	39:21;49:18;50:9;54:12;	45- (1)	120:1,2,8
12,13,14;86:15;101:13;	2.5.2 (6)	63:17;84:9,12,12,14;	37:16	80-percent (6)
112:9,20,21,23;114:19;	18:15,23;19:11;	93:12;104:7;114:2	45-minute (3)	25:11;95:15,23;97:15,
131:16	141:19;142:22;143:6	3.1 (1)	32:8;83:17;97:4	16;98:4
11/21/08 (1)	2.6 (2)	116:16	45s (1)	87 (2)
126:19	19:19;39:9	3.2 (1)	56:13	13:17;40:12
11-196 (1)	2.7 (1)	27:4	_	_
4:3	20:7	3.3 (2)	5	9
12 (14)	2.8 (4)	27:21;151:19		
19:24;20:2,4;25:15;	22:24;23:16,19;26:6	3:00 (1)	5 (8)	9 (9)
29:13,15;30:3,6,14;31:7;	2.9 (1)	141:11	6:23;7:7;67:3;69:5;	83:10;84:7;101:12;
39:14;40:2;57:12;	25:17	3:08 (1)	100:1,1;115:9;138:11	112:20,21,23;142:4;
114:19	2:51 (1)	65:3	5:00 (1)	148:1,3
114:19 12.2 (1)	65:2	30 (34)	86:15	9:00 (2)
57:18	20 (4)	13:15,19;14:5,6,10,11;	50 (2)	84:10;112:7
	31:24;96:16,17;	25:8;31:7,10,21,23;34:6;	100:3,3	90 (1)
1234 (1)	138:22	38:11;40:11;56:12,18;	504.07 (3)	23:19
126.12		57:7;63:19;73:1;81:23;	7:22;57:16;72:23	95 (1)
136:12	200 (1)			
12-consecutive-month (1)	200 (1)		504 07C (3)	103.8
12-consecutive-month (1) 23:23	95:9	85:19;94:16;98:1,8;	504.07C (3) 10.8.17.12.18.2	103:8 9-to-11 (1)
12-consecutive-month (1) 23:23 12-month (18)	95:9 2004 (1)	85:19;94:16;98:1,8; 99:13;100:1;116:11,13;	10:8;17:12;18:2	9-to-11 (1)
12-consecutive-month (1) 23:23 12-month (18) 19:23;21:2;22:19;	95:9 2004 (1) 31:5	85:19;94:16;98:1,8; 99:13;100:1;116:11,13; 136:17;138:23;139:10;	10:8;17:12;18:2 54 (1)	
12-consecutive-month (1) 23:23 12-month (18)	95:9 2004 (1)	85:19;94:16;98:1,8; 99:13;100:1;116:11,13;	10:8;17:12;18:2	9-to-11 (1)

	91:3;92:14;93:4;117:19,	141:23	97:4	138:22
${f A}$	20;118:11;121:17;	advice (1)	aims (1)	apologize (1)
A	126:20,22;127:2,5;	136:14	152:22	4:10
abandon (1)	128:6;150:14,18	advisement (1)	air (1)	apparently (1)
139:10	across (8)	155:17	57:14	48:4
ability (9)	46:4;95:3,21,23;96:2;	advocate (2)	all-inclusive (1)	appear (6)
25:18;76:3;81:21;	97:14;112:3;120:5	133:20;134:13	11:13	13:20;14:4,9;66:22;
89:22;90:3;96:5;106:2;	action (4)	after-hours (1)	allocate (1)	67:20;68:7
122:4;150:10	52:17;53:2;54:24;	83:1	85:1	appearance (1)
able (30)	105:6	AFTERNOON (8)	allow (5)	100:4
5:16;17:1,21;30:4;	actions (24) 18:3,12,20;19:2;	4:1,3;6:17,18;55:14,	17:7,9;64:15;79:20; 153:21	appears (2)
38:10;39:2;43:15;44:15;	25:20;50:12,17;51:24;	15;149:9;155:19 Again (18)	allowable (1)	6:24;102:8 applicable (1)
46:24;55:24;63:12;	52:3,7,10;53:6;61:7;	7:11,13;14:19;23:1;	51:10	57:21
74:21;76:17;77:7;83:4,	79:2;104:10,15,19;	54:17;56:9;59:22;61:1;	allowed (2)	applies (1)
4;85:4,21;103:22; 129:12,12,14,16;139:8,	105:2,21,23;106:1;	64:20;75:13;81:2;89:19;	49:3;137:14	18:9
11,12;140:17;146:4;	136:14;143:5;144:10	93:2;124:10;127:5;	allowing (1)	apply (5)
153:14;155:18	active (1)	131:6;134:12;140:16	149:23	15:3;18:7,9;23:9;26:7
above (4)	92:9	against (5)	allows (2)	Appreciate (1)
24:12;119:24;144:1,5	activities (2)	9:4;19:22;39:10;	92:20,24	110:12
Absolutely (4)	76:22,23	53:15;137:2	almost (2)	approach (2)
5:18;88:24;124:9;	actual (3)	aggressive (1)	45:19;46:4	106:21;148:14
153:3	30:14,17;98:11	138:24	along (4)	appropriate (4)
accept (3)	actually (17)	ago (1) 109:24	19:7;58:15;116:10; 153:17	28:16;72:19;105:17; 152:24
5:23;15:6;88:9	46:19;57:24;74:15; 89:7;92:6;103:21;	agree (20)	alternative (3)	approval (6)
acceptable (1)	109:23;110:3,6;127:16;	7:2;18:22;21:9;22:11;	52:23,23,24	14:23;23:20;26:24;
149:10	128:8;133:9;139:5;	23:7;27:17;28:1,17;	Although (1)	27:3;54:15;151:16
acceptance (1) 45:14	140:4,24,24;145:18	41:19;42:20,22;114:20;	151:5	approve (1)
accepting (1)	add (1)	115:3,11,23;116:24;	always (2)	15:14
154:24	107:9	117:3;123:18;133:19;	40:20;76:15	approved (6)
accepts (1)	added (6)	139:19	ambiguous (2)	5:8,9;23:8;118:14;
88:1	7:12;8:6,12,15,16;	agreed (11)	50:2;142:21	133:21;134:13
access (2)	10:18	17:4;20:9;41:21;	among (1)	approving (1)
92:5;102:22	adding (1)	42:16;54:10;70:10;	74:1	155:12
accident (1)	79:17	74:14;127:2,3;128:10;	amount (7)	approximately (1)
105:11	addition (2)	150:17	31:13,14;38:16;84:24;	115:17
accomplishing (1)	13:20;130:19 additional (5)	agreeing (3) 18:18,20;19:11	88:8;112:13;136:17 analyses (4)	April (1) 44:2
29:1	25:20;68:10;81:19;	agreement (78)	120:22;121:2,3,5	area (22)
accurate (1)	91:15;107:7	4:21;5:13,17,23,24;	analysis (12)	44:2;71:13;72:7;
72:9	Additionally (1)	6:4,5,9;12:5;13:5;15:4,	43:16,18;44:11;46:9;	73:13;79:22;81:4,5;
achieve (28) 14:15;22:5;25:11;	108:8	12,13;18:8;20:13,18;	48:8,15;49:14;77:19;	82:9,9,10;83:12;84:24;
31:12,12;38:24;39:3,19,	additions (1)	23:3,8,21;24:10;29:6;	122:6;127:18;135:16;	102:17,19,20;103:6,12,
20;40:12;47:15,18,20;	92:12	37:4;38:21;41:4,24;	145:20	20;133:10;139:15;
73:9,18;74:21;94:7;	address (12)	42:4,9,11,21,24;47:2;	annual (4)	141:13;142:14
95:12,19;97:4;101:22;	5:16;6:11;50:6;51:14;	49:4,18;50:1;55:23;	42:8;96:12;115:3;	areas (6)
108:21;119:24;120:2;	55:7;59:1;64:17;93:23;	56:7;58:1;62:23;63:17;	142:4	63:15;81:19;92:21;
134:3,5;146:10,12	104:18;105:13;128:1;	70:11;71:21;74:22;	annually (1)	93:1;104:18;112:19
achieved (9)	141:4	93:10;98:6;104:6;	115:6	around (4)
29:3,4;30:7,9,10,21;	addressed (3)	110:18;111:1;114:6;	anomaly (1)	43:4;44:5;64:20;96:14
37:14;46:3;144:14	44:12;51:8;100:14	116:21;117:7;118:10;	59:4	arrangement (4)
achieving (3)	adhere (1) 35:8	120:18;127:16;133:18, 20,23;134:10,13,24;	answered (2) 49:15;122:24	79:17;80:5;81:21; 100:20
77:20;146:10;152:21	adjourned (1)	141:18;142:2,11,20;	49:15;122:24 anticipate (2)	arrangements (1)
acknowledging (1) 114:14	155:20	146:3,22;150:1,17,24;	52:4;116:8	111:21
114:14 acquired (4)	adjustment (1)	151:7,13,19,21;152:2,5,	anticipated (1)	arrival (1)
74:11;79:7;98:21;	83:3	19;153:21;154:7;155:10	64:11	33:7
107:20	adjustments (1)	agrees (3)	anticipating (1)	arrive (1)
acquiring (1)	78:12	16:17;26:13;27:4	24:3	89:23
74:5	adopt (1)	ahead (1)	anymore (1)	arrived (1)
acquisition (17)	68:15	6:13	40:7	32:12
11:6;82:18;90:17;	adopting (1)	aim (1)	anyplace (1)	arrives (2)
	1	I .	1	l

	UNITIL CORT. AND		, INC. SHOW CAUSE III	LAKING
88:10,11	141:5	151:22;152:9	41:1;136:5	button (1)
ascertain (1)	attempts (1)	basically (9)	biggest (1)	130:3
86:18	79:3	13:2;21:14;31:11;	43:8	button-pushing (1)
ascertation (1)	attend (1)	34:2;43:7;78:9;92:18;	binary (1)	129:10
129:15	154:5	93:14;102:20	31:11	
asleep (1)	attention (6)	basis (13)	bit (7)	\mathbf{C}
77:22	22:18;82:17;105:16,	4:13;25:12,15;30:7;	40:24;43:4;57:2;83:3;	
aspect (1)	17;152:14;154:11	40:14,17,18;42:8;83:15;	96:13;99:23;108:14	calculate (1)
91:17	attorney (2)	85:12;96:12;106:15;	blocks (1)	94:24
assess (5)	123:4,7	154:4	30:1	calculated (1)
91:9,16;129:13,21;	attributing (1)	became (4)	board (1)	95:18
151:10	100:10	74:24,24;75:2;82:20	112:4	calendar (5)
assessed (4)	August (3)	bed (2)	books (1)	21:24;22:6,8,14;71:5
21:8;22:1,13;62:19	118:22,23;135:18	77:22;138:16	92:10	call (80)
assessment (5)	authority (1) 26:10	begin (1) 149:20	born (1) 57:10	15:20,21;16:2,4;
21:14;22:15;83:2; 93:1;129:7	automatic (2)	beginning (4)	both (4)	30:21;32:12,12;33:7; 58:3,7,10;59:21,24;60:3,
assets (1)	20:23;21:3	15:19;67:8;69:19;	57:1;70:6;77:10;	6,21;76:14,16;77:8,15,
91:22	automatically (1)	155:9	136:22	16,18;78:2;79:23,24;
assigned (2)	21:8	begins (4)	bottom (1)	80:2,5,7,9,24;81:1,2,5,
80:7,9	average (7)	7:11;10:19;16:2;	6:24	15,16;82:8,9;85:13;
assigning (2)	31:17;39:5;40:4;	109:14	bound (1)	86:10,13,16,16,17;87:2,
76:11;77:4	41:12;42:21;139:4,12	behavior (1)	131:5	3,15,16;88:14,20,21,23;
assignments (2)	averaged (1)	22:22	boxes (2)	89:2,6,10,14,17,22;90:4;
80:12;111:15	140:22	behind (2)	39:22;40:1	105:16,20;109:12,15;
assigns (1)	avoid (1)	28:10;51:7	bread (1)	117:3;126:3,9;129:6;
87:7	151:24	belief (1)	137:17	136:19,22;137:6,6,8,11,
Association (1)	award (4)	74:1	break (7)	13,15,20;138:15;139:15;
110:5	109:24;110:1,4,6	believes (2)	51:5;64:24;69:10;	140:10;141:4,8
assume (5)	awarded (1)	134:19;152:1	81:6;88:7;124:10,12	called (3)
82:15;136:19;137:1;	110:7	below (4)	breakdown (4)	136:8;141:10;146:22
138:7;143:7	aware (13)	30:1;39:7,8;67:13	19:7;43:24;96:14;	calling (1)
assumes (1)	8:18;9:11,15;69:22;	Bench (5)	140:18	88:23
52:9	70:3;72:21;73:5;74:24;	29:12;36:21;106:22;	breaking (2)	calls (61)
assuming (3)	75:2;118:4;130:7;	135:11;148:15	81:18;112:18	19:10;30:15,18;31:6;
6:3;33:18;142:9	148:10;153:11	benchmark (8)	breaks (1)	38:11;40:5;44:22;46:16,
assurance (1)	awareness (1)	95:15,23;100:23;	98:7	18;58:5,7;60:14;70:2;
97:8	60:19	117:14;120:7,11;144:2,5	Brief (1)	72:1;75:21;82:2,6;
assured (1) 121:11	away (4) 49:12;140:8;141:16;	benchmarks (6)	65:2 briefly (4)	83:11;84:8,11,17,18,23;
assures (1)	152:15	94:15;114:10,16; 115:5;117:11;143:22	29:8;37:2;89:15,20	85:6,9,16,21;86:1,14; 89:12;90:5;95:14;96:7,
77:5	132.13	beneath (1)	bring (1)	9,11,13,20,24;98:1;
Atkinson (3)	В	143:21	154:10	99:24;100:1,2;102:1;
72:7;73:13;102:17	В	beneficial (2)	broken (4)	103:16,18,20,23;104:1;
attached (1)	back (24)	28:7;101:18	30:18;80:15,21;97:21	116:13;120:6,11,16;
148:12	4:2;5:10;6:6;20:4;	benefit (2)	brought (1)	122:18;126:1,7;136:1,1,
Attachment (6)	31:4;40:9;46:9,15;	28:4;122:5	94:20	11;139:12,13,15
125:20,21;126:4;	49:21;54:19;57:12;	benefits (1)	bucket (1)	came (7)
132:2,16;133:1	75:13;80:10;92:1;	61:24	30:24	5:12;40:5;46:4;71:20;
Attachments (1)	100:13;110:11;130:17,	besides (1)	buckets (1)	76:17;95:11,16
114:17	18;137:6;141:18;144:1,	61:12	37:17	campaign (1)
attain (6)	5;148:18;154:13	best (6)	building (1)	60:20
21:18;79:18,21;95:19;	backwards (1)	44:15;47:15;48:22;	109:13	can (84)
121:6;153:15	23:24	49:15;55:6;134:1	bulk (2)	4:20;5:19,23;10:15;
attaining (2)	bad (1)	better (11)	103:18,24	12:4,7;13:3,11;14:7;
69:13;74:14	44:7	25:11;54:17;55:16;	bullet (2)	19:9;28:9;29:8,10;
attainment (1)	bargaining (3)	57:7;58:13;73:21;98:14;	125:23;126:5	32:15;33:12,14,19;34:3,
99:21	126:24;127:7,15	101:22;126:15;139:14;	bunch (3)	5,9,16;35:3;37:2;38:12;
attempt (3)	based (17)	140:1	35:21;61:12;63:14	42:22;45:7;46:7;47:23;
5:3;55:1;145:11	6:1;19:22;21:6;32:15;	beyond (7)	business (4)	48:22;49:4;51:2;56:12;
attempted (1)	33:19;34:2,18;39:3;	5:16;18:1,19;26:11;	37:19,19;56:24;87:10	58:12;59:10,23;60:4,12;
79:9	47:6;48:18;86:21;127:3;	35:22;36:3;45:16	busy (1)	61:1,14;62:1;64:17;
attempting (1)	132:3;136:6;150:16;	big (2)	58:9	65:10;66:16;69:16;
	ı	.1	.1	1

			1	T
75:16;76:20;78:12,20,	certainly (10)	102:14,23;112:9;	24:11	11:2,8,14,18;12:1;16:17;
23;79:22;80:1,16;81:13,	5:6,22;6:7;44:3;108:5;	113:11;125:10,16,18;	collect (1)	17:2,11,24;18:17;19:11;
22;86:9;89:14;91:16;	109:2;141:4;152:15;	126:7;128:19;130:9;	62:20	21:7,20;22:4,18;25:7;
92:6,8,10,22;97:14;	153:15;154:10	131:12,16,23;132:5,7,	collecting (1)	26:13,17;27:4,16;30:7;
106:18,21;110:20;	certainty (2)	10;135:23;136:21;	150:12	31:21;32:11;38:3;43:22;
113:6;119:18;121:11;	16:10;81:22	137:4,16,22;138:1,4,6,9,	collective (3)	45:6,24;47:7,16;49:15,
122:1,16;123:23;125:7;	CHAIRMAN (56)	21;139:1,7;140:4	126:24;127:6,15	20;50:11;51:13;52:14;
128:24;129:20,20;	4:2,7,9;5:14;6:12;	CJL-2 (1)	collectively (2)	53:20;54:2,17;62:21;
130:21;131:6;137:13;	20:12,16,20;29:14,21,	67:14	145:2,12	63:2,5;65:23;68:14;
140:10;141:3;152:2,10;	24;36:8,11,14,16,20;	clarification (3)	colloquy (1)	69:15,20;72:6,24;73:16;
154:10;155:2	41:17;55:11;62:9;64:5,	4:12;89:9;128:4	132:18	74:5,18;75:20;78:16;
capabilities (3)	8,15,19,22;65:4,9;68:21,	clarified (1)	column (2)	81:6,17,22;82:16;85:7;
117:18,23;124:15	24;102:5;106:20,23;	17:13	12:21;67:14	86:3,10,12;89:16,21;
capability (1)	107:1;109:19;110:10,	clarifies (3)	comfort (1)	93:21,22,24;94:1;97:3,
89:17	13;113:19;124:19;	25:17;26:4;27:24	122:3	24;98:5,6,21;99:5,7;
capture (1)	125:3;134:16;135:6,10;	clarify (6)	comfortable (1)	100:17,18;101:1,9,16;
85:18	146:15,17,19;147:1,4,	23:2;27:15;28:5;30:4;	146:2	102:12;103:10;105:12;
car (4)	10,14,22;148:4,21;	35:5;133:7	coming (7)	106:2,10,14;107:10,17,
105:10;138:19;	149:4,17;150:5;152:6;	clarifying (1)	9:13;17:20;63:4;	18;108:1,3,20;109:21;
141:15,16	155:13	89:4	85:17;86:1;89:6;135:24	114:9,13,16;116:20;
case (8)	challenge (3)	clarity (1)	comments (1)	117:22;118:9;120:21;
20:14;38:19;52:1;	106:13;154:22,24	20:22	49:23	122:21;128:17;133:11;
77:10;79:7;109:1;134:8,	challenges (1)	class (1)	Commission (43)	136:11;137:22,24;
9	102:12	91:7	4:19;5:6,20,22;6:10;	142:9;143:3,8;144:24;
cases (4)	challenging (1)	classification (1)	14:23;15:13;16:23;23:9,	148:19;150:15;151:2,11,
48:4;51:18;105:1;	146:11	13:23	21;25:19;26:1,8,10,14;	14;152:20;153:1,8,13,
145:2	change (10)	classifications (2)	27:10;28:19;29:2;37:7;	14;154:17,23,23
cast (1)	7:1;41:3,6,7;75:6;	37:12;57:17	38:4;53:19;57:10;64:14;	Company's (39)
91:14	94:9;111:7,8,10,14	classified (1)	69:12,17;72:17;73:7;	4:16;5:1;6:10;8:2;
catastrophic (1)	changed (5)	137:15	75:14;107:14;118:15,	19:20;24:16;25:22;
33:18	7:12;41:16;46:19;	classify (2)	20;121:11;130:8;	35:17;41:8;42:15;50:15;
categories (12)	100:15;154:15	90:13;91:8	133:21;134:3,14,20;	53:14,21;54:4;62:19;
14:3,8,14;21:17;22:5;	changes (13)	clause (2)	140:16;146:15;150:2;	72:1;73:17;78:19;88:19;
38:23;74:15;98:9,14;	28:16,18;66:18;67:5,	8:12;104:9	151:1;152:10,23	98:22;102:10;104:14,
129:17;140:7,7	19;68:3;73:23;90:11;	clear (7)	Commissioner (7)	17;107:13,14;115:8,12,
Category (11)	113:8;122:2,3;127:5;	38:20;41:10;53:10;	55:11,20;62:16;104:8,	18;116:1;117:4;118:4;
12:22;13:18,22;30:18,	151:2	54:1;67:15;104:23;	12;135:1;140:13	121:12;122:12;123:13;
24;32:7,8,9;37:11;83:7,	characteristics (2)	146:8	Commission's (1)	125:4;126:13;135:3;
17	102:9;108:15	clearly (4)	4:14	150:14;151:22
cause (5)	characterize (1)	36:2;105:18;108:24;	commitment (7)	comparable (3)
43:17;48:21;51:13;	73:20	145:15	121:12,15,16;126:13;	15:24;37:4,4
135:3;145:12	chart (6)	close (4)	134:12;153:6,24	comparative (2)
caused (2)	38:21;39:20;40:9;	76:16;78:1;99:9;	commitments (3)	121:2;122:6
50:5;145:20	115:11;117:3,9	138:19	151:22;155:5,7	compare (6)
causes (2)	charts (1)	closed (2)	committed (6)	9:1,4,6;12:16;56:6,23
44:14;63:11	45:21	51:20;52:5	121:21;125:5;152:21,	compared (5)
causing (1)	check (3)	closing (8)	21;153:3;154:4	13:4;14:16;55:23;
47:3	15:6;21:10;115:16	128:5,9,9,11,13;	committing (2)	135:19;154:21
cautioned (1)	checks (1)	149:20,21;150:6	17:24;116:21	comparing (1)
65:14	33:9	closings (2)	common (1)	13:10
cell (6)	chief (2)	149:8,8	145:12	comparison (1)
136:19,21,23,23;	66:2;153:5	clothes (1)	commonalities (1)	94:1
137:7,8	Chris (1)	138:16	37:15	compiled (1)
center (14)	109:10	CMS (1)	common-cause (1)	150:20
86:16,16;87:3,7;	CHRISTOPHER (3)	91:21 CMSD (14)	43:18 communicating (1)	complain (1) 58:8
88:14,15,21;89:2,10;	65:13,17;66:5	CMSR (14)	communicating (1) 105:3	
92:2;103:1,17;138:14; 145:22	circumstance (1) 138:11	7:6;29:19;36:23;37:1;	communications (1)	complaint (4)
		42:10,18,23;43:2;55:9;	28:7	21:5;86:11,14,14
certain (11)	CIULLA (48)	62:7;135:12,14;146:13,		complaints (1) 93:2
47:15;49:10;58:13;	65:13,18;66:7,7;	16	companies (3)	
73:9;76:4;77:11;83:11;	67:24;68:2,5,9,19;76:1;	codes (1) 35:11	18:8,10;61:23	complete (2)
94:7;112:13;135:5;	80:20;81:9;82:1,6,15,19;		Company (124)	91:1,21
141:12	84:14;85:11;86:6;	coincide (1)	4:13;9:21;10:1,10;	completed (2)

4:4;92:16	148:8	cost (6)	44:10	degradation (3)
completely (1)	consistent (6)	47:18,20;101:10,13;	data (57)	151:8,24;154:21
55:2	4:18;8:3;29:2;69:2;	121:5;122:5	8:14;9:6,7,8,20;10:3,6,	degree (1)
completion (2)	134:2;152:22	cost-benefit (1)	9,12;17:20;20:4;21:10;	16:7
87:2;88:13	consistently (2)	121:2	25:3,16;28:9,10;40:2;	delayed (2)
compliance (8)	59:5;150:21	couple (5)	43:12;45:10,11;58:22;	51:4,22
27:6;54:9;57:4;62:19;	constant (1)	57:22;69:11;106:4;	62:18,20;63:12;70:16,	deleted (1)
73:18;86:2;91:20;	153:21	107:8;139:17	19,20,22;71:2,6;82:1;	7:3
107:14	consultant (2)	course (3)	83:8,15,16;84:16,20;	delivery (1)
complied (2)	68:13;141:23	26:1;113:14;145:4	85:19;95:4,5,6,6;99:15;	60:17
48:9,21	contact (1)	Court (3)	114:8,17,22;115:1;	department (7)
comply (2)	136:18	65:15;132:12,22	120:5;125:10,16;135:16,	60:1,4,7,7,11;62:1;
48:17;146:5	contention (1)	coverage (8)	23,24;148:13;150:13,15,	131:16
component (1)	41:19	79:4,10,12;85:20;	19;151:11	depend (3)
61:14	contentious (1)	96:19;108:16;110:23;	Date (21)	62:1;124:5,10
comport (1)	152:11	121:20	14:20,21;15:1;22:24;	dependent (1)
8:14	context (4)	covering (2)	23:5,10,17,19,20;24:9,	49:8
comported (2)	69:19,20;70:11;	81:4,4	10,15,22;32:21;34:17;	depending (4)
9:19;10:9	104:22	crazy (1)	41:1;106:5;117:10;	51:12;84:1;89:6;129:6
computer (1)	continue (14)	55:17	118:20;126:18;128:5	depends (1)
90:22	16:18;17:7,9;28:14;	created (1)	dated (3)	56:9
concern (5)	62:20;63:5,20;98:7,16;	15:21	115:9;126:19;128:8	depiction (1)
5:20;72:5;108:6;	107:21,22;151:10;	criteria (5)	dates (3)	30:8
151:18,20	153:19;154:22			
,		17:3;21:7;24:20,23; 82:22	10:22;23:3;40:21	depicts (1) 30:9
concerned (3)	continuing (2)		date-stamp (1)	
17:15;82:20;107:12	140:15;153:23	cropped (1)	58:14	depleted (2)
concerns (5)	contract (3)	59:6	day (5)	84:11,24 Down (1)
11:24;50:5;71:12;	127:10,13;128:1	CROSS-EXAMINATION (4)	51:11,11;79:13;85:3;	Derry (1)
72:5;74:20	contractually (1)	6:15;36:15;110:16;	101:7	104:2
concise (1)	81:10	113:22	daylight (1)	description (6)
69:16	contributing (3)	cross-examine (1)	44:9	126:19,21;127:4,12;
concluded (1)	33:1;34:20,21	147:17	days (2)	128:8,12
100:21	control (4)	crunching (1)	23:19;101:8	descriptions (2)
conclusion (6)	87:7,23;88:15;104:18	46:11	dealt (1)	126:23;127:6
32:4;48:16;117:1,4;	convenience (1)	crux (1)	71:12	designate (1)
119:10;122:19	12:19	78:3	December (3)	27:5
conclusions (1)	convenient (1)	culture (2)	107:21;128:7;150:15	designated (1)
111:18	13:3	107:18;153:7	decided (1)	146:21
concrete (1)	conversations (1)	cumulative (2)	48:19	designed (1)
61:19	152:18	21:23;22:3	decision (4)	97:10
condition (2)	cooperate (1)	current (14)	50:20;75:8;78:20;	detail (10)
38:12;151:19	133:19	12:23;13:4,20;14:16;	103:11	15:15;16:19;29:10;
conditions (5)	copy (1)	16:1;18:5,12;32:10;	decisions (1)	63:1,19,20;76:2;111:6;
28:15;44:7;124:6,13;	113:24	41:22;100:16;115:12;	129:16	148:5;153:24
135:21	corners (1)	117:14;121:7;140:15	declines (1)	detailed (10)
confident (1)	5:17	currently (17)	151:16	19:1,12;26:20;50:12;
100:18	Corp (1)	12:18;16:20;20:8,17;	deems (1)	53:5;54:3;74:7;143:4,8,
confidential (3)	66:6	28:21;32:10;33:20;	5:6	14
148:7,8,20	corporate (1)	34:19;70:10;75:17;	defer (1)	details (1)
confidentiality (1)	153:7	93:17;112:6,7,14;	76:1	32:19
148:9	Corporation (1)	131:17;141:2;145:24	deficient (1)	detect (1)
confirming (1)	66:2	customer (12)	70:1	92:22
61:2	corrections (5)	86:13,18,20;87:1,2,4;	define (7)	detected (1)
confusion (2)	6:20;7:1;66:18;67:5;	88:22;89:3,5;105:15;	34:13,14,15;61:23;	109:13
142:9,15	68:3	109:15;130:16	75:19;142:3,4	detectors (1)
consecutive (4)	corrective (1)	customers (1)	defined (2)	92:17
20:1;21:2;39:14;53:17	54:24	38:14	57:24;138:5	determination (3)
consider (2)	correctly (2)	customer's (1)	defining (2)	72:19;73:6;113:2
155:11,11	58:4;99:17	86:22	16:2;40:19	determine (15)
consideration (3)	correlating (1)		definition (2)	6:2;25:19;28:16;34:3,
4:15;5:5;134:23	13:22	\mathbf{D}	143:14;146:7	16;35:21;44:11,23;
considered (4)	correspond (1)		definitive (1)	46:17;48:9;49:7;54:16;
39:21;81:6;111:12;	133:10	dark (1)	24:9	61:6;63:14;129:5
. , , , , ,		` ´		·

20111	JO CHATTLE COM THE D		, in the second	
determined (10)	50:16;104:14;150:2	143:12;144:17	103:8,10	19;128:20,21;143:10,16;
54:22;78:9;81:17;	discuss (1)	documents (1)	effect (7)	144:13,22,23;148:11
83:9;84:8,10,21;95:21;	64:24	149:14	28:21;41:2;44:12;	Emerton (2)
101:1;127:20	discussed (4)	done (9)	100:16,17;111:22,24	147:8,17
determines (2)	55:21;105:15;139:19;	36:10,14;47:3;48:8;	Effective (18)	emphasis (1)
5:8;39:18	141:15	49:8;53:19,20;61:9;84:6	14:20,21,22;15:1;	121:8
determining (1)	discussing (3)	double-checking (1)	22:24;23:3,5,17,19;	emphasize (2)
28:24	111:3;116:15,17	13:6	24:10,14,22;90:2;106:5;	124:23;130:20
develop (2) 26:13;121:4	discussion (3) 17:8;43:11;112:18	Dover (1) 133:8	109:11;126:18;131:4,9 effectively (5)	emphasized (2) 7:11,14
developed (11)	discussions (3)	dovetail (1)	24:16;81:13;85:24;	employee (6)
10:21;35:6,8;46:6,9,	26:18;130:11;152:17	134:24	86:2;129:4	51:8;78:17;79:22;
22;48:23;57:20;110:19;	disguise (1)	down (14)	effectiveness (10)	84:1;111:14;133:11
144:1;148:11	139:24	22:23;30:18;52:21;	83:23;90:5,10;93:8;	employees (25)
developing (1)	disguising (1)	60:17;81:7;87:6;88:7;	109:6,9;122:11,13;	76:9,11,12;77:12,13,
46:22	140:20	92:23;97:21;98:8;	123:13,17	15;78:5,7,11,14;79:1,5,
devised (1)	dispatch (5)	103:11;112:18;133:9;	effort (2)	5,8,11,15,17;80:2,6,8,16;
93:20 DC (8)	45:13;87:6,13;138:14;	135:3	80:2;121:21	81:11;96:5;101:23;
DG (8) 4:3;15:3,4;17:4;20:18;	145:22 dispatched (4)	drafts (1) 29:15	efforts (2) 152:13:155:15	108:1 en (2)
41:24;70:15;73:5	51:5;77:17;89:8;139:6	dressed (1)	eight (5)	88:6,9
difference (3)	dispatcher (5)	77:23	21:19,20;24:2,4;81:15	enable (2)
78:5;96:2;135:18	51:4;60:1;87:22;	drinking (1)	eighth (1)	79:18;93:20
different (20)	88:16;145:23	55:17	24:7	end (6)
5:2;21:16;37:8,9,12,	dispatches (1)	drive (6)	either (12)	6:22;8:12;22:14;38:9;
14;38:1;40:21;48:1;	87:23	44:8,10;59:7;128:22;	6:8;33:9,10;43:21;	72:15;120:2
49:5;50:3,3;55:19;	dispatching (1)	138:22;141:15	59:24;76:1;78:17;87:8;	EnergyNorth (1)
59:14;62:4;76:7,13;	87:18	driving (3)	97:2;98:12;120:16;	42:6
93:14;94:10;122:22	dispersed (6)	103:7;129:18;136:6	153:16	enhancement (1)
differentiate (1) 56:22	76:10,12,21;78:6; 101:6;103:13	drop (2) 129:1;130:15	electronic (2) 8:8,9	92:15 enhancements (2)
difficult (10)	dispute (2)	due (4)	electronically (1)	107:19;111:24
45:16;61:16;97:12,18;	114:17,22	44:7;83:12;117:22;	87:5	enough (3)
108:20;119:7,12,13;	distance (3)	135:21	elements (2)	17:21;49:16;146:4
131:13;152:17	38:8;102:24;140:11	duly (1)	110:20;111:4	ensure (4)
difficulties (2)	distances (1)	65:14	eliminate (3)	54:9;152:2;153:18;
77:19;119:1	56:2	during (36)	19:9;60:22;63:17	154:5
difficulty (1)	distinction (1)	11:3,5;15:21;19:14;	eliminated (1)	ensuring (2)
59:6	119:14	22:6;40:6;59:9,15;76:4,	151:7	152:24;153:4
digging (1)	distributed (1) 69:3	7,8,15;77:10,14;79:6; 85:3;87:1,9;96:6,8,15,	eliminates (1) 16:13	entered (3) 42:4;118:9;133:22
135:3 diligence (1)	distribution (1)	16,17,19,23;98:3;100:8;	else (3)	entering (1)
117:22	66:8	101:21,24;103:12;	67:17;130:18;145:9	118:18
dilution (1)	distribution/operating (1)	114:10;118:10;135:20;	emergencies (3)	entire (1)
93:18	92:2	137:14;150:22;151:4	85:5;93:9;130:24	70:17
DIRECT (9)	Division (4)	duty (1)	Emergency (86)	entirely (1)
65:19;66:12,22;67:2,	9:14;29:3;134:4;	138:8	12:14,17;14:9;16:1,	148:7
21;68:7,12,23;113:13	150:12	T.	20;17:3;18:16;19:13,21;	entirety (1)
direction (1) 134:15	Division's (1) 53:24	E	21:1;26:15;27:6,9; 33:19;35:5,16;38:22;	133:21 Enlar (52)
directive (1)	do-able (2)	earlier (15)	39:11,13;53:15,17;	Epler (52) 4:7,9;5:14,18;6:14,16;
145:14	139:3,3	17:8;27:20;51:19;	54:13;69:13;70:2,9,17,	7:8;20:15,21;29:16,23;
directly (3)	docket (10)	69:3;112:1;115:7;117:1,	20;71:9,13,16,19;72:1,6,	30:2;36:8,13;41:17,18;
9:13;60:14;124:18	35:20;36:2,2,3,6;	16;118:3,13;119:6;	10,20;73:7,15;74:21;	42:14,22;47:13;49:24;
director (1)	56:16;71:10;73:5;	122:10;131:12;133:24;	75:7,11,17,21;76:6,14;	64:6,7,12,17,21;65:5,9,
66:6	122:12,21	135:2	77:8,16;81:23;83:20,21;	20;68:21;69:8;102:7;
disagree (1)	dockets (1)	early (1)	86:5,22;87:20;88:4,19;	106:18,21;107:3,5;
114:24	57:12	75:8	89:1,11;90:1,10,23;	109:16,20;110:12;
disagreement (1)	document (14)	easier (1)	91:10;93:11;94:8;	122:17;132:13,17;
142:15 discovery (2)	7:4,17;13:1,8;15:8,9; 16:3;63:23;115:22;	10:2 easily (2)	102:13;107:15;108:23; 109:4,22;110:2;111:22;	133:15;140:13;147:2,3, 18;148:14,17;149:1,15;
148:24;149:2	132:6;133:1;148:6,10,20	138:11,19	114:15;115:5;117:17,	152:7,8
discretion (3)	documentation (2)	easy (2)	24;123:13,20;127:14,17,	equal (1)
albei chon (b)	accumentation (2)		24,123.13,20,127.14,17,	oquur (1)

	ONTIL CORLAND		, INC. SHOW CAUSE III	LAMING
93:16	eventually (1)	121:7	4:4,6;15:8;35:3,15;	36:24;93:6;120:15;
equate (2)	71:20	existing (5)	62:11,12,15;64:3;	141:24
59:18;61:2	everyday (1)	121:10;126:21;127:4;	113:20,21,23;115:22;	fewer (1)
equates (1)	77:4	131:5;135:4	122:24;123:2;124:22;	101:24
95:22	everyone (3)	exists (1)	125:7,15;132:6,15,20,	field (5)
equipment (5)	136:4;149:23;155:14	72:23	24;134:17,18;135:8;	87:9,19;88:1;101:6;
61:11;89:24;90:14;	evident (2)	expand (2)	147:20;149:16;150:7	139:6
92:19;93:3	98:11,19	79:4,12	face (1)	figure (1)
equivalent (2)	evolve (1)	expanded (1)	69:15	39:18
93:16;95:1	60:12	121:20	faced (1)	figures (1)
errors (1) 133:6	evolved (1) 57:14	expanding (2) 79:10;96:19	69:20 facing (1)	43:13 file (1)
especially (4)	exactly (3)	expansion (1)	85:7	26:14
45:21;48:17;49:24;	43:13;86:9;135:1	79:14	fact (11)	filed (5)
91:8	exaggerate (1)	expect (2)	45:22;74:13;97:7;	9:12,16;11:17;114:13;
essentially (8)	99:23	5:22;38:6	100:5;101:19;104:22;	119:5
22:3;94:24;96:19;	EXAMINATION (3)	expectation (3)	120:10;121:19;139:24;	filing (7)
97:12;99:11;119:16,19;	62:14;65:19;68:23	73:22;116:17;143:23	141:14;142:10	8:2,8,8,9,18;27:9;75:3
143:18	examined (1)	expectations (2)	factor (4)	filings (2)
establish (2)	117:2	154:18,18	33:1;34:20,21;44:5	8:7;142:5
28:6;61:17	example (5)	expected (2)	factors (2)	fill (1)
established (2)	43:24;77:13;90:18;	11:19;62:5	35:22;56:2	123:24
26:21;62:18	91:10;95:9	experience (3)	Facts (1)	final (2)
estimate (1)	examples (1)	74:6;96:24;155:2	114:1	88:12;146:1
101:9	93:6	expert (1)	fail (2)	finalized (1)
estimated (1)	excedures (1)	122:20	39:19;127:19	116:14
101:11	145:4	explain (10)	failed (5)	find (6)
ethos (1)	exceed (5)	4:22;5:19;37:2;75:15,	22:4,5;114:9;143:22;	39:2;40:8;47:1;56:12;
107:18	17:17;117:10;120:6;	16,20;78:23;80:17,18;	145:19	59:2;144:13
evacuate (1)	126:2,9	86:9	failing (2)	finding (9)
89:7	exceeded (2)	explanation (22)	20:24;43:23	48:20;57:3;69:23;
evacuation (1) 86:24	51:1;105:10 exceeding (7)	8:22;9:9;10:3;17:16; 19:1,12;50:12;52:16;	fails (1) 21:7	73:12;99:11;100:7; 103:15,16,17
evaluate (7)	18:4;19:3;32:3;50:14,	53:1,5,7;54:3;69:17;	failure (7)	fine (4)
47:3;52:2;56:9;63:9;	18;104:24;143:3	75:23,24;94:5;105:4,7;	19:12;21:17;39:18,21;	6:13;36:11;64:21;
85:16;111:21;121:6	exceeds (3)	106:1;143:4,9,15	143:9,15;144:23	68:24
evaluated (11)	50:22;117:5;144:9	explanations (6)	fair (3)	fines (1)
19:22;25:14;39:10;	Excellence (1)	7:15,20;8:3;9:2;10:1;	7:5;49:16;116:20	6:3
40:13;42:8;53:15,22;	110:3	104:24	fairly (3)	finish (1)
54:6;84:6;122:1;142:17	except (1)	exploded (1)	74:16;123:1;136:5	155:16
evaluating (2)	32:1	32:24	faith (1)	fire (7)
35:23;110:23	exception (3)	explosion (2)	133:23	60:1,4,6,7,10;62:1;
evaluation (31)	24:5;94:13;117:12	33:6,8	falls (2)	130:21
19:14,15,19;20:3;	excess (1)	explosive (1)	30:24;143:21	first (50)
21:6;22:10;23:1,22;	105:23	124:1	familiar (2)	6:22;12:21;21:3;23:5,
24:11,15,19,23;25:2;	Excuse (2)	express (1)	36:5;123:5	18;24:18,24;25:9;40:10;
39:10,17;41:21;42:1,17;	7:6;35:3	20:9	far (11)	51:10;69:21;72:11;
52:12;53:13;58:23;	excused (2)	expressed (2)	38:8;48:8;49:12;	74:23;75:18;82:24;
62:17;103:21;106:5;	64:23;147:5	20:11;128:17	53:23;69:21;70:2,5,14;	88:22,24;90:19;92:5,13,
115:1,2,3;123:16;	Exeter (2)	expressing (2) 72:5;74:20	72:17;73:5;111:10 fashion (2)	17,20,24;93:3,23;99:14; 106:7,10,15;110:5,6;
143:20;152:4;153:22	103:2,18	extent (2)	` /	
evaluations (2) 23:13,18	Exhibit (32) 12:5;14:19;29:13,17,	25:7;139:16	127:22;130:22 fast (2)	119:4,8,9,15,20,23; 120:4,12;129:3,9,9,10,
even (15)	23;30:6,14,16,17;45:22;	extraneous (1)	75:12;93:1	11;130:4;131:15;137:4;
7:24;16:9;38:6;46:12;	46:17;50:24;66:16;67:3;	134:7	February (2)	150:10,21;152:12
51:5;60:13;63:9,16;	68:1,11;69:4,5,6,7;	extrapolate (1)	25:4;114:12	fit (2)
81:18;97:20;103:15;	102:4;114:2;117:2;	120:4	federal (4)	38:18;138:8
126:15;136:4;144:22;	125:13,14;141:6,24;	extremely (1)	35:6,8,11;109:10	five (10)
148:22	147:12,16;148:1,3,23	138:23	feel (8)	13:12;85:4;101:5;
event (4)	Exhibits (3)		45:7;50:20;108:11;	131:23,23,23;132:1;
33:19;34:23,24;149:3	30:3;114:19;149:14	\mathbf{F}	112:22;123:3;139:2;	133:12;145:3;151:15
events (1)	exist (4)		141:2;146:7	five-year (2)
34:22	90:17;92:13;107:12;	Fabrizio (28)	few (4)	28:13;154:12
-		<u> </u>		<u> </u>

DG 11-1	ONTIL CORT. AND		, INC. SHOW CAUSE III	EARING
flooded (1)	full-time (1)	graphical (1)	141:12	hitting (1)
52:20	101:2	30:8	harmed (1)	59:7
flows (1)	further (3)	graphs (2)	152:3	hold (2)
88:14	24:13;81:7;107:2	43:5,12	HARRINGTON (17)	57:5;134:21
flush (1)	future (1)	great (2)	7:6;29:19;36:21,23;	holiday (2)
134:20	55:3	107:24;108:1	37:1;42:10,18,23;43:2;	85:18;116:12
	33:3			
focus (4)	G	greater (2)	55:9;62:16;63:11;104:9;	Holidays (26)
74:3;123:18,21;	G	73:2;111:6	135:2,12,14;146:13	14:2,6,11;37:18,18;
134:11	. (2)	Greenland (1)	Harrington's (2)	63:7,18;78:18;83:18;
focused (2)	gain (3)	103:4	55:20;104:12	84:16;85:14;94:12;
56:14;109:8	22:17;74:12;152:10	grocery (1)	hate (1)	95:10;96:18;97:3,22;
follow (2)	Gary (1)	145:10	64:20	98:10;99:15;115:13;
86:18;89:10	14:7	group (1)	heading (1)	116:6;136:2;139:11;
following (1)	gas (36)	84:11	129:5	140:6,18;150:23;151:5
128:13	18:8,10;37:5,22;38:3,	groups (1)	Health (1)	home (6)
follow-up (1)	5;55:23;56:5;59:21;	50:3	110:4	100:12;123:24;
62:13	60:3,16;66:8;86:20,21;	growing (1)	hear (2)	128:22;129:19;137:8,16
Footnote (4)	87:7,22;88:15;90:13,14,	20:13	94:20;132:21	hope (2)
6:23,23;7:3,7	18;91:2,5,6,14;92:3,22;	guess (23)	heard (6)	151:3;153:19
force (2)	110:5;113:15;124:1,2,	10:12;16:24;17:17;	43:24;48:16;112:1,20;	hopeful (1)
76:9;108:15	12;136:11,12;137:19;	18:17;21:19;23:2;27:22;	151:23;153:4	109:2
forever (1)	150:11;153:1	37:5,15;46:8;47:12;	hearing (5)	hopefully (1)
29:7	gave (2)	48:10;50:15;53:23;54:4,	11:6;65:3;118:19;	56:13
forget (1)	47:21;136:13	11;73:20;115:15;141:6,	152:9;155:20	host (1)
55:2	generally (7)	23;142:23;146:1;148:13	held (4)	35:24
formal (1)	44:10;73:21;75:2;	guesstimate (1)	72:18;112:3;118:11;	hours (90)
10:20	132:8,10;133:2,12	124:8	148:7	13:12,18;14:5,10;
format (4)	generically (1)	124.0	help (4)	24:5,7,15,19,23;25:8;
16:19;63:1;140:15;	72:20	Н	17:20;35:4;63:10;	37:11,19,19;40:11;43:9;
143:1	geography (1)	11	17.20,33.4,03.10,	48:5,10,18;51:21;56:18,
former (1)	56:1	half (1)	helped (1)	21,22,24;59:9,9,13,15,
94:10		109:24	112:2	
	gets (13)			16;62:3,3;63:6,18;75:19,
forms (1)	54:19;58:10;60:9;	half-hour (1)	helps (2)	20;76:5,8,15;77:9,14;
93:14	82:10;87:5,20,21,24;	103:7	40:24;91:9	78:17,21,22;79:4,12;
forth (6)	88:4,17;90:7;138:15,15	Hampshire (3)	here's (2)	80:12;83:17,18;85:2,10;
43:10,13,14;44:9;	GIS (1)	38:5;55:24;56:5	43:22;55:3	87:10;94:11,13,14,16,
104:2;146:6	90:18	Hampton (3)	herself (1)	19;95:3,14,22,23;96:2,3,
forward (7)	Given (13)	103:2,6,19	89:14	16,16;97:2,23;98:9,9;
17:3;23:10;42:19;	6:24;32:16,21;40:13;	hand (3)	hide (1)	101:7,21,24;106:6;
55:3;83:5;109:2;113:7	48:8;85:23;106:15;	45:6;109:7,8	139:24	112:15;116:7,11;117:12,
found (3)	121:8;126:10;135:19;	handed (1)	hiding (1)	13;119:7,12;136:8;
9:8;33:1;102:15	138:22;141:13;155:2	58:20	84:1	139:5,8,13,21;140:1,5,6,
four (13)	gives (2)	handle (3)	high (3)	19,22;150:23;151:5
5:17;31:6,9;51:21;	15:15;139:13	59:13,14;150:3	44:21;76:18;78:13	house (10)
62:3;80:22;81:3,11,12;	giving (1)	handling (1)	higher (6)	32:23;60:5;124:3,13,
85:4;101:5;112:18;	22:22	89:11	14:15,18;86:3;96:7,	18;125:2;127:24;130:1;
120:11	glad (2)	hands (3)	15,21	136:16;138:3
frame (5)	55:16;65:7	15:8;115:22;132:6	himself (1)	huge (1)
33:13;85:17;99:6;	goal (6)	Hang (1)	89:13	44:5
102:2;142:7	58:6;96:4;128:17;	136:17	hire (1)	
frames (1)	136:7;139:2;142:19	happen (2)	127:3	I
98:1	goals (2)	26:3;43:20	hired (1)	
Fred (1)	29:1;152:22	happened (4)	68:14	ice (2)
145:7	goes (4)	43:1;51:19;145:7,9	hires (1)	44:8;135:22
front (6)	10:4;64:12;86:16;	happening (1)	10:23	idea (3)
12:13;29:12;114:1,3;	143:2	99:6	historical (1)	42:18;120:5;145:17
115:20;124:18	good (8)	happens (1)	57:11	identification (9)
frustration (1)	6:17,18;17:21;19:6;	75:18	historically (1)	69:1,4,5,6,7;125:12,
152:16	31:13;55:14,15;133:23	hard (2)	77:10	14;148:3;149:13
fulfill (1)				
155:6	grab (1)	59:18;61:2	history (2)	identify (2)
	103:23	harder (1)	74:8;92:7	65:22,22
full (3)	graph (1)	56:23	hit (1)	IGNATIUS (54)
7:13;69:16;149:14	59:10	hard-pressed (1)	51:19	4:2,7,10;5:14;6:12;

30.7 30.7 30.7 30.7 30.8 31.16.29.04.17. 55.11.62.96.45.8.15.19. 11.12.211.62.21.26.13 10.18 10.12 10.11		TI	T		_
147:12 1	20.12 20.20.14 21 24.	03.7	134.14	introduced (1)	138-17
23-13					
226.84,106.82.12.44 inability (3)					
109:19110.10.13; 113:1912419(1253); 15:77 19:23 19:1552:13631.5; 15:71.9147:14.10.14.22; 11:81:82.12.20; 11:81:82.22.13.20; 11:81:82.22.13.20; 11:81:82.22.13.20; 11:81:82.22.13.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:81:82.22.20; 11:82					
Inspection (1) Insp					
134:161356;1014615, 17:19147:14.10,14.25					
Instance (1)					
17,1214,14,10,14,22					
184,21:149-417; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1363-1.5; 19:155/2:1364					
1505;1526;155:13 1657;106;6:116-5; 14:10 12:21 16:10ded (5) 12:21 16:10ded (5) 17:69 17:69 19:159;125:15 19:159;125:14; 18:151:16:113:16:12 18:151:16:113:16:12 18:151:16:12:13;116:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:16:12 18:151:13:12 18:151:16:13:13:16:12 18:151:13					
import (1) 12:12 14:10 15:13					
Industrate (1) 99:23 199:152:5 199					
institute (1) 99:23 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-5 199:152-124 199:15					
199.23 119.9152.5 71.19 15suc (29) 164.23 166.4115.105.24; 131.2					
imagine (t) 76-9 minediate (3) 52-91-44 (82-01-05; 4) 103-12-13-24 131-2					
The first content of the content o					
minimediate (3) 52.7;104:10;105:24; instructions (1) 64:13;70:16;71:97:29; minimediately (9) 22:13;23:13;28:19; 77:1779:23;109:14; 13:12;12:13;116:12 increase (3) 13:12;112:13;116:12 increase (3) 14:77 intended (1) 15:08:15:21,145:17 intended (1) 12:16 independently (1) 12:16 independently (1) 12:16 independently (1) 13:22;13:44:415:7 indicated (7) 13:24;144:15:7 indicates (4) 10:20;11:3,10,11 15:08:15:22,116:27,45:16; 46:13 independently (1) 12:16 independently (1) 13:22;13:44:415:37 indicated (7) 52:21 indicated (7) 77:48:88:64:88:18; 13:32:41:34:415:37 indicates (4) 13:21;12:13;104:19 13:21;13:14:6; 13:21;13:14					
22:18;92:51:36:14 108:15:116:6;143:4,10; 15:14 10:20:11:3,10,11 10:20:11:3,10,11 10:20:11:3,10,11 11:72.3; 15:08:152:11,14:155:17 17:20:20 13:21:12:13; 16:12 14:7; 15:18; 15					
Internation (4)					
22:13:23:13:28:19;					
137:17.79.23;109;14; 131:2;112:13;116:12 intended (1) 147:7 intended (1) 147:7 intended (1) 150:3;152:11,14;155:17 intended (1) 151:1 intended (1) 151:1 intended (1) 151:1 intended (1) 151:1 intended (1) 153:15;17 intended (1) 153:15;17 interaction (1) 163:13;146:21 interpretation (1) 163:13;146:31 interpretat					
127:1;128:12,13 increased (3) 147.7 intended (1) 93:3;118:15 56:4;57:24;704.8; intended (1) 151:1 interded (1) 151:1 interded (1) 151:1 interded (1) 151:1					
Mapact (3) A5:2:101:15;153:12 increases (1) A6:13 independently (1) A6:14 A6:15 independently (1) A6:16 independently (1) independen					
Sizz :101:15;153:12 increases (1) 46:13 intent (5) 97:23;104:17,21; 153:15,17 intent (5) 97:23;104:17,21; 153:15,17 indicated (7) 55:2,11 55:10 interect (9) indication (3) 101:143:13;146:21 inplementing (4) 54:22;103:19 indication (3) 145:15 implementing (4) 54:22;103:19 indication (3) 138:19:199:20;41:5; 138:49:340:74:15; 138:19:199:20;41:5; 138:19:199:20;41:5; 138:19:199:20;41:5; 138:199:199:199:199:35; 130:5 130					
Impacts (1)					
impassable (1)		` /			
Impassable (1) 52:21 indicated (7) interaction (1) 88:20 I 33:24;134:4;153.7 indicates (4) 15:19;19:20;41:24; implementation (3) 110:1;143:13;146:21 indication (3) 145:15 indication (3) 42:27;12:31:04:19 individual (12) 15:84;19:3;40:7;41:5; implications (1) 15:31:12 individual (12) 18:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; implications (1) 15:31:12 individual (12) 18:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; implications (1) 16:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; implication (12) 16:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; implication (12) 10:9:24 influence (2) 44:6;19:19 information (14) 10:9:24 influence (2) 44:6;19:19 information (14) 13:24;140:21 13:24;140:2					
Indicated (7)					60:13;136:4
Implement (1)				85:7;134:8,20,22	-
indicates (4) 133:24;134:4;153:7 indicates (4) 151:9;19:20;41:24; 134:1;147:23;152:20; 155:10 155:10 1222 134:1;147:23;152:20; 155:10 155:10 1221 124 12				-	L
implementation (3) indicates (4) 4:17,18,19,23;114:6; 134;1;147:23;152:20; 135;19;19;20;41:24; 85:19 january (6) 12:22 implemented (1) 15:19;19:20;41:24; 85:19 indication (3) interject (2) 24:8,24;25:4;31:5; 11 labeled (1) implementing (4) 42:27;1:23;104:19 76:20;78:15 77:3;84:2;88:6,7,7,10 12:14 lack (3) 12:16;122:5;145:15 54:20;105;2;107:19; 13:8 13:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; implications (1) 18:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; intermediate (1) 13:2:12 77:3;84:2;88:6,7,7,10 12:16:61122:5;145:15 imply (3) 145:1 industry (1) 13:2:12 51:30:12 51:30:12 51:30:12 15:30:12 15:30:12 15:30:12 15:19:19:19:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:				J	
Title:					
implemented (1) 145:15 indication (3) 155:10 63:3;114:11 12:14 lack (3) implementing (4) 42:2;71:23;104:19 interject (2) 77:3;84:2;88:6,7,7,10 121:16;122:5;145:15 54:20;105:2;107:19; 13:8 18:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; interjects (1) 11;126:11,21,23;127:4, 5;12;15;129:1, 5;130:12 12:116;122:5;145:15 implications (1) 44:24;50:13,176;18; timermediate (1) 5;130:12 5;130:12 160:16 implying (3) 145:1 intermediate (1) 5;130:12 38:6;15:5 language (2) implying (1) 46:4 influence (2) interpretation (1) 11:7 July (1) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 22:17,27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:49:17;94:21, 26:10 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 18x(1) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 11:59 29:11;31:14;48:5; impose (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 10:17 11:errupt (1) 35:4 10:19 115:9 43:4 9:21 12:1:16 12:1:16 12:1:4 lack (3) impose (1) 20:11 11:7 11:7 11:10 11:10 41:2:18 13:11 13:11 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
inplementing (4) implementing (4) 54:20;105:2;107:19; 13:8 indication (3) 42:2;71:23;104:19 individual (12) 13:3:12 interprediate (1) 5:13:12 interprediate (1) 5:13:12 interprediate (1) 5:13:12 interpretation (1) 44:24:50:13,17;61:8; interpretation (1) 45:1 interpretation (1) 50:15;52:5;142:6 influence (2) industry (1) interpretation (1) 44:6;119:19 interpretation (1) 19:24 interpretation (1) 44:6;119:19 interpretation (1) 135:18 jump (1) 44:6;119:19 interpretation (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 92:17 23:107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,1884:5;87:4; 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 130:5 ingrained (1) ingrained (1) ingrained (1) 107:17 interpretation (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:33;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 improvement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) intitates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 interject (2) 77:384:2;88:6,7,7,10, 11:12:16;122:5;145:15 interpretation (1) 11;26:11,21,23;127:4, 5,12;128:7,12,15;129:1, 60:16 language (2) interpretation (1) 17:7 4 14 140:10 17:7 4 140:10 17:7 4 140:10 17:7 4 140:10 17:7 4 140:10 17:17 40:10 17:1					
implementing (4) 42:2;71:23;104:19 76:20;78:15 77:3;84:2;88:6,7,7,10, 11;126:11,21;23;127:4, 126:11,21;23;127:12, 126:11,21;23;127:12, 126:11,21;23;127:12, 126:11,21;23;127:12, 126:11,21;23;127:12, 126:11,21,23;127:12, 126:11,21,23;127:12, 126:11,21,23					
54:20;105:2;107:19; 113:8 individual (12) 18:4;19:3;40:7;41:5; 153:12 interjects (1) 132:12 11;126:11,21,23;127:4, 5,12;128:7,12,15;129:1, 5;130:12 landfill (1) 60:16 60:12 60:15 86:15:5 86:15:5 86:15:5 112:19 60:12 86:15:5 112:19 60:12 86:15:5 112:19 86:12:16 135:18 92:17 12 12 12					
113:8			*		
implications (1) 44:24;50:13,17;61:8; 127:12;143:2;144:9; 145:1 intermediate (1) 5;130:12 jobs (1) language (2) 8:6;15:5 imply (3) 145:1 internal (2) 145:1 internal (2) 37:4 jobs (1) laptop (1) 8:6;15:5 implying (1) 46:4 influence (2) important (12) 109:24 influence (2) information (14) 11:7 july (1) July (1) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 130:5 50:1 jump (1) justification (1) last (1) last (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 107:17 initial (2) jumproved (2) gensis;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 gensis;23;99:1 improveed (2) gensis;109:3;116:9,18 improvement (6) 36:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1) gensis;119;93:5; initiated (1) gensis;119;93:5; initiates (1) gensis;12;39:13; page (1) gen					
153:12					
imply (3) 145:1 internal (2) 77:4 laptop (1) 50:15;52:5;142:6 industry (1) 9:14;111:19 JR (4) 90:21 implying (1) 109:24 interpretation (1) 65:12,16;66:1,7 large (5) 46:4 influence (2) 11:7 July (1) 29:11;31:14;48:5; important (12) 44:6;119:19 interpretations (1) 135:18 92:21;93:1 22:17;27:15;42:24; information (14) 50:4 jump (1) laser (1) 23:107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; INTERROGATORIES (4) 115:9 41:4,11;54:22,24; impose (1) 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; 15:9 41:4,11;54:22,24; 26:10 ingrained (1) 146:19 121:1 95:4;107:3;135:9 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6)	•				
50:15;52:5;142:6 industry (1) 109:24 interpretation (1) 109:24 interpretation (1) 119:19 interpretations (1) 135:18 92:21;93:1 22:17;27:15;42:24; information (14) 50:4 jump (1) laser (1) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 146:19 interpretation (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 ingraved (2) 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 ingrovement (6) ingrovement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 10:28 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14) 109:24 interpretation (1) 128:10 100:28 100:2				jobs (1)	8.6.15.5
implying (1) 109:24 interpretation (1) 65:12,16;66:1,7 large (5) 46:4 influence (2) 41:6;119:19 11:7 July (1) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 22:17;27:15;42:24; information (14) 50:4 jump (1) laser (1) 23:107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; jump (1) last (11) 26:10 107:17 116:rerupt (1) justification (1) 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 26:10 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 late (1) improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) keep (2) 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 initiale (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 79:16 layes (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, 79:16 layperson (1) </td <td></td> <td>145:1</td> <td>i4</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>		145:1	i4	-	
Influence (2) 44:6;119:19 interpretations (1) 135:18 92:21;93:1 135:18 92:21;93:1 135:18 135:	50:15:52:5:142:6				laptop (1)
important (12) 44:6;119:19 interpretations (1) 135:18 92:21;93:1 22:17;27:15;42:24; 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 135:18 92:21;93:1 23;107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 35:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; jurisdictions (1) 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 26:10 ingrained (1) 107:17 justification (1) 121:1 95:4;107:3;135:9 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improved (2) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 98:23;99:1 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; into (22) 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)		industry (1)	9:14;111:19	JR (4)	laptop (1) 90:21
22:17;27:15;42:24; information (14) 50:4 jump (1) laser (1) 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; INTERROGATORIES (4) 115:9 41:4,11;54:22,24; impose (1) 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; jurisdictions (1) 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 26:10 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 initial (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; 79:16 layperson (1) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; <td>implying (1)</td> <td>industry (1) 109:24</td> <td>9:14;111:19 interpretation (1)</td> <td>JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7</td> <td>laptop (1) 90:21 large (5)</td>	implying (1)	industry (1) 109:24	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5)
76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, interpreting (1) 43:4 92:17 23;107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; 115:9 41:4,11;54:22,24; impose (1) 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; 121:1 95:4;107:3;135:9 imposed (4) 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 late (1) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; initially (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 initiale (3) 9:24 keep (2) 81:2;151:15 improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13;	implying (1) 46:4	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5;
23;107:10;108:4,8; 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 50:19 June (1) last (11) 130:5 89:3;98:16;117:17; INTERROGATORIES (4) 115:9 41:4,11;54:22,24; impose (1) 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; jurisdictions (1) 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 26:10 ingrained (1) 146:19 121:1 95:4;107:3;135:9 imposed (4) 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 9:24 into (22) keep (2) 96:3 improved (2) 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14) <td>implying (1) 46:4 important (12)</td> <td>industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19</td> <td>9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1)</td> <td>JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18</td> <td>laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1</td>	implying (1) 46:4 important (12)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1
130:5	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24;	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1)
impose (1) 132:4;140:21 37:1;55:13;135:14; jurisdictions (1) 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 26:10 ingrained (1) 146:19 121:1 95:4;107:3;135:9 imposed (4) 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) keep (2) later (2) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 9:24 into (22) latitude (1) improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21,	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16,	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17
146:19	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8;	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4;	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11)
imposed (4) 107:17 interrupt (1) justification (1) late (1) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 9:24 into (22) latiude (1) s1:2;151:15 improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17;	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24;
37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 initial (2) 35:4 47:9 61:22 improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 9:24 into (22) latitude (1) 15:32;151:15 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; 40:13 keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, 23;138:12;139:13; key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12;
improve (6) 26:18;153:10 intimately (1) 82:16 K 81:2;151:15 151:3,23 9:24 into (22) latitude (1) improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9
93:8;107:23;116:2,4; initially (1) 82:16 into (22) latitude (1) 96:3 199:31:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 109:3;116:9,18 improvements (5) 87:3 82:16 into (22) latitude (1) 82:16 into (22) latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) laws (implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1)
151:3,23 9:24 into (22) latitude (1) improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22
improved (2) initiate (3) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; keep (2) 96:3 98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2)
98:23;99:1 86:22;87:11;91:15 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 40:16;92:1 laws (2) improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4;	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15
improvement (6) initiated (1) 97:21;98:8;111:1; keeping (1) 130:24;131:5 63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22)	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1)
63:15;91:19;93:5; 83:6 112:18;118:9;126:22; 79:16 layperson (1) 109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3
109:3;116:9,18 initiates (1) 129:10;133:22;134:6, key (1) 102:8 improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2)
improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1 improvement (6)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1)	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 97:21;98:8;111:1;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1 keeping (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) 130:24;131:5
improvements (5) 87:3 23;138:12;139:13; 137:13 leak (14)	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1 improvement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5;	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1) 83:6	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 97:21;98:8;111:1; 112:18;118:9;126:22;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1 keeping (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) 130:24;131:5
89:15,20;90:9;92:12; inquiry (1) 142:18;146:5 keys (1) 33:9,10;37:23;45:12;	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1 improvement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1) 83:6	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 97:21;98:8;111:1; 112:18;118:9;126:22; 129:10;133:22;134:6,	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1 keeping (1) 79:16 key (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) 130:24;131:5 layperson (1) 102:8
	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1 improvement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 improvements (5)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1) 83:6 initiates (1) 87:3	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 97:21;98:8;111:1; 112:18;118:9;126:22; 129:10;133:22;134:6, 23;138:12;139:13;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1 keeping (1) 79:16 key (1)	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) 130:24;131:5 layperson (1) 102:8 leak (14)
	implying (1) 46:4 important (12) 22:17;27:15;42:24; 76:5;90:4;91:7;94:21, 23;107:10;108:4,8; 130:5 impose (1) 26:10 imposed (4) 37:5;38:7;45:23;47:6 improve (6) 93:8;107:23;116:2,4; 151:3,23 improved (2) 98:23;99:1 improvement (6) 63:15;91:19;93:5; 109:3;116:9,18 improvements (5)	industry (1) 109:24 influence (2) 44:6;119:19 information (14) 11:9,19;28:9;32:16, 18;74:12,18;84:5;87:4; 89:3;98:16;117:17; 132:4;140:21 ingrained (1) 107:17 initial (2) 26:18;153:10 initially (1) 9:24 initiate (3) 86:22;87:11;91:15 initiated (1) 83:6 initiates (1) 87:3	9:14;111:19 interpretation (1) 11:7 interpretations (1) 50:4 interpreting (1) 50:19 INTERROGATORIES (4) 37:1;55:13;135:14; 146:19 interrupt (1) 35:4 intimately (1) 82:16 into (22) 29:9;31:1;32:9;33:13; 42:4;80:21;81:19;86:16; 97:21;98:8;111:1; 112:18;118:9;126:22; 129:10;133:22;134:6, 23;138:12;139:13;	JR (4) 65:12,16;66:1,7 July (1) 135:18 jump (1) 43:4 June (1) 115:9 jurisdictions (1) 121:1 justification (1) 47:9 K keep (2) 40:16;92:1 keeping (1) 79:16 key (1) 137:13	laptop (1) 90:21 large (5) 29:11;31:14;48:5; 92:21;93:1 laser (1) 92:17 last (11) 41:4,11;54:22,24; 67:14;68:13;78:8;85:12; 95:4;107:3;135:9 late (1) 61:22 later (2) 81:2;151:15 latitude (1) 96:3 laws (2) 130:24;131:5 layperson (1) 102:8 leak (14)

	30 CIVITIE CORTITION	TONTHERE TO THE TITLE	, interpries to entere in	En I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
91:11,22;92:6,19,21;	listed (4)	84:15;95:2;104:9;	map (4)	87:1;91:16;101:20;
109:12;124:2,13,17;	39:1,6,7,9	135:23;136:3,7;140:8;	91:13;102:3;136:3;	106:11;117:12
150:11	lists (1)	141:6;142:22;145:5	141:7	mechanisms (1)
leaks (8)	38:23	looks (3)	mapping (4)	152:4
38:16;90:13,13,14;	literally (1)	24:8;37:13;91:13	90:19,22;91:4,18	meet (54)
	12:11			
91:8;92:3,8,9		lose (1) 138:11	March (1) 25:4	19:13;21:1,7;25:12;
learn (1)	litigate (4)			26:15;31:21;47:7;54:13,
118:24	5:1,10;6:6;134:9	lost (3)	margins (1)	21,22;55:5;56:12;76:4,
learned (1)	litigating (1)	77:21;82:11;100:11	120:13	17;78:9;79:3,9;85:18;
118:16	5:21	lot (17)	mark (2)	93:21,22;95:13;97:12,
least (6)	litigation (1)	19:6;29:10;43:4,11;	69:1;148:1	15,19,24;98:4;100:18,
28:23;39:2;45:24;	134:22	47:13,14;49:6;56:2;	marked (13)	22;101:8;106:2;112:13;
48:4;50:2;142:8	little (10)	57:3;61:16,24;89:5;	12:5;66:15;67:3;68:1,	113:9;114:9,15;115:4;
leave (6)	39:22;40:24;43:4;	99:8;102:19;109:8;	11;69:4,5,6,7;102:3;	116:4;118:4;119:7,12;
108:5,10;127:24;	46:24;57:2;83:3;96:12,	124:5;129:17	125:12,14;148:3	120:7,16;121:12,16,18;
129:2;130:14;150:2	15;99:23;108:14	lots (1)	material (1)	122:2,4;127:19;135:4;
LEBLANC (34)	live (5)	60:6	90:24	143:9,15,22;144:23;
65:13,17;66:5,5;67:1,	82:7;131:14,19;133:9,	low (2)	materials (6)	153:24;154:23
4,7,9,13,18,23;68:18;	13	44:21;139:13	91:8;92:3;128:18;	meeting (12)
76:1;86:13;88:24;89:18;	living (1)	3.5	148:6,23,24	59:10;74:8;85:8;
90:1;107:6;113:14;	82:8	M	matter (5)	106:14;112:10;117:13;
122:10,15;123:4,6,8,10,	local (3)		6:8;21:16;33:10;	119:1;121:22;154:3,6,9,
15,21;124:4,9;126:15,	60:1;76:11;77:5	magic (1)	79:16;120:15	17
18;127:10;130:19;	located (3)	57:7	matters (2)	meetings (4)
145:18	77:6;78:1;133:4	main (2)	134:7;149:6	27:22;28:2;151:18;
led (1)	location (6)	91:14;124:12	maximum (1)	154:5
74:12	32:13;45:2,12;84:4,5;	mains (1)	21:15	MEISSNER (90)
left (1)	86:21	91:2	may (33)	65:12,16,21,24;66:1,
104:13	locations (2)	maintaining (1)	21:17;33:3,3;36:9;	10,14,17,20,24;68:17;
legal (2)	76:13;133:7	98:13	48:6,7;50:16,16;52:5,5,	70:4,8,13,19;71:1,4,11,
122:19,20	long (7)	maintenance (3)	10,16,17,19;55:18;60:8,	22;72:2,4,13,16,21;73:4,
length (1)	45:13;48:12;80:10;	76:23;91:24;92:10	15,16;63:9;71:5,6;77:22,	11,20;74:10,17;75:22;
122:11	102:11;122:16;123:23;	major (2)	23,24;86:23,24;100:3,	77:1;78:24;79:20;80:14,
less (5)	130:15	44:6;73:23	14;107:12;109:17;	18;94:3;97:6;98:2,15,
31:10;44:9;96:17;	longer (1)	majority (2)	111:4,14;148:14	24;99:10;100:21;101:4,
119:22;142:21	119:18	8:23;141:12	Maybe (13)	11,19;104:21;105:20;
lessen (1)	longest (2)	makes (2)	44:24;45:18;48:2,12,	106:12,17;107:6,8;
122:6	99:16;100:6	56:23;76:12	13;51:16,18;53:1;54:16;	109:23;110:22;111:9,13,
level (3)	look (48)	making (4)	58:12;62:13;136:24;	17;112:6,15,17;113:1,
26:20;35:24;108:3	13:3,9;15:5;20:4;	44:5;79:24;82:22;	145:13	11,24;114:3,21;115:16,
lights (4)	37:16,17;40:2;44:17;	149:13	MDS (5)	19,23;116:3,8,14,23;
103:9;130:22;131:1,8	45:8;46:14,15;47:17,18;	management (13)	87:5,16,17,21;88:17	117:8;118:7,17,23;
likely (1)	55:3;56:10,11,24;59:10;	27:16;73:21;74:2,24;	mean (18)	119:3,11;120:9,20;
76:16	63:8,12,13;83:14,15,16,	76:11;77:5;91:20,23;	5:15;15:12;20:13;	121:4,14;122:8;128:3;
Likewise (1)	22;85:12,12;90:1,2,3;	108:2;145:14,16;151:2;	21:10;25:10;43:23;44:6;	133:5;142:13;143:17;
95:13	92:7;94:7;99:3,20;	153:1	45:18;46:15;52:19;58:6;	144:18;146:7,20,23
limit (1)	103:1,2,20;115:10;	management's (1)	60:19;61:22;80:15;	Mel (1)
124:1	127:16;139:7;140:5,10;	59:1	84:12;97:1,6;137:11	126:16
limitation (1)	145:2,11,21;148:15;	manager (3)	meaning (2)	MELCHOR (3)
28:22	154:13,16	66:8;82:21;113:12	58:7;145:13	65:13,18;66:7
limitations (1)	look-back (1)	Manchester (1)	meaningful (1)	member (3)
21:13			135:18	16:24;69:9;140:16
	144:12	38:1		
limited (3)	144:12 looked (14)	38:1 manner (5)		
limited (3) 64:16:79:1.11	looked (14)	manner (5)	means (7)	members (1)
64:16;79:1,11	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10;	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10;	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24;	members (1) 69:21
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2)	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24;	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5)
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14;	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1)	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2)	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6)	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1)
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6) 6:22;7:9;10:19;29:15;	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5 looking (33)	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17 many (19)	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18 measure (5)	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1) 59:17
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6) 6:22;7:9;10:19;29:15; 67:9;113:11	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5 looking (33) 12:11;13:2;17:16;	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17 many (19) 21:16;35:13;40:5;	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18 measure (5) 15:14;16:11;33:24;	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1) 59:17 mere (1)
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6) 6:22;7:9;10:19;29:15; 67:9;113:11 lines (2)	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5 looking (33) 12:11;13:2;17:16; 19:5,8;23:24;28:9;31:4,	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17 many (19) 21:16;35:13;40:5; 46:17,18;49:11;51:2;	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18 measure (5) 15:14;16:11;33:24; 34:9;140:2	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1) 59:17 mere (1) 99:12
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6) 6:22;7:9;10:19;29:15; 67:9;113:11 lines (2) 92:3;116:10	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5 looking (33) 12:11;13:2;17:16; 19:5,8;23:24;28:9;31:4, 16,18,19;40:7,17,18,18;	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17 many (19) 21:16;35:13;40:5; 46:17,18;49:11;51:2; 56:14;78:12;96:7,24;	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18 measure (5) 15:14;16:11;33:24; 34:9;140:2 measured (3)	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1) 59:17 mere (1) 99:12 merely (1)
64:16;79:1,11 limits (2) 58:23;81:21 Line (6) 6:22;7:9;10:19;29:15; 67:9;113:11 lines (2)	looked (14) 43:19;44:20;45:10; 46:7;47:11,12;82:24; 83:8;90:8;98:24;99:14; 115:7;120:10;133:5 looking (33) 12:11;13:2;17:16; 19:5,8;23:24;28:9;31:4,	manner (5) 86:8;131:4,9,10; 150:11 manuals (1) 128:17 many (19) 21:16;35:13;40:5; 46:17,18;49:11;51:2;	means (7) 21:3;23:6,7;24:16,24; 80:23;146:6 meant (2) 29:5;118:18 measure (5) 15:14;16:11;33:24; 34:9;140:2	members (1) 69:21 Memorandum (5) 114:18,24;115:8,10,18 mentioned (1) 59:17 mere (1) 99:12

			Three bile we chest in	
139:23	30:21;58:5,6,6;84:9;	141:11	111:5;127:11	notification (1)
mess (1)	103:17,21;120:11	most (12)	negotiations (1)	58:11
43:12	misses (1)	11:15;46:1;56:11;	127:8	notified (1)
message (3)	46:18	76:16;96:9,20;99:12;	neither (1)	60:9
129:8,19;130:5	missing (11)	101:21;113:13;130:5;	30:20	notify (1)
met (8)	11:18;44:18;61:4;	142:14,15	new (32)	60:8
10:1;22:4;25:8;47:10;	74:15;83:11;84:10;99:5,	motion (1)	13:16,18,24;14:9,16;	number (24)
74:2;106:10;116:13;	7,11;100:7;103:23	148:9	25:22;27:6;38:4;45:23;	30:14,18;31:9;44:21;
119:14	misspoke (1)	mounted (1)	46:2,5,22;47:5,9;48:22;	72:24;78:19;79:1,11,14;
methane (1)	118:17	92:19	55:4,24;56:5,15,15;	80:16;85:6,9;86:1;
92:17	mistake (1)	move (4)	64:13;97:1;106:3;	95:11,14,16,18,19;
method (1)	153:9	40:22;83:4;125:7;	107:22;115:24;116:4,	96:11;99:8,18,19;
47:15	misunderstand (1)	135:7	11;117:5;121:12;	116:12;139:17
microphone (1)	153:16	moving (4)	142:20;151:15;152:4	numbering (1)
148:18	mixing (1)	15:11;22:23;40:16;	Newington (1)	69:2
might (5)	56:21	134:15	82:10	numbers (5)
16:15;55:6;83:4;	mobile (3)	much (6)	next (9)	32:9;46:11;47:21,22;
119:1;126:15	87:6,18;92:18	30:20;31:21;101:17;	22:23;32:7;53:12,12;	139:23
miles (3)	mobilely (1)	113:18;147:6;150:4	55:6;57:22,23;80:24;	
38:10,15;138:2	92:20	multi-part (1)	125:8	O
milestones (1)	model (1)	62:13	NGA (1)	
26:21	101:3	multiple (1)	110:7	obey (2)
million (2)	modification (1)	63:13	night (3)	130:24;131:4
92:23;101:14	133:22	multiplied (3)	79:6,13;141:10	obfuscate (1)
mind (2)	moment (2)	95:7,10,15	nights (4)	141:5
129:23;130:2	36:9;106:18	must (3)	43:10;44:3;79:19;	object (3)
minimum (3)	money (1)	48:19,23;118:21	136:10	122:18;133:15;147:19
35:8;101:5;144:1	101:17	mutual (1)	nine (3)	objection (9)
minor (1)	monitor (1)	151:8	81:15;114:16;115:5	135:7;147:15,21;
31:13	151:12	myself (1)	nobody (1)	148:18,19;149:12,15,16,
minus (1)	month (15)	144:6	58:8	18
39:24	8:21;20:3,24;21:15;		noncompliance (1)	objections (1)
minute (2)	39:19;40:7;41:11,11;	N	48:6	68:22
31:24;129:18	53:14;54:4;75:2;118:5,		Normal (19)	objective (8)
minutes (80)	18;144:4,8	name (2)	13:12;37:19;40:11;	76:18;78:10;96:1;
13:15,19;14:5,6,10,11;	monthlies (2)	65:24;68:13	56:22,24;59:9,15;75:19,	101:22;108:17;111:20;
17:17;18:4;19:4;25:8;	9:1,4	narrow (1)	20;76:22;78:21,22;	113:2;129:3
31:7,8,21,24;32:2,6,13,	monthly (39)	102:11	83:17;85:2;87:10;94:16;	objectives (6)
23;33:8;34:6,7;38:11;	7:16,18,21;8:1,14,18,	Nashua (1)	98:9;138:10;140:5	78:4;94:7;100:5,8;
40:11;50:14,18,22;51:2;	20;9:5,20;10:9;17:6;	37:24	north (7)	113:5;120:22
56:12,18;57:1,7,19;	19:19;30:7;39:17;40:13,	natural (1)	80:21;81:5;83:7;	obligated (1)
63:19;73:1,1,2,2,3;	18;41:21;42:1,3,6,16;	60:16	103:1,3,24;136:6	81:10
81:24;84:3,4;85:13,15,	49:19;50:10;52:13;	nature (1)	north/south (2)	obligation (1)
19;94:17,17,17;96:17;	53:13;54:2,5;62:18,20,	80:4	81:8,20	134:19
98:1,8;99:9,12,13,16,19,	24;63:4;115:2;142:5,6;	near (1)	Northeast (1)	obligations (1)
20;100:1,2,6;105:1,10,	144:7,12;150:16;	109:13	110:4	154:6
23;116:11,13;125:1;	151:11;153:22	necessarily (5)	Northern (24)	obviously (4)
126:2,9;129:18;138:12,	months (17)	22:9;38:13;43:20;	15:20;66:3;69:23;	4:17;59:17;61:3;138:7
20,22,23;139:10;140:19;	20:1,2,4;22:6,21;	105:13;134:21	70:1,22;73:24;74:6,11,	occasions (1)
141:13,16;143:3;144:10,	25:16;39:15;40:2;41:5;	necessary (2)	13;75:16;79:7;98:21;	59:12
22;150:22	43:14;44:19,21,21;	49:2;73:9	107:20;109:4;111:20;	occur (5)
mirror (1)	53:17;59:11;135:19;	need (15)	117:20;118:11,24;	26:5;27:24;28:1;42:3;
119:18	150:19	32:18,19;85:3,20;	121:17;126:21;128:6;	151:9
misinterpretation (1)	more (26)	102:15;103:22;112:4,12,	143:6;150:13,20	occurred (14)
16:14 misinterpreting (1)	11:12;14:7;16:10;	23;129:6,23;130:6;	Northern's (4)	11:7;24:17;25:5;
misinternreting (1)	21:24;22:21;24:21;32:2,	138:18;139:9;148:20	71:14;74:8;108:14;	27:23;34:7,8,10,22,23,
	5 10 10.40.04.46.10		117:17	24;43:14,16;92:11;
45:19	5,18,19;42:24;46:12;	needed (2)		
45:19 mislead (1)	61:16,16;64:4;67:15;	83:10;84:21	note (1)	145:13
45:19 mislead (1) 153:16	61:16,16;64:4;67:15; 76:2;94:15,18;101:20;	83:10;84:21 needs (3)	note (1) 131:11	145:13 occurrence (1)
45:19 mislead (1) 153:16 miss (10)	61:16,16;64:4;67:15; 76:2;94:15,18;101:20; 103:6;109:18;112:22;	83:10;84:21 needs (3) 5:8;45:1;154:15	note (1) 131:11 noted (1)	145:13 occurrence (1) 18:13
45:19 mislead (1) 153:16 miss (10) 21:20;31:13,13,22,23,	61:16,16;64:4;67:15; 76:2;94:15,18;101:20; 103:6;109:18;112:22; 130:12;142:20;153:11	83:10;84:21 needs (3) 5:8;45:1;154:15 negotiate (2)	note (1) 131:11 noted (1) 151:13	145:13 occurrence (1) 18:13 occurring (1)
45:19 mislead (1) 153:16 miss (10)	61:16,16;64:4;67:15; 76:2;94:15,18;101:20; 103:6;109:18;112:22;	83:10;84:21 needs (3) 5:8;45:1;154:15	note (1) 131:11 noted (1)	145:13 occurrence (1) 18:13

86:9	133:9	outcome (1)	149:23	120:14,16;121:5
October (1)	one-size-fits-all (1)	5:22	particular (17)	perception (5)
118:15	56:3	outer (1)	19:13;22:6;30:22;	97:17;107:12;108:5,
odor (9)	ongoing (1)	32:1	31:22;33:17,17,21;	10,22
32:12;59:21;60:3,18,	154:8	outside (6)	34:17;44:23;45:2;72:10;	perform (1)
20;86:10,14;93:2;	only (17)	28:21;47:22,22;77:9;	74:5,9;76:22;102:9;	52:12
150:11	42:1;45:10;53:5;55:3;	130:1;133:13	105:11;145:23	performance (39)
off (10)	59:11;70:16;81:14;84:3;	over (20)	particularly (1)	19:21;25:22,24;30:11;
43:8;57:18;58:20;	94:9,9;109:16;111:23;	22:19;40:3,12,20;	89:22	31:20;43:6;45:24;53:14,
59:9,13;64:24;75:9;	119:14;120:24;132:22;	41:2;45:22;46:18;57:11,	parties (4)	21;54:5;62:24;63:6;
136:8;139:21;140:1	138:22;139:3	14;59:11;71:12;75:10;	74:1;133:19;147:15;	93:15,16,18,20;98:18;
offer (2)	open (1)	78:8;87:5;93:1;96:13;	152:13	99:21;100:4;106:11;
124:20;128:3	25:19	140:23;142:15,16;	parts (3)	107:13,15;115:12;117:4,
offering (1) 5:4	opened (1) 26:2	144:14 overall (5)	35:14;58:14;92:23 passed (4)	10,11,13;119:21,24;
off-hour (1)	operating (3)	35:13,23;37:14;59:5;	25:1;46:2;106:8,16	127:13,21,23;143:20; 145:21,22;151:13,17,24;
43:9	66:2;124:11;153:5	151:4	past (11)	154:14
officer (2)	operation (1)	overcome (1)	27:23;28:2;43:1;	performing (1)
66:2;153:5	153:18	119:20	47:10;48:24;50:1;55:2;	98:13
off-ramps (1)	operations (13)	own (1)	92:8;115:14;134:4;	Perhaps (5)
153:20	27:13;66:6,8;73:23;	75:11	154:3	35:4;55:21;57:22;
often (1)	75:12;76:22;108:14;	owner (3)	pattern (1)	88:22;153:9
95:24	113:4,12,15;122:2;	70:21,24;75:6	59:4	period (36)
oil (1)	153:2,13	ownership (3)	Pause (3)	19:15,23;21:2;22:9,
60:17	opinion (2)	70:6,7,24	36:12;106:24;148:16	20;23:23;24:16;28:13;
old (9)	56:19;82:14	_	paving (1)	39:1;40:3,6,13,19;41:2;
16:6;47:7;69:14;	opportunities (1)	P	124:14	57:11;70:5;71:6,7;
94:15;95:2,8,11,20,24	154:16		paying (1)	84:20;86:15;95:7,14;
on-call (35)	opportunity (6)	Page (37)	105:16	96:17;98:17,20;112:15;
77:14,21;78:7,11,14,	5:10;6:6;25:21;	6:20,24;7:10;10:15;	penalties (9)	114:11;115:1;116:19;
17,23;79:17,22;80:5,12, 19,20,22;81:13,20;82:2,	139:14;147:16;154:13 opposed (2)	12:7,11,12,20,23;13:23; 19:18,18;38:21;39:21;	6:3;23:1,18;26:4,5,7, 11;143:19;144:4	119:13;120:3;140:23; 142:16;144:15;151:17;
4;87:8;96:5,22;100:9,19,	22:13,15	49:18;50:9;53:12,13;	penalty (12)	154:3
23;101:23;103:15;	option (3)	54:11,12;63:17;67:9;	20:7,10,23,24;21:14,	periods (18)
108:16;111:10,14;	52:11,14;151:21	87:11,12;88:2;93:12;	15,21,23;22:1,3,11,12	22:10;62:17;76:7;
131:12;138:4;139:8,9,	oral (1)	104:7;114:5;115:9;	penalty's (1)	77:11;95:17;96:6,8,20,
11,21	149:8	125:19,20;126:5,18;	21:8	23;97:15;98:3,18;100:8;
once (5)	orally (1)	137:5,9;142:1,4	people (14)	116:6,9;135:5;142:3;
11:16;23:7;25:21;	149:10	pager (3)	33:2;44:5,8,9;49:23;	151:6
46:21;89:23	orange (1)	136:20,21,22	60:19;62:2;75:11;	period's (1)
one (80)	30:1	paid (1)	103:12;129:24;139:5,	40:16
8:21;10:12;11:12;	order (21)	82:17	17;149:10;152:14	permit (1)
12:14;13:16;14:7;23:4;	15:21;25:12;73:9;	panel (7)	people's (1)	151:1
24:8,12,21;31:7,8,8;	79:12;87:3,4,8,12,19,21;	55:6;57:23;59:23;	50:8	permits (1)
32:1;38:9,18;39:2,19,24;	88:1,3,9,13;89:8;107:14;	68:15;69:9,22;75:16	per (3)	151:13
40:10;41:1,11;42:12,13,	118:15,20;120:2,7;	Paragraph (14)	20:24;92:23;96:13	permitted (1) 8:9
14;45:3,7;51:3;58:11; 59:5;60:7;61:13,14,19;	155:17 organization (2)	15:11;16:16;22:24,24; 23:15,16,19;24:11;	percent (28) 13:17,19;14:15;25:9,	perpetual (1)
62:12;70:16,19;80:22,	108:3;109:22	63:24;104:7,10;114:13;	13:17,19;14:13;23:9; 13;38:24;39:20;40:12;	29:6
24;81:3,9,11,12,14;	original (1)	115:9;151:19	78:10;84:18,23;85:14,	persists (1)
82:19;83:14,21;85:7,11,	60:10	parameters (1)	15;94:22;95:10,22;	151:20
13;90:19;91:17;94:9,20;	others (1)	47:22	96:15,16;100:3,3;	person (17)
97:7;99:20;102:14;	63:14	paraphrase (2)	115:14,14;119:22,23;	16:15;37:21;45:1,14;
103:4;107:3,11,23;	otherwise (1)	61:1;114:7	120:1,2,8;124:1	51:6;58:20;59:24;60:10;
109:17;112:21;119:16;	12:22	part (23)	percentage (16)	77:22;88:21;136:18,23;
128:2,3,11,21;130:12,	out (26)	17:12;18:5;25:1;	13:13;30:12;39:3;	138:12,14,15;141:7,9
19;132:11;133:9;	20:13;24:2,4;28:3;	35:12;41:8,16;45:18;	48:6;76:18;78:13;83:11;	personally (1)
141:20;143:21;144:13,	31:17;33:9;39:18;40:8;	46:1,23;49:19;50:10;	86:2,4;95:1;96:8,21;	154:4
21;145:6,9;146:17;	45:6,20;49:4;57:13;	54:2;102:18;105:4;	99:22;100:3;119:22;	personnel (1)
148:5	60:22;71:20;78:6;104:5;	106:1;110:24;111:2;	139:14	78:20
ones (9)	130:12;134:20;136:4,	128:9;132:22;142:8;	percentages (9)	perspective (4)
37:5,8;44:20;50:23;	15;138:13,16,18;140:14;	149:1,2;153:7	30:6;37:13;46:14;	88:19;107:16;109:5;
56:15;57:15;61:15;94:5;	141:14;142:23	participate (1)	100:22;101:8;113:5;	117:9
-				

	o entrie com made	TORTHER! CHETTES	, interbile we entere in	
pertain (1)	141:6	66:11;120:21;125:16	proceeding (9)	14:22;16:10,20;17:23;
150:9	points (2)	prepared (7)	21:4;36:12;66:13;	20:23;26:13;27:21;
petition (1)	13:13;43:12	4:15,20,24;5:1,12;	69:24;70:18;107:11;	28:12;30:11,14,17;54:3;
28:19	pole (2)	6:11;125:10	123:11,19;150:8	72:23;98:6
Philip (2)	51:20;52:2	present (7)	PROCEEDINGS (3)	providing (4)
68:12;141:22	police (1)	4:20;65:5;69:9;	4:1;106:24;148:16	10:13;72:6;104:19,23
phone (10)	130:21	102:12;106:13;125:9;	process (3)	provision (10)
58:8;109:15;136:18,	policies (1)	142:24	82:4;88:14,16	15:24;17:23;18:18;
19,21,23,24;137:7,8,13	128:18	presented (5)	processes (2)	20:7,10;27:15;104:22;
physical (1)	poor (1)	73:16,19;86:3;120:17;	49:8,9	127:15;143:18;154:12
102:9	100:4	126:11	productive (1)	provisions (3)
pick (5)	Portsmouth (6)	pressing (1)	83:24	114:23;128:1;155:4
84:22;88:7;129:3;	66:9;82:9,21;103:4,	130:3	program (1)	proximity (3)
130:15;141:8	19;113:12	pressure (4)	110:1	78:1;124:6,12
picked (1)	position (6)	91:6;124:5,11,11	programs (6)	public (12)
58:8	42:16;50:8;65:23;	pressures (2)	91:24;107:20,22;	4:18,22;16:24;60:19;
picks (2)	126:16,19;149:24	38:15;90:24	122:12,14;123:14	89:1;90:7;134:1;140:17;
86:17;136:23	positions (2)	presuming (1)	prohibition (1)	152:3,19,23;155:10
piece (2)	111:18;128:11	146:24	137:2	public's (1)
68:10;92:19	positive (1)	prevent (22)	prompt (7)	154:18
pipe (4)	87:14	18:3,13,21;19:2,16;	35:9,12;61:20,21;	PUC (3)
38:15;90:24,24;124:5	possibility (1)	50:13,17;51:24;52:7,10,	90:2;109:11;150:11	10:8;17:11;72:23
pipeline (1)	79:24	18;104:11,15,20;105:2,	promptly (1)	pull (1)
56:2	possible (6)	6,22;140:20;143:5,11;	155:17	136:4
place (19)	16:13;52:22;60:22;	144:11,16	promptness (3)	pulled (1)
12:18;16:9;20:17;	75:10;126:2,8	previous (6)	109:6;123:19,22	132:3
32:11;33:21;34:3,19; 41:23;42:5;49:9;55:6;	possibly (2) 81:8;139:3	20:4;42:12,14;142:1, 10;155:3	proof (1) 124:20	purposes (1) 4:13
70:10;93:17;101:1;	post (2)	previously (3)	properly (2)	pursuing (1)
112:11,14;118:8;	128:10,13	57:20;100:14;148:2	61:10,10	111:23
154:22;155:4	posting (3)	pride (2)	property (2)	put (8)
placed (1)	126:23;127:1;128:15	107:24;108:1	103:12;131:18	8:22;9:3;102:16;
121:9	postings (1)	primary (4)	proposal (3)	110:24;126:22;134:21;
places (1)	126:12	77:2;110:20;113:7;	71:18;143:12;150:1	141:7;147:8
141:24	potential (6)	123:21	proposed (17)	puts (1)
Plaistow (4)	37:22;111:7,8,9,11,16	prior (16)	14:9;15:11;37:3,9;	51:6
72:8;73:14;102:17;	potentially (5)	20:14;27:9;69:24;	42:12,20;55:22;56:6,16;	
103:6	21:20,22;26:7;47:17,	70:6,21,24;75:5;89:7;	58:1;93:11;106:3;	\mathbf{Q}
plan (22)	19	90:17;91:2;92:13;93:4;	115:24;117:6;120:23;	
10:21;11:10,11;19:16;	practices (1)	126:20,22;127:4;149:11	151:14;152:3	Q1 (2)
26:14,19,23;27:2;51:7;	89:2	priority (2)	proposing (1)	67:10,16
54:13,14,21,24;105:13,	preceding (5)	128:23,23	15:13	Q3 (2)
18;110:19,21;113:6;	20:1,2;21:2;39:14;	probably (8)	protect (1)	67:11,16
116:15;143:11,24;	53:16	11:15;23:2;34:24;	90:7	Qualifications (3)
144:16	precise (2)	44:14;49:15;75:22,23;	protecting (2)	125:24;126:6;148:1
planned (1)	142:11,20	139:19	89:1;152:23	qualified (4)
28:3	predecessor (2)	problem (7)	protocol (1)	36:4;61:8;122:20;
planning (1)	74:18;118:9	43:8;45:1;48:13;	137:4	123:3
97:24	preface (1)	71:24;102:18;104:4;	protocols (1)	quantify (1)
plans (4)	141:20	141:3	75:18	61:15
11:3,4,16;148:12	prefer (1) 64:18	problems (6)	provide (17)	quarter (12) 24:18,24;25:9;99:14;
please (11) 6:19;10:15;12:7;	preference (1)	21:12;26:3;47:4; 69:13,15;103:5	16:18;19:12;21:15; 22:12;26:23;50:11;	
65:11,22;66:16;67:1;	149:9	procedural (1)	75:24;76:6;78:7;87:13;	106:7,10,15;119:8,16, 17,23;120:12
106:19,20;125:24;135:7	prefiled (11)	149:6	90:15,16;97:8;98:16;	quarterlies (1)
pm (8)	66:11,15,22;67:2;	procedure (1)	143:4,7;144:15	9:1
65:2,3;84:12,13,14,14;	68:7,12;71:8,11,18,23;	78:23	provided (19)	quarterly (11)
86:15;155:20	147:11	procedures (12)	7:9,15,20;8:14;9:20;	8:24;9:5,11;10:6,7,14;
point (14)	premarked (1)	77:15,22;86:23;90:12;	10:7;23:15;26:6;45:11,	25:14;27:21;40:17;
27:14;34:12;41:19;	114:2	96:23;100:9,23;108:16;	11;63:2,21;70:20;71:2,	151:18;154:1
50:2;61:4;99:24;112:21;	premise (1)	110:2,23;111:20;121:9	10;73:8;114:17;146:8;	quarters (2)
113:1;114:8;125:8;	89:7	proceed (2)	150:15	25:10;120:1
133:16;134:15;140:14;	prepare (3)	65:10;68:22	provides (14)	quarter's (2)
			1	· -

DG 11-1	96 UNITIL CORP. AND I	NORTHERN UTILITIES	, INC. SHOW CAUSE HI	EARING
119:20;120:4	real-time (1)	referenced (1)	relying (5)	requirements (4)
quick (6)	140:3	115:8	77:21;78:7;96:22;	8:4;17:11;38:7;137:10
62:6;92:24;129:19;	rearview (1)	references (1)	100:9;101:23	requires (1)
131:6;135:13,15	119:17	18:15	remain (2)	109:11
quicker (2)	reason (6)	referencing (1)	100:17;101:1	requiring (1)
91:10,17	25:24;76:20;110:24;	6:20	remaining (3)	142:5
quickly (6)	111:6;145:3,11	referred (1)	14:14;25:10;120:1	reserved (1)
75:9;77:7;86:7;92:21;	reasons (2)	117:16	remediation (7)	148:2
127:24;128:24	43:23;97:7	referring (9)	19:15;51:7;105:13,18;	reside (1)
quite (2)	recall (6)	14:19;18:23;20:16;	143:11,24;144:16	141:10
51:16;98:11	11:5;72:2,4;73:11;	39:12;40:10;50:23;	remember (1)	residences (1)
quo (1)	106:3,8	75:13;102:3;136:9	58:4	132:1
98:13	recalling (1)	reflect (4)	reoccurrence (5)	resolution (1)
quotes (1)	99:17	9:8;132:8;133:2,8	18:21;19:2,16;105:3,6	88:12
57:6	receive (2)	reflected (3)	rep (3)	resources (4)
	96:20;101:24	108:2;123:16;132:2	86:16;87:3;105:15	49:10,11,12;84:24
R	received (10)	regard (3)	repair (1)	respect (4)
	15:20;16:4;45:13;	16:11;26:18;144:21	90:14	5:7;75:7;117:23;135:2
raise (2)	58:2;74:17;86:10;87:14;	regarding (6)	repeat (2)	respond (21)
11:18;151:17	88:2,3;109:12	11:9,24;27:8;106:5;	55:18;89:18	22:4;32:11;35:6;62:3;
raised (11)	receives (1)	107:15;117:24	repetitive (1)	77:7;81:23;85:4,21;
9:21,23;10:10;11:2,5,	85:9	regardless (2)	144:3	89:17,22;90:4,12;95:24;
23,24;64:13;72:11;	receiving (7)	99:2;141:7	replacing (1)	96:7,21;127:23;129:12;
93:13,13	74:19;75:5;88:21;	regards (1)	94:5	130:21,23;131:3,9
raising (1)	96:7;101:21;126:3,9	51:23	report (11)	responded (6)
10:5	recent (3)	regular (8)	18:12;52:13;54:6;	73:1;83:20;88:2;
ramifications (1)	45:24;46:10,12	17:12;28:6;59:15;	63:2;83:19;104:15;	99:22,24;140:10
61:6	recently (5)	76:4,8;77:9;96:15;154:4	136:12;140:5,8;142:24;	responder (11)
ran (1)	8:17;9:10;92:11;	regulate (1)	144:12	76:15;88:23;89:13,16;
84:19	99:14;109:21	56:5	reported (4)	92:6,20,24;99:12;130:4;
Randy (1)	recess (1)	regulated (1)	21:11;53:17;62:24;	150:10,21
132:16	65:2	37:6	151:11	responders (8)
ranges (1)	recognize (3)	regulates (1)	Reporter (3)	77:3;90:20;92:18;
115:13	43:22;111:2;152:13	38:4	65:15;132:12,22	93:4;129:9,10,11;131:15
rationale (1)	recognized (1)	regulation (3)	Reporting (20)	responder's (2)
48:23	109:21	35:13,14;109:11	16:16,19;17:6,12;	89:17,21
reach (1)	reconcile (1)	reinstate (1)	18:22;21:6;42:2,7;	responding (13)
125:6	126:12	28:20	49:20;50:11;54:2;63:4;	17:2;37:22,22;51:6;
reached (1)	record (8)	reject (3)	72:22,23;98:7;140:15,	86:7,8;87:15;88:4;
111:18	6:1;10:20;64:24;	5:23,24;6:4	20;144:8;153:22;155:6	89:14;90:5;91:12;
read (5)	70:15;92:1;132:14,19;	rejects (1)	reports (15)	127:22;140:21
7:13;123:9,11;125:23;	149:3	6:3	7:16,18,21;8:1,19,20,	responds (6)
126:5	recorded (4)	related (5)	24;9:11;10:14;11:17,21;	75:17,21;91:13;92:6;
readily (1)	58:17,18,19,21	11:15;33:3,4;71:15;	12:1;17:1;72:24;144:7	126:1,7
123:1	recorder (1)	78:19	repository (2)	Response (204)
reading (1)	58:15	relation (1)	91:1,21	12:14,17;13:15;14:9;
52:9	recross (1)	73:12	reproduced (1)	15:2,16,16;16:1,12,21;
readings (1)	64:7	relative (3)	29:11	17:4;18:4,16;19:3,13,21;
92:23	recurrence (15)	30:12;98:20;143:20	reps (1)	21:1;24:17;25:8,11;
reads (1)	18:3;50:13,17;52:1,3,	relatively (1)	89:10	26:15;27:7,9;28:20;
10:19	8,11,19;104:11,16,20;	46:10	request (5)	31:16;32:14;33:7,11,18,
ready (2)	143:5,11;144:11,16	relax (3)	25:18;70:16,19,22;	20,21;34:17;35:5,7,9,12,
65:5,10	red (3)	97:4;98:2;108:22	148:13	16,17,23;37:21;38:2,22,
real (4)	130:22;131:1,7	relaxing (4)	requested (1)	23;39:11,13;40:11;43:8,
26:20;60:2;78:3;	redirect (3)	98:13;108:11,12,19	105:5	9;49:7,11;50:21;51:15;
135:15	62:11,14;147:2	relayed (1)	require (4)	53:14,15,18,21;54:4,14;
realized (1)	reduce (1)	138:15	79:13;95:24;101:12;	56:10;58:2;59:19;61:13,
109:17 really (15)	102:2 refer (3)	relevance (1) 124:20	111:4 required (4)	13;62:4;64:13;69:14; 70:2,9,17,20;71:2,2,10,
44:4;46:15;48:15,20;	30:3;67:1,24	relies (1)	10:8;18:19;52:3,15	13,16,20;72:1,7,10,20;
44:4;46:15;48:15,20; 51:15;53:2;54:22;57:3;	30:3;07:1,24 reference (8)	78:16	requirement (9)	
58:22,24;79:3;82:20;	6:23;7:24;13:2,3;29:9;	rely (1)	7:19;18:1,5,11;21:4;	73:8,15;74:9,21;75:7,11;
38:22,24;79:3;82:20; 113:3;139:18;142:18	30:22;85:6;109:8	77:14	35:7,9;72:22;139:20	76:6,18;78:4,8,10;79:19;
113.3,139.10,142.10	30.22,03.0,109.8	//.14	33.1,7,12.22,139.20	81:23;82:3,13,23;85:3,8;

86:5,22;87:14,20;88:19;	right (24)	Safety (31)	140:24;149:18	74:22;75:3;93:10;97:10;
89:2,11;90:2,3,6,10,12,	9:18;18:11;23:14;	9:13;29:3;33:24;34:9;	seeking (2)	98:6;104:6;108:9;
21,23;91:10,11;92:13;	31:19;36:20;43:3;53:9;	35:13,14;53:24;59:18;	134:3,5	110:18;117:6;118:1,5,
93:9,12;94:8;95:2,4;	54:7;86:23;102:6;	61:3,5;73:10;86:24;	seem (9)	10,14,19,21;119:5;
96:1;97:5,14,15,16,21;	109:19;112:5,16;	89:1,4;90:7;91:15;	9:8;11:12;37:20;41:3,	120:18;128:10;133:17,
98:3,10,11,17,23;99:1,8,	124:17;141:17;145:24;	101:15,23;102:2;107:13,	5;44:17;48:14;59:4;	20,23;134:10;141:18;
16;100:5,6,8,15,19,23,	146:13;147:4,22;	16,19,24;108:6;110:4;	139:16	142:2,10,20;146:3,8;
24;102:13,21;105:9,23;	148:21;149:4,17;150:5;	122:12;134:4;150:8,12;	seemed (2)	150:1,17,24;152:2,5,19;
107:15;108:23;109:4,7,	155:14	152:24;153:4	8:13;50:5	153:20;154:7;155:9
9,12,14,22;110:2;	risk (1)	Salem (3)	seems (3)	settling (1)
111:23;112:3,10;113:4;	22:12	72:8;73:13;102:16	9:3;50:1;138:23	133:19
114:14,15;115:5;116:2,	road (3)	same (16)	segment (2)	seven (2)
5;117:11,18,24;119:21;	44:7;52:20;135:21	9:7;12:11;16:18;60:2;	82:2;83:1	24:2,4
123:3,14,20,22;125:10,	roads (1)	63:1;66:21,23;67:20,22;	send (3)	several (2)
11,17;126:14;127:14,17,	102:22	68:6,8;116:10;118:12;	87:11;105:7;130:6	23:3;74:15
18,19;128:16,19,20,21;	Rochester (3)	141:1;143:1;144:11	sending (2)	severity (1)
129:11,14;135:17;	82:8;103:4;104:1	satisfied (1)	58:16;129:8	86:19
141:1;143:3,10,16;	rolling (5)	25:23	senior (3)	shall (7)
144:9,13,23;145:19;	19:23,24;39:4;41:12;	satisfy (1)	27:15;66:1,3	49:20;50:11;53:15,22;
148:12,12;150:13,16;	42:21	17:11	sense (1)	63:1;143:4,7
151:3,4,9,12,24;152:1	roll-out (1)	Saturday (2)	152:10	shared (1)
	92:16			10:21
responses (14)		79:8;84:19	sensitive (1)	
50:14,18;95:7,9,12,16,	room (1)	saw (2)	92:22	sheet (2)
18,20;96:4;98:8;99:4,	63:15	114:18;119:4	sent (2)	29:11,17
18;101:22;104:24	root (4)	saying (14)	87:5,21	Sher (3)
			,	
responsibilities (1)	43:17;44:14;63:11;	8:6;10:13;32:19;	sentence (5)	68:13;141:22;148:11
77:3	135:3	34:11;38:19;51:17;53:5,	7:10,12,13;8:13;10:18	S-H-E-R (1)
responsibility (7)	root-cause (4)	10;59:23;60:11;142:2,	separate (1)	68:13
27:5,16;59:1;75:10;	49:13;77:19;127:18;	24;144:20;145:6	109:5	shift (12)
113:8,14;137:20	145:20	scale (1)	September (2)	79:10,12;82:23;83:7;
responsible (3)	rotation (5)	29:11	118:16;119:2	84:19,22;96:19;103:16,
113:12,15;146:21	80:23;81:3,11,13,14	scene (5)	serve (1)	22,24;108:16;110:23
		58:3;89:23;100:12;	38:14	shifts (3)
restating (1)	round-the-clock (1)			
4:11	85:20	150:10,22	service (42)	84:6;85:1;111:7
4:11 result (5)	85:20 Route (6)	150:10,22 scheduling (1)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9;	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5,	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3,	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19;	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18;
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11;
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28)	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78)	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9;	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10,	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17,	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18;	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3,	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6,	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13,	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1) 112:11	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3,	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6,	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13,	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1) 112:11 revisit (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18 safely (2)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12; 49:19;50:9;52:19;54:12;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3; 38:20;41:4;42:4,8,11,20;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3) 15:7;42:9,15
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1) 112:11 revisit (1) 151:14	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18 safely (2) 129:4,20	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12; 49:19;50:9;52:19;54:12; 62:23;103:1,18,24;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3; 38:20;41:4;42:4,8,11,20; 46:10;47:2;49:3,18;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3) 15:7;42:9,15 similar (3)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revisin (1) 151:14 revisiting (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18 safely (2) 129:4,20 safeness (2)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12; 49:19;50:9;52:19;54:12; 62:23;103:1,18,24; 141:19	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3; 38:20;41:4;42:4,8,11,20; 46:10;47:2;49:3,18; 50:1;55:22;56:7;58:1;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3) 15:7;42:9,15 similar (3) 37:16;42:5,6
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revision (1) 112:11 revisit (1) 151:14	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18 safely (2) 129:4,20	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12; 49:19;50:9;52:19;54:12; 62:23;103:1,18,24;	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3; 38:20;41:4;42:4,8,11,20; 46:10;47:2;49:3,18;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3) 15:7;42:9,15 similar (3)
4:11 result (5) 20:18;22:16;53:6; 110:3;120:18 results (1) 142:16 resumed (1) 65:3 retain (1) 96:5 review (11) 11:3;25:22;26:24; 27:3;28:14;54:15;89:15; 122:13;123:12;153:21; 154:8 reviewed (3) 84:20;105:21;120:24 reviewing (3) 27:8;28:24;83:8 reviews (8) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:9; 16:3;63:23;127:13 revised (4) 5:9;7:9,10;93:11 revisin (1) 151:14 revisiting (1)	85:20 Route (6) 51:20,21;52:4;88:6,9; 103:9 routinely (1) 64:9 row (1) 14:15 rule (5) 7:19,22,24;8:4,10 rule-making (1) 120:19 rules (7) 17:18;18:6,7,9,12,19; 57:15 run (4) 131:1,2,7,8 running (1) 130:22 S safe (10) 33:21,22;34:18,18; 35:7;61:6;86:8;131:3, 10;153:18 safely (2) 129:4,20 safeness (2)	150:10,22 scheduling (1) 65:1 scope (2) 122:20,22 Scott (5) 55:12,13;62:7;64:14; 146:16 script (2) 86:17;89:9 Seabrook (2) 103:2,19 seacoast (1) 37:23 seasonal (3) 44:12,18;48:14 second (6) 51:11;103:16,22,24; 110:8;114:5 seconds (2) 31:23;136:17 Section (28) 13:16;14:19,20;16:10, 17;17:10;18:1;19:18,19; 20:7;23:5;25:17;26:6, 12,12,23;27:4,21;28:12; 49:19;50:9;52:19;54:12; 62:23;103:1,18,24; 141:19	service (42) 10:23;11:9;37:23,24; 45:4;66:6;75:5;76:6,23; 77:2;80:23;81:7,12,18; 87:23;90:20;92:2;101:5, 13;102:10,16;105:15; 112:4,6,20,22;126:1,7; 128:21;130:4,7,23; 131:13,14,17,20;133:3, 8,13,13;136:5;141:13 services (2) 74:19;75:9 session (2) 4:12;155:19 set (13) 17:3;40:2;48:3;54:23; 55:4;84:16;93:20,22; 94:2;108:17;117:5,6; 153:10 settled (1) 65:8 settlement (78) 4:14,21;5:5,7,12,17, 23,24;6:4,5,8;12:4;13:5; 15:3,12,12;18:8;20:13, 18;23:8,20;28:4;37:3; 38:20;41:4;42:4,8,11,20; 46:10;47:2;49:3,18; 50:1;55:22;56:7;58:1;	84:6;85:1;111:7 shortly (1) 74:11 show (2) 30:20;150:20 showed (2) 39:22;43:5 shower (2) 136:24;137:1 showing (2) 41:5;58:3 shows (2) 30:6;114:9 signed (5) 118:1,6,21;133:18; 153:10 significant (6) 41:6;79:14;90:9,11; 92:15;99:8 significantly (3) 74:16;93:8;121:20 signing (2) 116:21;134:23 signs (2) 131:2,8 silent (3) 15:7;42:9,15 similar (3) 37:16;42:5,6

			, 11, 31, 3113 , 1 3113 111	1
9:3	sometimes (1)	139:21;140:16;147:20;	78:3;109:14;121:24	striking (1)
simplest (1)	58:12	150:6,20;151:10,14,16,	start (9)	149:13
75:24	somewhat (5)	20;152:1;154:1,10	13:11,11;22:21;23:22;	stringent (7)
simply (7)	50:2;55:18;82:15;	staffing (8)	24:23;32:3;67:8;69:18;	94:15,18;97:11,18;
34:16;50:19;78:13;	145:1;152:11	73:24;78:21;101:3;	75:22	101:20;108:18;154:20
79:16,21;97:3;128:14	somewhere (3)	111:8;112:13;116:15;	starting (3)	strived (1)
single (6)	76:16;96:12,14	121:6,20	65:21;66:10;85:2	124:24
17:16;38:6;45:3;	soon (2)	Staff's (5)	starts (5)	strong (2)
58:11;97:13;99:2	126:2,8	4:19;27:14;114:18,24;	82:11;88:14,20;90:11;	60:2;136:15
sit (1)	Sorry (10)	123:12	142:23	strongly (1)
34:5	10:17;14:2;29:18;	stamp (1)	state (3)	155:8
sitting (1)	30:17;31:5;64:1;72:3;	88:12	4:10;18:10;35:11	structures (1)
137:12 situation (15)	110:8;115:21;132:20 sort (3)	stand (2) 147:8;153:5	stated (4) 10:22;27:19;126:13;	124:15 stuck (1)
33:5,6,8;60:23;61:7;	54:23;139:22,24	standard (42)	139:17	152:16
80:1;86:19;91:9,17;	sounded (1)	13:24;18:16;24:1,5,7;	statement (3)	studies (3)
105:12,14;129:12,13,21;	45:19	30:22;31:11,12,22;	10:6;67:10;141:21	120:21;121:9,13
143:18	sounds (1)	37:21;41:22;42:1,5,17;	statements (9)	stuff (3)
situations (1)	115:17	56:20;77:20;94:11,13,	6:9;8:13;9:19;10:7;	43:5;58:24;138:8
154:2	source (1)	14,20;95:11,13,20;	48:11;114:7,20;149:20,	subcategories (1)
six (4)	60:10	97:16,17,21;98:4;99:2,	21	97:22
131:23;132:1;133:12;	south (4)	21;116:11;119:7,9,12,	states (3)	subject (10)
145:4	80:21;81:4;83:7;136:6	13;124:23;125:6;	15:10;62:23;114:8	15:6;21:21;26:24;
Sixty-something (1)	southern (4)	126:11;128:16;143:10,	statistics (1)	27:3;54:15;115:16;
84:18	71:13;72:7;73:12;	16,23;151:6	71:3	126:24;127:6;143:19;
size (6)	133:10	Standards (171)	status (2)	144:3
38:18;78:19;90:24;	speak (1) 45:6	12:15,17,23;13:4,5,21;	98:13;111:11	submittal (1) 66:12
124:5,10;125:2 sleeping (1)	speaking (1)	14:4,10,16,17;15:2;16:1, 6;17:4;19:14,22;20:9,	stay (2) 138:2;155:15	submittals (1)
145:7	133:12	17;21:1;23:9;24:2,4;	step (4)	27:8
slightly (1)	specific (16)	25:23;26:16;27:7,9,17;	80:9;87:19;88:16,24	subsequent (2)
14:18	15:14;31:20;47:21;	28:20,22;32:10;33:20;	steps (3)	70:6;144:4
slot (1)	53:6;71:19,19;73:7,11;	34:3,18;35:6,16;37:3,8,	58:14;75:6;86:11	subsequently (1)
115:13	80:6,11;97:20;113:4;	12;38:2,22;39:11,14,20;	still (13)	10:21
slower (2)	120:22;121:4;155:1,3	41:22;42:7;45:23;46:2,	63:8;79:10;83:10;	substandard (1)
44:8,10	specifically (5)	3,5,8,21,23;47:5,8,9;	84:15;97:10,11,23;	22:22
small (1)	10:22;15:10;71:15;	48:3,9,17,19,21,22,24;	102:1;108:18,20;	substituted (1)
84:16	146:4;153:11	49:5;53:16;54:10,14,20,	129:18;137:15;146:10	94:11
smell (6) 60:16,18,20;86:20;	specificity (1) 111:1	21,23;55:1,4,5,22;56:8, 17;57:1,5,9;63:16,18;	stip (1) 114:8	success (1) 39:18
136:12,15	specifics (1)	69:14;70:9,17;71:10,16,	stipulation (3)	successor (1)
Smith (1)	49:17	20;72:11;73:8,10,15,19;	28:15;114:1,23	72:6
136:12	speed (2)	74:1,14,16;76:4;79:3,9;	stop (2)	suggest (2)
snow (4)	131:1,7	86:5;93:12,15,17,19,21,	131:2,8	86:23,24
44:7,19;135:21,22	speeding (1)	22;94:2,4,8,10,16,18;	store (3)	suggesting (2)
soil (2)	130:22	95:2,8;96:1;97:1,8,9;	137:17,18;145:10	34:10;85:24
124:6,13	sporadic (5)	100:15,16,19,24;106:3;	strategically (1)	suggests (1)
solely (1)	28:3;84:17;85:22,23;	107:23;108:11,12,13,18,	77:6	125:4
93:20	136:1	19,23;112:14;113:9;	street (6)	Sullivan (11)
solutions (1)	spot (2)	114:10,15;115:6;116:1,	60:17;91:5,13;92:7,	36:17,18;110:14,15,
47:5 somebody (13)	45:3;141:8 spread (1)	4;117:5,6;118:5,8,12; 119:1,4;120:17,23,24;	11;136:13 streets (1)	17;113:17;147:7,9,13;
27:13;36:4;58:3;	104:5	119:1,4,120:17,23,24, 121:7,10,13,17,18,22;	91:2	149:19,22 summarize (1)
59:21;77:17;100:11;	Staff (48)	121:7,10,13,17,16,22, 122:1,4,7;127:20;130:7;	stress (1)	89:20
103:11;129:2;130:17,	7:11,14;8:7;10:20,22;	135:4;143:21;144:14,	130:4	summer (1)
18;136:8,11;145:9	16:14,23;17:15;19:5;	23;150:9,16;151:15;	strict (5)	44:1
someone (5)	22:17;25:18,21;26:17;	152:4;153:10,17;154:10,	48:15,20;49:1;94:2,4	supervisors (1)
19:6;44:24;51:19;	27:1,3;28:18;41:9;	15,19,20;155:3	stricter (3)	130:13
110:20;130:18	43:21,21;45:17;53:24;	standard's (1)	38:6;57:2;94:4	support (5)
someone's (1)	54:6,15;70:22;71:9,12;	57:2	strictly (2)	4:16,23;5:12;78:20;
137:11	105:5;122:22;125:11,	standby (2)	35:20;36:5	145:16
Somersworth (1)	20;132:3;133:18;	126:1,8	strikes (1)	supported (1)
104:1	134:12,19,22;135:16;	standpoint (3)	138:10	121:10
-	I .	I	I	1

	JO CHATTLE COM : THAT		, n tet sne trese m	
supporting (2)	technician (6)	83:10;125:23;126:5	6:1;27:20;32:11;	83:22;94:24;155:1
143:12;144:17	87:8,9,12,13,24;88:1	Thirteen (1)	36:19;50:6;66:21;	trouble (1)
sure (20)	technicians (7)	29:19	100:16;113:10;114:18;	46:24
11:11;12:10;13:7;	10:23;77:2;90:16;	Thirty-nine (1)	115:7;117:1,5;120:23;	try (11)
21:10;46:3;47:23;53:8;	92:4;101:6,13;127:2	150:19	121:7;128:5;151:1,23;	19:9;46:17;48:1;75:8;
64:21;75:22;76:12;	technology (2)	THOMAS (3)	152:9;153:5;155:16	83:2;84:22;85:17;97:24;
83:23;106:23;117:8;	90:15;91:19	65:12,16,24	today's (1)	107:22;110:11;135:13
126:17;133:16;138:18;	techs (26)	though (4)	120:18	trying (30)
141:20;142:19;144:19;	11:9;81:12,15,16;	63:9,16;97:20;139:2	together (3)	31:16;32:20;33:13,16,
148:8	82:7;85:4,20;90:20;	thought (1)	28:23;132:3;149:12	24;34:13;39:16,17;40:8;
survey (2)	91:3;103:13;112:5,7,20,	95:1	told (1)	41:15;42:19;44:22;
92:19,21	22;127:18;128:21;	thoughts (1)	26:8	49:14;50:6;53:7;54:1,8,
sustain (1)	130:5,7,20,23;131:14,	149:12	took (8)	16;58:22;85:16;96:21;
135:7	14,17;133:3,8,13	thousand (1)	48:11;51:5;95:4,5,6,	99:13;119:15;121:18;
sworn (5)	tech's (1)	96:13	13;134:22;145:8	124:22;125:5;136:7;
65:11,14,16,17,18	90:3	three (16)	tool (1)	141:19;146:9,12
synonomous (1)	telephone (2)	25:10;29:15;51:21;	151:12	Tuesday-to-Saturday (1)
143:8	51:20;52:2	78:8;81:1,14;84:7;	tools (4)	84:22
system (24)	tells (2)	92:23;95:5;98:9;110:19;	129:1,2;130:12,14	turn (13)
8:8,9;16:9;38:9;58:16;	83:19;140:9	112:8,9;120:1;121:19;	top (5)	6:19;10:15;12:4,7,18,
87:4,6,6,16,17,18,19;	template (1)	133:6	12:12;13:11;53:12;	19;29:10;66:16;104:6;
88:17;90:18,19;91:18,	126:23	three-zone (2)	128:23,23	114:5;125:19;126:4;
20,21,23;101:16;103:5,	tend (2)	81:8,20	total (2)	137:13
14;104:5;131:13	44:8;139:19	throughout (4)	15:19;26:7	turning (4)
systems (4)	tends (1)	76:10;77:6;101:6;	totally (1)	16:16;19:18;26:12;
11:15;38:16;90:23;	59:3	103:14	117:8	93:10
91:4	term (1)	thrust (1)	tough (1)	Twelve (1)
T	100:14	69:12	8:22	29:14
I	terms (42)	ticket (1)	tourist (1)	twice (1)
4ahla (7)	5:21;9:18;10:5;16:1,	87:23	44:2	17:18
table (7)	20;17:2;20:3;28:14,23;	tightening (1) 97:7	towards (1) 72:14	two (13) 14:3,8;56:23;59:12;
12:12,23;39:12;63:16;	30:21;46:16;70:14;74:8;		town (3)	62:3;107:9;109:7,18;
67:13,13;94:8 tact (1)	76:3;77:18;79:2;85:8; 88:18;89:13,23;93:24;	tighter (1) 56:19	38:6;52:20;136:13	113:4;115:4;119:11;
55:19	94:19;98:12;99:4;	timeliness (1)	towns (1)	129:18;133:7
tailor (3)	101:15;102:10,12,22,22,	35:18	59:9	type (6)
38:14;113:3;122:2	23;104:19;106:2,14;	timely (1)	track (3)	22:22;45:8;58:9;60:5;
tailored (1)	107:11;108:9;112:10;	127:22	58:4,11;88:8	91:5,6
94:6	115:2;121:14;140:21,	time-response (1)	tracked (1)	typically (2)
tailoring (1)	23;152:23;153:12	101:18	58:7	120:13,15
108:13	territory (21)	times (37)	traffic (7)	120110,10
talk (6)	37:23,24;38:5;45:4;	31:16;43:10,19;49:7;	19:6;44:4;48:13;	\mathbf{U}
11:20;94:6,23;112:12;	71:14;76:10,13,24;77:7;	53:18;56:6,10;59:7,8,19;	105:12;130:24;131:5;	
128:19,20	78:6;80:16,22;81:7,18;	60:6;69:14;72:20;74:21;	135:20	ultimate (1)
talked (5)	101:7;102:10;131:20;	76:14;85:8;99:1;101:7;	trained (1)	6:2
51:9;73:13;109:10;	133:14;136:5;138:4,5	116:2,5,22;117:24;	89:11	ultimately (2)
130:10;146:23	testified (5)	123:20,22;126:14;	training (1)	78:24;81:17
talking (13)	118:3;119:6;122:10;	127:14;129:17;135:17;	89:24	unable (3)
8:22;35:20,24;36:1;	131:12;154:19	136:6;139:4,17;141:1;	transition (8)	114:14;115:4;150:21
39:5;42:12;57:5;59:18;	testimony (39)	151:3,4,9;152:1,15	11:4,16,17,21,24;	undefined (1)
112:11;113:9;120:14,	6:19,21;7:2,9;10:16;	time-stamp (2)	74:19;75:5,9	142:7
15;149:7	12:20;13:23;45:18;47:1;	87:22;88:11	travel (8)	under (27)
talks (6)	49:22;66:11,12,15,19;	time-stamped (4)	38:9;44:1,1;82:11;	11:7;15:3,3,11,24;
23:17;37:10;54:12;	67:2,6,21;68:1,7,10,12,	87:20,24;88:5,17	100:10;102:23,24;103:9	16:17;17:10,17;20:8,17;
110:18;142:1;143:2	15,16;69:10;71:8,11,18,	time-stamps (1)	traveling (1)	25:22;32:10;38:11;
tangent (1)	24;72:4;101:12;118:13;	88:5	129:13	41:22;69:14;70:23;
57:18	121:8;123:9,15;132:3,	timing (1)	trending (1)	95:20;100:5;107:23;
targets (2)	16;133:2;141:22;147:11	119:4	17:7	115:5,24;125:23;126:5;
142:3,6	thanks (1)	title (1)	trends (2)	142:24;154:6;155:2,16
tech (12)	149:23	39:13	145:21;151:12	underlying (9)
80:23;81:3,4;82:8;	thin (1)	titled (1)	tried (11)	5:2,11;47:13,14;49:6;
83:10,23;90:6;91:12;	57:14	19:19	52:22,23,24;57:21;	114:22;121:13;122:5;
102:16,19;103:22;140:9	third (3)	today (20)	58:7;61:18,22;78:11;	134:8
-	1	1	1	1

1 (4)	02.4.24	52.12	77 17 117 00 100 10	
understands (1)	92:4,21	63:13	75:15;115:22;122:19;	_
51:9	vans (1)	week (6)	125:8;132:6,19	${f Z}$
understood (3)	90:21	51:19;79:6;80:24;	witnesses (5)	
56:1;117:9;144:19	variables (1)	85:13;101:8;128:11	4:20;5:15;6:11;65:6, 11	zeros (1)
unfortunately (2) 27:23;154:2	36:1 varied (1)	weekend (3) 85:18;115:13;116:12	won (2)	32:8
Union (4)	43:6	Weekends (36)	109:24;110:3	
36:18;111:3,5;149:22	various (4)	14:2,5,11;37:17,18;	wondered (1)	
unique (2)	11:13;38:23;45:21;	43:10;44:3,4;48:5,18;	148:5	
38:3;108:14	49:23	56:17;63:6,18;78:18;	wondering (1)	
Unitil (24)	vehicle (8)	79:19;80:13;83:18;	112:21	
16:8;18:9;44:15;49:9;	77:24;137:12,18,19,	84:15;85:9,14;94:12;	wont (1)	
58:10,12;60:8,9,13,14;	21,22,24;138:13	95:9;96:18;97:2,22;	57:6	
66:2,6;70:6,7;74:4,5,11,	vehicles (1)	98:10;99:15;116:6;	word (5)	
23,24;75:4,6;98:21;	90:21	136:1,9;139:11,22;	19:24;35:11;52:8;	
108:6;150:14	ventilate (1)	140:6,18;150:23;151:5	57:7;58:5	
Unitil's (2)	60:4	weekly (3)	wording (1)	
117:19;131:19	venting (3)	83:15;85:12;140:5	51:23	
unlike (1)	61:9,10;124:14	weeks (2)	words (6)	
130:21	versus (5)	81:1;110:19	39:7,8;43:17;71:17;	
unsafe (2)	44:1;61:15;78:6;	weren't (12)	80:4;105:9	
32:14;33:11	93:21;115:2	11:10;30:10;43:19;	work (25)	
unusual (1)	via (1)	45:5;46:4;47:10;48:9,	15:21;26:14,18;28:24;	
105:11	8:7	21;49:2;105:3;118:3;	54:13,14,20;76:9;77:5;	
up (34) 49:21;51:4;57:6;58:3,	vice-president (4) 27:5;66:1,3;146:20	154:3 what's (13)	87:3,4,7,11,18,21;88:1,3,	
8;59:6;72:14;77:23;	vicinity (1)	12:22;30:5;43:1;47:8,	9,13;89:8;102:19; 108:15;110:18,21;139:4	
80:15,21;82:8,10,21;	124:2	15;57:7;61:20,22;78:20;	working (8)	
84:22;86:17;88:7;91:13;	view (2)	86:20;87:17;99:6;	59:15;77:12,13;78:5;	
94:20;95:11,16;101:9;	27:14;122:22	154:21	79:4,5,8;112:7	
103:4,6;104:13;113:5;	voice (1)	WHEREUPON (2)	working-hours (1)	
127:1;128:5;129:3;	55:16	65:12;155:19	139:23	
130:15;136:18,23;	volume (1)	whole (5)	works (1)	
145:8;152:18;155:16	139:13	35:24;43:12;61:11,24;	80:20	
	107.10	00.2.,.01.2,01.11,2.,	00.20	
upon (9)		63:13	worth (1)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6,	W	63:13 who's (5)	worth (1) 36:1	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10;	W	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10	W wait (4)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2)	W wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23	W wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4;	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16;	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21;	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14;	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21;	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8;	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1,	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28)	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5;	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1) 150:9	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24; 48:1;51:6;58:15;59:13,	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5) 48:20;121:13;133:22;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1) 67:15	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1)	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24; 48:1;51:6;58:15;59:13, 14;63:8,9;76:17;94:5;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5) 48:20;121:13;133:22; 146:5;147:16	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1) 67:15 yellow (1)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1) 150:9	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24; 48:1;51:6;58:15;59:13, 14;63:8,9;76:17;94:5; 95:3;99:20;103:8,10;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5) 48:20;121:13;133:22; 146:5;147:16 Witness (19)	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1) 67:15 yellow (1) 50:24	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1) 150:9	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24; 48:1;51:6;58:15;59:13, 14;63:8,9;76:17;94:5;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5) 48:20;121:13;133:22; 146:5;147:16	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1) 67:15 yellow (1)	
14:22;32:16,19;47:6, 11;49:8;51:12;54:10; 70:10 use (2) 58:15,23 used (5) 16:5;57:20;58:4; 94:12;128:12 using (7) 39:14;41:12;53:16; 61:11;75:11;139:21; 142:24 usual (1) 138:13 utilities (14) 37:6;55:23,24;56:4,8; 57:24;66:4;69:24;70:1, 23;74:6,12;75:17;98:22 utility (2) 16:14;37:10 utility's (1) 150:9	wait (4) 22:20;25:15;122:17; 137:5 waiting (3) 22:14,15;137:12 waive (2) 148:17,19 wake (1) 145:8 walk (3) 4:21;23:4;152:15 wall-to-wall (1) 124:14 wants (2) 29:2,4 water (1) 55:17 way (28) 19:7;33:10,24;34:9; 44:13;47:12,17,19,24; 48:1;51:6;58:15;59:13, 14;63:8,9;76:17;94:5; 95:3;99:20;103:8,10; 104:5;108:23;120:16;	63:13 who's (5) 53:18,20;88:21,23; 136:19 willingness (1) 155:15 windshield (2) 59:7;83:12 winter (2) 44:19;91:11 Wintertime (3) 44:7;91:12;135:21 wish (1) 107:7 within (23) 27:16;31:7;32:13,23; 34:6,7;38:11;40:15; 45:4;73:1;76:13;78:6; 81:23;98:1;104:18; 110:1;116:13;118:18; 128:11;131:19;138:2; 141:13;150:22 without (5) 48:20;121:13;133:22; 146:5;147:16 Witness (19) 7:4,17;13:1,8;15:8,9;	worth (1) 36:1 worthiness (1) 122:7 written (3) 16:7;128:18;149:8 wrong (2) 50:19;59:22 Y year (16) 21:24;22:7,8,14; 24:18;25:5;40:20,21; 46:18;71:5;96:13; 109:23;119:8,17;120:5; 145:5 yearly (2) 39:4,4 years (6) 57:12;78:8;95:5; 115:15;121:19;151:15 year-to-date (1) 67:15 yellow (1) 50:24 Yup (1)	